

RESOLUTION NO. 2014-68

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF FRESNO, CALIFORNIA, AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 2010-156 ESTABLISHING A POLICY ENTITLED "LABOR MANAGEMENT ACT" PRESERVING MANAGEMENT RIGHTS ON EMPLOYEE LAYOFFS AND IMPROVING LABOR PRACTICES

WHEREAS, the Council for the City of Fresno adopted the Labor Management Act in Resolution No. 2010-156; and

WHEREAS, the Council desires to amend the Labor Management Act.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Fresno that the Labor Management Act is hereby amended as follows:

SECTION 1. ARTICLE XI, NO CONTACT CLAUSE is amended to read:

ARTICLE XI NO CONTACT CLAUSE

The Mayor and City Council members recognize the Fresno Municipal Code Section 3-615 designation of the City Manager to act as chief negotiator in City labor negotiations[] therefore [Therefore] any contact or communication [on topics directly related to MOU negotiations during the pendency of labor negotiations process beginning from the initial meet and confer session and lasting until the City Council considers the proposed MOU in open session between Employee Labor Organization official representatives and Council members,] other than at a regularly scheduled Council meeting, is prohibited by the Mayor and Council members with Employee Labor Organization official representatives on topics directly related to MOU negotiations during the pendency of labor negotiations process beginning from the initial meet and confer session and lasting until the City Council considers the proposed MOU in open session. [should be restricted to ensure the City Manager's role as chief negotiator is not compromised. Any meeting between Employee Labor Organization representatives and Council members are subject to the following conditions:

1 of 3

Date Adopted: 04/24/2014 Date Approved: 04/24/2014 Effective Date: 04/24/2014

- Council members cannot disclose to other Council members, subject to Brown Act restrictions, the content of their discussions with the Labor Organization representatives;
- 2. Council members, at their discretion, shall notify the City Manager of a proposed meeting with a Council member and the Council member can request, at their discretion, that the City Manager and City Attorney or their representatives be present at the meeting;
- 3. All discussions between the Labor Organization representatives and the Council members are strictly prohibited from any negotiations on the proposed MOU.]

SECTION 2. ARTICLE XV, COUNCIL WORKSHOP ON LABOR RELATIONS is amended to read:

ARTICLE XV COUNCIL WORKSHOP ON LABOR RELATIONS

[As determined] Every two years, on an odd numbered year, by the City Manager or his/her designee, in coordination with the Mayor, City Attorney's office and the Retirement Administrator, will present a workshop to the City Council to educate the Council on the following:

- 1. The labor relations process;
- 2. Labor relations laws that pertain to municipalities;
- 3. Recent judicial decisions affecting existing and future MOUs; and
- 4. A description of all employee costs including pay scales and benefits including retirement and the fiscal impact on the budget.

The purpose of this bi-annual workshop is to educate Council members, both existing members and new members coming in, on labor relations affecting the City to enable them to better understand labor issues and their fiscal impact on the budget.

SECTION 3. The full text of the Council Residency Act, as amended, is attached as Exhibit "A."

///

///

SECTION 4. This resolution shall become effective upon date of final passage. For the year 2014, councilmembers who comply by affidavit pursuant to this amendment shall provide such affidavit by April 24, 2014.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * *

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF FRESNO) SS
CITY OF FRESNO)

I, YVONNE SPENCE, City Clerk of the City of Fresno, certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Council of the City of Fresno, at a regular meeting held on the 24th day of April, 2014.

AYES

:Baines, Brand, Caprioglio, Xiong, Brandau

NOES :Quintero ABSENT :Olivier ABSTAIN :None

YVONNE SPENCE, CMC City Clerk

(: Jajva

APPROVED AS TO FORM: CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

Tina R. Griffin

Supervising Deputy

TRG:DTS:pn [reso] Reso 04/16/14



CITY OF FRESNO LABOR MANAGEMENT ACT



[APRIL 24, 2014] JULY 22, 2010

The following policies are enacted in an effort to standardize and reinforce best practices for labor management policies for the City of Fresno. They are also intended to improve fiscal accountability and transparency in City government.



ARTICLE I DEFINITIONS

City

"City" means the City of Fresno, a municipal corporation.

Concession

The act of conceding an item of value to the other party in an MOU negotiation.

Consultation in Good Faith

Means to communicate orally or in writing for the purpose of presenting and obtaining views and advising of intended actions, as defined in *Fresno Municipal Code*, *Chapter 3*, *Article Six*, *Section 3-603* (e).

Director

"Director" means the Chief Administrative Officer of the City (City Manager) or such other person in the City service as he/she shall designate in writing to act on his/her behalf in the exercise of any power or the performance of any duty of the Director under this article. Fresno Municipal Code, Chapter 3, Article Six, Section 3-603 (f).

Employee

Means any person holding a permanent position, as defined in Section 3-201. Fresno Municipal Code, Chapter 3, Article Six, Section 3-603 (g).

Employee Organization

Means any organization or joint council of two or more organizations, or its duly authorized representative, which includes employees of the City and, as one of its primary purposes, represents such employees in their employment relations with the City. Fresno Municipal Code, Chapter 3, Article Six, Section 3-603 (i).

Fiscal Emergency

A fiscal emergency shall be declared by the Mayor and affirmed by a super majority, five votes of the City Council and based upon a financial crisis resulting from an abrupt and significant decrease in revenues or an abrupt and significant increase in operating expenses or emergency capital improvements. *Executive Order 3-01(01/09/04) and Council Resolution 2004-27.*

Lavoff

The appointing authority, with the approval of the Chief Administrative Officer, may reduce the number of employees in the classified service upon the grounds of economy or because of lack of work or lack of funds, by laying off employees in any class in which he determines the curtailment is necessary. *Fresno Municipal Code, Chapter 3, Article Six, Section 3-291.*



Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)

When a meeting and conferring process is concluded between the Director and a formally recognized employee organization, all agreed upon matters shall be incorporated in a written memorandum or memoranda of understanding signed by the Director and the duly authorized representative. As to those matters within the authority of the Council, the memorandum of understanding signed by the Director and a duly authorized representative shall be submitted to Council for determination. *Fresno Municipal Code, Chapter 3, Article Six, Section 3-619*.

Meet and Confer In Good Faith

"Meet and Confer in Good Faith" means the performance, by the Director or his duly authorized representative, and by the duly authorized representative of a formally recognized employee organization of their mutual obligation to meet at reasonable times and to confer in good faith regarding matters within the scope of representation in an effort to (1) reach agreement on those matters within the authority of such representative, and (2) reach agreement on what will be recommended to the Council on those matters within the decision-making authority of the Council. "Meet and confer in good faith" does not require either party to agree to a proposal or to make a concession. Fresno Municipal Code, Chapter 3, Article Six, Section 3-603 (r).

MOU Cost Basis

The MOU cost basis is the total cost of employee wages and benefits per Employee Labor Organization based upon the current MOU terms.

ARTICLE II LAYOFF POLICY

The City staff is prohibited from bargaining away in future MOUs City Management rights to layoff employees unless approved by the City Council as part of a one year agreement. The City will continue to comply with all legal requirements of the Meyers-Milias-Brown Act regarding the impacts of layoffs.

ARTICLE III EFFECT ON EXISTING MEMORANDA OF UNDERSTANDING

This policy shall not affect existing MOUs that currently have 'no layoff' provisions or provisions which prohibit demotions or prohibit staff reductions except through attrition including: 1)The International Union of Operating Engineers, Stationary Engineers, Local 39 (Local 39) with an MOU expiration date of 6-30-10; 2) the Fresno Police Officers Association (FPOA) Unit 4-Non Supervisory Police with an expiration date of 6-30-12; 3) Unit 9-Police Management with an expiration of 6-30-12; and 4) International Association of Firefighters AFL-CIO, Fresno City Firefighters Association, Local No. 753 (IAFF) with an MOU expiration date of 6-30-12.



ARTICLE IV MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING TIME LIMITATIONS

Ever changing economic conditions can dramatically affect City budget projections. Being legally bound to an MOU for over three years can have an adverse impact on the City budget and the delivery of core services. It is strongly recommended that MOU terms not exceed three years. The City Negotiation Committee (described in Article V below) must provide the City Council with a written justification if any proposed MOU exceeds three years.

ARTICLE V FISCAL EMERGENCY CLAUSE

By the adoption of this policy it is the intent of the City to negotiate, in good faith, a Fiscal Emergency provision that will provide for a reopening of any future bargaining unit memoranda of understanding (MOU) whenever the Mayor declares a Fiscal Emergency, consistent with the provisions of Executive Order 03-01 (1/9/04), which also requires a five vote ratification by the City Council (Council Resolution 2004-27).

ARTICLE VI CITY NEGOTIATION COMMITTEE

The City Negotiation Committee shall be assembled at the discretion of the City Manager. The City Manager will provide written notification and rationale to the City Council if he/she decides not to assemble the City Negotiation Committee. The City currently has 11 diversified Employee Organizations. Labor negotiations are fluid in nature and require flexibility in selecting the proper City Negotiation Committee. The City Manager may select some or all from the following positions or their designee: the Budget Manager; the City Controller; the City Attorney; Personnel Director; Labor Relations Manager; and from the City Manager's office. The City Manager must assign a team member with financial expertise. The City Manager may also, when appropriate, include on the City Negotiation Committee other experts including department heads and/or outside experts in a specific field (s) such as law, actuarial science, accounting, economics, employee benefits, human resources or other arts and sciences.

The City Manager shall designate a City Negotiation Committee Chair. This City Negotiation Committee will strategically plan, coordinate and analyze the fiscal, legal, managerial and overall impact of labor negotiations with an employee organization on behalf of the City. The City Negotiating Committee or its designees shall meet to initiate all formal negotiations.

The Retirement Administrator for the City shall continue to be a resource for information and analysis provided to the City's Negotiation Committee and to the various City Employee Organizations. The Retirement Board's actuary shall also be considered a resource should it be necessary for the negotiating parties to request an actuarial study of any proposed pension or other employee benefit enhancements.

ARTICLE VII USE OF OUTSIDE LEGAL COUNSEL

Labor negotiations are very complex and require a high level of expertise. Prior to any final agreement of MOU language, the City Negotiation Committee shall, through the City Attorney's Office, have the option to submit all or a portion of proposed MOU language to a designated outside legal counsel prior to the presentation to the City Council. The MOU document shall track any changes from the prior MOU. Outside legal counsel shall review all documents and submit, on a timely basis, any changes or recommendations back to the City Negotiation Committee for resolution if necessary. Once outside legal counsel is selected, every attempt should be made to ensure a continuity of services. Outside legal counsel rates shall be reviewed annually to insure they are comparable to industry standards.

ARTICLE VIII FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

Along with any new MOU, and excepting legal memoranda and legal opinion letters, the City Negotiation Committee shall be required to prepare a Fiscal Impact Report (see Exhibit 'A') for consideration by the City Council and the general public to encourage the highest level of transparency in the City's labor management practices. The City Manager, in consultation with the City Controller and Retirement Administrator, shall determine whether or not an actuarial report is required. The City Manager may request the City Retirement System Administrator to review all tentatively approved changes to pension and other employee benefits or work rules and prepare a written financial analysis report that the City Manager will incorporate in the Fiscal Impact Report. The City agrees to pay for the services of the Retirement Administrator including the reasonable costs of an actuarial report, for City proposals. Each Fiscal Impact Report shall include the following:

- 1. A detailed financial analysis of the MOU including step increases; promotions; demotions; additions, deletions or changes to any premium rate pays and any cost of living adjustments for wages.
- On pension and health insurance benefit enhancements, the City Manager, in consultation with the City Controller and Retirement Administrator, has the authority to engage an actuary to prepare an actuarial report for all future costs or

additional accrued liabilities for past service costs of any proposed benefit changes contemplated in the proposed MOU. A copy of the actuary's report shall be included with the Fiscal Impact Report.

- 3. The net cost or benefit to the City, on a cash basis, for the current fiscal year of the MOU, the second year of the MOU, the third year of the MOU and the total net cost of the new MOU above the prior year base MOU. Future years' costs should be reflected both as to their absolute costs and the present value thereof as of the expected date of the MOU.
- 4. If the City Manager requests an actuarial report, the net cost or benefit to the City, on an accrual basis, over an extended period of at least 10 years. If the Actuary determines that the future costs are greater than those in the next 10 years, then the estimate costs (absolute and present values) should be shown for all periods.
- 5. A historical summary matrix of all City Employee Organization base salary, various premium pays and benefit enhancements (see Exhibit 'B').
- 6. The Fiscal Impact Report shall include a summary sheet and detailed attachments that document each item analyzed.

ARTICLE IX REVIEW BY INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTING FIRM

Quantifying compensation provisions from an MOU including benefit enhancements is a very complex process. Provisions in some MOU documents contain certain benefit enhancements or work rule changes that may have long term financial impacts. An independent review can help ensure that the City has properly evaluated short term and long term financial costs related to the MOU document. The City Manager, at his/her discretion, may engage an independent accounting firm to provide supporting calculations for all fiscal impacts in the proposed MOU and submit, on a timely basis, an opinion as to the reasonableness of the estimated fiscal impacts in the MOU along with any changes or recommendations to the City Negotiation Committee for resolution if necessary. The independent accounting firm's report to the City shall follow the guidelines outlined in Article VIII above.

The outside accounting firm may be selected by the City Manager using either a sole source if the contemplated amount is less than \$50,000. If the amount will exceed \$50,000, the RFP/RFQ process will be utilized and must be approved by the City Council. Once an outside accounting firm is selected, every attempt will be made to ensure a continuity of services. Hourly rates for the independent accounting firm rates shall be reviewed annually to insure they are comparable to industry standards.

ARTICLE X PRESENTATION PROCESS FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF MEMORANDOM OF UNDERSTANDING

The MOU review and approval process shall be as follows:

- 1. The City Manager will provide the City Council with prior written notification of start of negotiations to modify any existing MOU or seek a new MOU with an Employee Labor Organization. The City Council may, at any time, request that the City Manager or designee brief the Council in closed session regarding contemplated fiscal parameters, changes to existing MOU provisions or any other matter allowed under the Brown Act prior to or during the course of the collective bargaining process with the Employee Labor Organization.
- 2. Upon the formation of the City Negotiation Committee, the City Manager will promptly inform the Council as to the composition of members and any retained experts.
- 3. The City Manager may waive, at his/her discretion, developing a complete Fiscal Impact Report on proposed MOU negotiations that have a minimal fiscal impact (e.g. one time action(s) with no adverse actuarial consequence).
- 4. After meeting and conferring with the Employee Labor Organization and reaching a tentative agreement, the City Negotiation Committee shall present a proposed MOU staff report, including the Fiscal Impact Report, an actuarial report, if required and a draft of the proposed MOU to City Council in closed session for Council consideration. These reports shall be delivered to Council members the Friday before the Council meeting.
- 5. The Employee Labor Organization shall have the right to provide a written report to the Council outlining their position on the proposed MOU deal points. If they elect to provide this report it shall be included with the other mandated reports specified in number four above and delivered the Friday before the Council meeting.
- 6. If directed by the City Council in closed session, the MOU will be placed on the consent calendar at the next regularly scheduled meeting.
- 7. If any material change develops between the time the City Council directs the MOU forward in closed session and the regularly scheduled City Council meeting, the City Manager shall have the option to cancel the item for the regularly scheduled meeting and re-convene a closed session of the City Council hearing.
- 8. If any City Council member pulls the consent item for discussion, City staff shall make a presentation of the MOU and the Fiscal Impact Report.



9. The MOU and any supporting documents including the Fiscal Impact Report, as defined in this Act, shall be available for public viewing when approved in public session by Council.

ARTICLE XI NO CONTACT CLAUSE

The Mayor and City Council members recognize the Fresno Municipal Code Section 3-615 designation of the City Manager to act as chief negotiator in City labor negotiations[.] [Therefore] therefore any contact or communication [on topics directly related to MOU negotiations during the pendency of labor negotiations process beginning from the initial meet and confer session and lasting until the City Council considers the proposed MOU in open session between Employee Labor Organization official representatives and Council members.] other than at a regularly scheduled Council meeting, is prohibited by the Mayor and Council members with Employee Labor Organization official representatives on topics directly related to MOU negotiations during the pendency of labor negotiations process beginning from the initial meet and confer session and lasting until the City Council considers the proposed MOU in open session. [should be restricted to ensure the City Manager's role as chief negotiator is not compromised. Any meeting between Employee Labor Organization representatives and Council members are subject to the following conditions:

- Council members cannot disclose to other Council members, subject to Brown Act restrictions, the content of their discussions with the Labor Organization representatives;
- 2. Council members, at their discretion, shall notify the City Manager of a proposed meeting with a Council member and the Council member can request, at their discretion, that the City Manager and City Attorney or their representatives be present at the meeting;
- 3. All discussions between the Labor Organization representatives and the Council members are strictly prohibited from any negotiations on the proposed MOU.]

ARTICLE XII CHANGES AND SIDE LETTERS AFFECTING MEMORANDOM OF UNDERSTANDING

Any changes or side letters affecting existing MOUs that will have a material impact on the MOU shall require City Council approval. Material change is defined as any changes in terms and conditions that have a fiscal impact on the budget. The City Manager will be required to present a detailed analysis including the net fiscal impact. It is essential that the City Negotiation Committee allow ample time to prepare a Fiscal Impact Report and actuarial report, if required, to allow the City Council sufficient information to review on any proposed MOU changes.



ARTICLE XIII OVERSIGHT AND ANNUAL REPORTS

In July of each fiscal year, the City Manager shall present an Annual Labor Relations Report (see Exhibit 'C') to the City Council with a summary of all MOUs. The report shall include the following:

- 1. A summary matrix of all existing labor bargaining agreements with effective dates including all wage and benefit changes.
- 2. A report of any changes or side letters affecting existing MOUs.
- 3. An analysis of the original labor bargaining agreements' fiscal impact to any subsequent changes.
- 4. An analysis of the original projected costs to the actual costs of the MOUs.
- 5. A report of the future fiscal impact on pending MOUs.

ARTICLE XIV TRANSPARENCY

All MOUs, Fiscal Impact Reports, Actuarial Reports and the City Manager's Annual Labor Relations Report to the City Council shall be available for public viewing on the City's website and at the City Clerk's Office.

ARTICLE XV COUNCIL WORKSHOP ON LABOR RELATIONS

[As determined by] Every two years, on an odd numbered year, the City Manager or his/her designee, in coordination with the Mayor, City Attorney's office and the Retirement Administrator, will present a workshop to the City Council to educate the Council on the following:

- 1. The labor relations process;
- 2. Labor relations laws that pertain to municipalities;
- 3. Recent judicial decisions affecting existing and future MOUs; and



4. A description of all employee costs including pay scales and benefits including retirement and the fiscal impact on the budget.

The purpose of this bi-annual workshop is to educate Council members, both existing members and new members coming in, on labor relations affecting the City to enable them to better understand labor issues and their fiscal impact on the budget.

ARTICLE XVIII ONE YEAR REVIEW

One year from the adoption of this resolution, the City Council shall meet at a regularly scheduled public session to evaluate the provisions of this resolution. The City Council will comprehensively review the policies in this Act and determine if any modifications are necessary.

ARTICLE XVII EFFECTIVE DATE

This resolution shall take effect upon its final adoption by the City Council.



Summary Analysis of MOU

(pg 1 of 6)	EXHIBIT 'A'

FY 2010		l	Budget Cash Basis	asn bas	Sign	Pater	Notes
	5 (602,000 82) \$	FY Z011		(25,000,52)			Savergs in FY 2010, com FY 2013 add back to budged Impact
84 hours of leave without pay-spread over 12 mo. No holdey leave cosh out for remainder of heat year (2.5 white par year cesh owle) 24 hours deduced from seek holdey leave bonk.	(616,414.95)			(610,414.93)	3 (D.01)	618,414.95 (246,565,96)	610,414.95 FY 2010 cesh out deferred to future [246,656,580] Deferred savings reducing baldary tenve hours
Employees promoted to Firshigher Spro. or Capt. To receive pay increase of at least 5% HRA 40% increased to 50% utilize all stok leaved remove time deduct of 240 hrs.)	14,800.00	14,000.00	14,800.00	43,800,00	7	1,000,000.00	rood many movement of the post of the period habitiles welch may section 51 million Rood more information to verify
Acting pay can reading in 4 hour inequaments (cost per out) easily report) Total for FY 2010	(1,462,779,20)	10,916,87	16,616.67	(1,429,545,07)		1,269,8,690,1	
FY 2011	FY 2010	FY 2011	EV 2012	Total	FY 2013	Future	
No holiday hawa cash out 96 hours of lases without psyspressd over 26 psyrolis		(616,414,95)	710.075.48	(816,414,85) (896,263.91) 1,076,513.20	88 F	919,414,85	Cash Row savings FY 2011, cash out deferred to future Savings in FY 2011, axes FY 2013 add hack to budget impact Salary increase and cost in FY 2011
Total for FY 2011		(1,242,841,12)	719,575,48	(823,165.06)	•	816,414.95	
FY 2012	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	Tolej	C)02 74		
No holiday leave cash out £5 shifts per yeer ga hours of leave without pay-agreed cror £6			(1,005,989.18)	(1,005,960,10)	1,005,989.19	620,743.24	Add back FY 2013 unique re-newed in next MOU
Japuary 1, 2012 Increase vacetter bette approisitor		.0	1				Need additional information to calculate
emp. With >10 years we but < from 20 years 7,0 shifts to 0.0 Head date for monters with more true; (0 pro and less that 20 years ave.	€2 33	2 0	367,034.51	367,034.51	367,034,48		Only 6 months in FY 2012 been, additional 8 months salary to been
2 to pay increase emperore interpret "out 1, 2016 Editoration from anything principalities Cartificate Fay increases	\$ 0\$\$0		179,900,32	173,309.20	in base setary in base setary 746,750.35		Stery in FY 2012 base budget Stery in FY 2012 base budget Probas starts in Fy 2013 add to been budget
Total FY 2012			(814,785 40)	(914,795,40)	2,760,517 27	628,743.24	
Control 1987	100 000 000	21. 100 201 4 17 270 201 401 4 1778 200 201 5 12 201 607 807 4 2760.517 27 4 2.516.507.10	A LIVE BOX BOX 38	\$ 12.507 607 80	\$ 2.750.517.21	E 2.816,007.1	



EXHIBIT 'A' (pg 2 of 6)

MOU Dollar increase Membership Increase	Example of impact over unit Ave sick leave hours per person Less base adjustment Net sick leave hours Pct qualifying Hours qualifying Weighted hourly rate Value of HRA	MOU Dollar increase Pct. Increase Does not include past service costs	Ave sick leave hours Less base adjustment Net sick leave hours Pct qualifying Hours qualifying Weighted hourly rate Value of HRA	HRA Impact
\$ 4,466.28 366.00 \$ 1,634,658.48	\$ 28.63 \$ 4,122.72 \$ 8.589.00	\$ 8,474.48 42.05%	2,000 2,000 (240)	
\$ 4,752.58 366.00 \$ 1,739.444.28	700 700 (240) 700 460 700 0.40 0.50 184 350 \$ 28.63 \$ 28.63 \$ 5,267.92 \$ 10,020.50	\$ 9,90 <u>5.98</u> 38.27%	2,500 2,500 (240) - 2,260 2,500 0,40 0.50 904 1,250 \$ 28.63 28.63 \$ 25,881.52 35,787.50	
\$ 5,038.88 366.00 \$ 1,844,230.08	800 800 (240) - 560 800 0.40 0.50 224 400 225 28.63 \$ 28.63 \$ 6,413.12 \$ 11,452.00	\$ 11,337.48 35.87%	3,000 3,000 (240) 2,760 0,40 0,50 1,104 1,500 \$ 28.63 \$ 28.63 \$ 31,607.52 \$ 42,945.00	



									200		2000 CONTROL	
FY 2010											impacts	
							FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	Total	FY 2013	Future
84 hours of leave without pay-spread over 12 mo.				-	0	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cont	NO.	CORE	Delented Liab.
_	Nbr	Monthly	Rate	wloppy	Employee	Savings	(Savings)	(Savinge)	(Savings)	Cost(Bavings)	(Signes)	Contraction
Firefighiers	139	6,127,00	25.26	(84)		(294,802.96)						
Firefighters Goetillists	117	6,848,00	28.22	(04)		(277,384,80)						
Fire Capt	109	7,837.00	31.47	(84)		(200,149,60)						
Fire Investigation Unit Supervisor	_	7,637.00	31.47	(04)	(2,644)	(20 CMC COUL)	5 (882 980.92)	se e	64	\$ (862,980.92)		
	366						- 1					
The second secon	or 12.5 abilit	a por year co	sh outs)									
No noticely leave costs out to remaind with seash sevines in the current MOU(cash out has per year)	nas in the cu	ment Moule	ish out his per year	3								
PO IS D GEIGITED COST IO IS ISSUED THOSE COST OF THE PERSON OF THE PERSO	Nbr	Monthly	Hourly	Hours	Per	Cosh						
	Employees		Rajo	Deferred	Employee	Savings						
Fireficinters	139	_1	25.25	(60		(210,573,64)						
	117		28.22	(90	152	(198,131.78)						
Fire Capt	- 19	7,837.00	31.47		(1,889)	(1,651 27)						200
Fire Investigation Unit Supervisor	366	1,00.100				5 (616,414.95)	(616,414,95)		0	0 10,0,014,00		
24 hours againsted from each manay server anno	bank coah o	2015)							1			
IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	Hbr	Monthly	Hourly	Hours	Par							
	Employee		Rate	Acd OIM	Sub-							
Firefighters	139		26.25	(2		(04,22942)						_
Firefighters Specialists	117		28,22	3 7								
Fire Capi	- 18	7,637.00	31.47	(24)	(765)							(246,565.90
	366					164,000,000						
	4	and increase	of at least 5%			7	l	Ì	ĺ	2	Ī	
Employees promoted to Firetigities open of super of super of									14 600 00	43,800.00	3	1,000,000 00
Need additional out of the second sec	move base	deduct of 2	(O hrs)		City os	City est. S 43,800.00	14,600,00	14,600,00	١	1		-
HRA 40% Increased to 50% utilize all tekt loave(penove bace deduct or 400 mms). Head to know average sick leave for the until at DROP date and fumble expected to retre duming MOU included in the contract of	priat calculati	number expos	ed to retire dumina	now		1						
May be material accrued liabones										90900		
Artists any can receive in 4 hour increments (oost par city staff report)	t par city st	aff roport)			City est.	1. 5 5,050.00	70.00	2,010,01	07			

EXHIBIT 'A' (pg 3 of 6)



					١		BY 7010	FY 2011	FY 2012	Total	FY 2013	CAINIA
FY 2011							Cost	Cost	Cont	Gont/(Savings)	Cost (Savinga)	Costi(Savings)
No holiday leave cash out							(oKinano)	1				
This is a deferred cost to a future MOU but a cash savings in the current MOU	as in the curie	UCW III										
	moleynes I	Salary	Rato	Deferred E	Employee	Savings						
		6,127,00	25.25	88	(1,693)	(186,131.79)						
Firefighters Spedelists		7,837,00	31,47	(60)	(1,888)	(205,821.35)						
Fire Capa	•	7637.00	31.47	(60)	(1,886)	(1,840.27)		020001010101010000		2000		61641495
Lita (Mendana) nun anima sea	366				-	5 (616,414.95)		(615,414,95)		No. action		
35,000												
One lime savings cropted	3	1										
	Ner	Monthly	Hourty	moon	Finoloveo	Savings						
-	Employees	12700	26.28	(99)	(2.424)	(338,917.67)						
Firefichters Soacialists	117	6,849.00	28,22	(88)	(2,709)	(317,010.86)						
Fire Capt	1 09	7,537.00	31.47	8	(3,021)	(328,314,16)						
Fire investigation Unit Supervisor	366	7,637,00	31.47	(ac)	l'radel	\$ (986,263.91)		(986.263.91)		(986,263.91)		1
2% pay increase effective mid year - Jen 1, 4911												
Permanent appropriate coast to another				18 Month	Por Emp.	Annual						
	Nbr	Monthly	Cost par	inoresse 2%	Par Year	incress						
Firefighters	139	8,127.00	851,653.00	206,595,08	1,470,46	204,396,72						
Firefighters Specialists	177	7,837,00	601,333.00 632,438.00	299,675,86	1,632.80	199,783,92						
Fire Investigation Unit Supervisor	į	7,637.00	7,637.00	2,749,32	1,832.08	1,832.80						
	386		2,493,058.00	697,500,18		*#C 0C						
City retirement Rate contributions			ĸ	182 013.04		121,342.02				_		
Cay retrement communitaris quiers			29,916,672.00	1,079,513.22		\$ 719,578.46		359,837.74	719,075.46	1	INTERIOR OF THE PROPERTY IN	

EXHIBIT 'A' (pg 4 of 6)



engo in the current MOU/sessames of cash out) Nor Monthly Hourly Hours Per Employees Saley Rate w/o pay P	Goot Savings (214,785.01)	FY 2018 FY Gost (Servings) (S:	Ev 2011 Coat (Savings)	Cost (Savings)	MOU MOU Cost(Swings)	L .	Cost (Savings)
(60) (80) (80)	9335		_	(40 674 969)	60	(628.743.24)	Add back 628,743.24) 628,743.24
368	1 1						
Nbr Menthly Hourity Hours Employees Salary Rate wile pay hiters 178 6,249,54 28,79 (99) alistic 117 6,985,74 28,79 (99) Cept 109 7,785,74 32,10 (98) r/kbr 366 7,789,74 32,10 (99)	Per Emp. Cost Per Y. Springs 12.472) (343.656.02) (2.784) (302.684.07) (3.082) (335.900.44) (3.082) (3.090.69)			(1,086,300,1)	(1,005,989,19)	9.19	Add back 9.19) 1.005.989,19
January 1, 2012 increase vacalion leave accrual for end. With >10 yaus suc but < than 20 years 7,5 ehilus to 8,0 Need data for members vith maro than 10 avo and loss than 20 years svc.	9					11 11	
Permanent addecoral cest to IAOU No. Nonthly Cost per Fredighters 139 6,249.54 104,242.33	Per Emp. Increase Per Month 193.72 209,484.65 139.72 195,196.20 155,79 203,779.50						
Fire Invastigation Unit Supervisor 1 7,788.74 2,542,917.12 36,50.07 City retirement Rate contributions 2,242,917.12 20,28% City retirement contributions defiant 61,884.44 20,28% Total Cost	7 610,00.11 7 610,00.11 9% 20,28% 14 122,708,86			387,034,51	367,034.51	1CN	New base selery 367,034.49
	Total Costs Contract						
No emp. Increase monthly 194 \$ 25,00 \$ 2,250.00 F 20,000.00 F remain	\$ 64,600.00 \$ 59,400.00 \$ 5,600.00 \$ 1449,000.00 \$ 70,28%						
.e.				178.898.32	32 179,694.32	1 100	8.32 In base salary
27.000	Toti Co				+	- 1	
\$ 25.00 \$ 1,526.00 \$ 25.00 \$ 1,676.00	, u u						
25 per mar 4 \$ 225.00 \$ 800.00 126 per mar 4 \$ 225.00 \$ 800.00	\$ 144,000,00						



Total NOU sosis (savings)	(our costs	Firefighters Specialists Firefighters Specialists Fire Capt Fire investigation Unit Supervisor City retirement contributions dollars	2% contribution pickup offective - July 1, 2012 Permanent additional cost to I/OU	City retirement contributions doffers Total Cost
		Nbr Monthly Employees Sslay 117 7,125.70 109 7,945.53 1 7,945.53 388		
		Cost per Month 3 880,059,76 0 833,706.85 0 860,003.29 3 7,645.53 2,593,775.45		\$ 811.20 \$ 4,611.20
		Increase Per Increase 2% Employee 17,721,20 127,48 12,674,14 142,51 1201,985,91 158,91 158,91 158,91 158,91 158,91 158,91 158,91 158,91 158,91 20,25% 158,92 20,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25% 158,243,25	1 Manth Annual	\$ 171,203.20 \$ 171,203.20
\$ (1,482,779.20)		172.654.35 207.658.19 1,596.03 272.598.11 272.598.11 272.598.11		320
\$ (1.228,224.45)				
S (178,504,28		o		173,203,20
178,504,28] \$ [2,867,507,93]		σ.		173,203.20
\$ 2,750,617,27		Hery base outry 748,750.95		173,203.20 In bare salary
5 2,615,007.16				

EXHIBIT 'A' (pg 6 of 6)

BARGAINING UNIT SALARY INCREASES

																									_	_	TE	-	SOR.
Γ				3		14		13			10			99			97		96		05	04		8	_	2	ΙП.		
	Non-Represented	Department Directors		5 FRESNO AIRPORT PUBLIC SAFETY	Management Confidential - 88 Employees		Non-Mgmt Confidential & Non-Confidential Mgmt - 334 Employees			Firefighter/Mgmt 16 Employees	- 1		Police Officers/Mgmt - 35 Employees	FRESNO POLICE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION (FPOA MGMT)		Trades and Crafts - 43 Employees	INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS (IBEW)	Bus Drivers - 271 Employees	AMALGAMATED TRANSIT UNION (ATU)	FIREFIGHTERS (IAFF) Firefighters - 328 Employees	INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF	FRESNO POLICE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION (FPOA) Police Officers - 786	White Collar - 929 Employees	FRESNO CITY EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION (FCEA)	Blue Collar - 788 Employees	(LOCAL 39)	_		EXHIBIT B
-	3%	3%			G	3%	<u> </u>	3%			2%		1% m	1% m			3%		3%		2%	2%		3%		2	7/1/99 7	_	
	3%					3%		3%			4%		1% m	1% m			3%	3%	2% s		4%	4%		3%			700/7/7	$\overline{}$	
(range)		(rang			(range)	0-5%	(steps)					in lieu of salary	cont	5% Pension		11/11/02	3% Eff	(steps)	3%	(steps)	3%	3%		3%	20/1/1	т. П	3%	FY02	
(labuar)		(rang		٦	~	0-5% P	-				3%	in lieu of	con	Pension			3%	Realign Eff 2/17/03 + 2%	Salary		3%	3%		0%		;	70/1//	FY03	*
(align)	ì	(rar			œ	P 0-5%	\vdash	3%			0%	in lieu o		Pension			3%		0%		0%	0%	2/15/03	LBF 2% Eff			3%	FY04	
Jan Harlan	para					% 0-5% Perf Based					% Salary Realign	2 0	ä	7 0 70			0%	Realign		7/01/04; 2% Eff 1/1/05		Realign	2	\$100/mo Stipend			0%	7/1/04	4
Tol	init (range)			New Unit	Range (ed Based; 1%		0% \$100/mo Stipend	ਰ ੁ	99	y 2% for Batt in Chiefs eff	\$10,439	range for	eff 8/1/05:			\$100/ma Stipend	Kealignmen	_	0/01/00	2	Eff 1/1/06	each ste	\$100 stipend rolled into			2%	FY06	
	ge) (range)				Ran	% Based; 4%	100	Oliver of the last			iti 3% for Bati		_		T		d Eff 1/1/07	_	Ąc	10/01/06		1% Pension Contribution		2.90%			2%	FY07	
	je) (range)			je 2%	0.0	% Based; 4%	1	3 6 6	9	inc.	t 4% for Batt S Chiefs; 5%	1		0 7		stipend				other classes		"F" Step (5% above "E" Step)	Addition of	2,90%	9	\$40/mo	3% +	FY08	
	e) (range)	T	Dod Based		C&C findi	% based on		е-оре		inc.	t 1.3% for Batt Chiefs; 5%	-	inc eff 1/1/09;			stipend	vac i		3%	base + 1.5%	\$100 EMT		2%	ن %		vac in lieu of	3% + 3 days	7/1/08	
			0/30	3% Expires	Ra	on Based; 5%		97er 6/30/09	in	C subject to	ttt 2% for Batt Chiefs		e 1% inc ef		T	subject to GF	Sii		Expires		Expires 6/30/09		2%	6/30/09		stipend or 1% subject to GF	3% + 3 days 3% + \$40/mo	7/1/09	
Revised 6/25/09	3	08	7%U	res	Cap	5% 6/30/10		09	i Ö.	% 0	fs 6/30/10		£ 9		th growth	y into salary F subject to GF			u (A		. u		Expires		1	stipend or 1% subject to GF		7/1/10	L
6/25/09						0 8					OŚ			0		- 42	6/30/11									6/30/11	Expires	7/1/11	

Revised 6/25/09



EXHIBIT 'C'

ANNUAL REPORT OF CHANGES AND/OR SIDE LETTERS IMPACTING MOU AGREEMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010-11

Bargaining Unit 16

	Added Cost FY 2010	Reduced Cost FY 2010	Comments
tem Discription 1. Side letter to add holiday cash out 2. Side letter to delay 1% wage increase 90 days	84,000		terminates 12-31-10 applies all employees

Net Fiscal Change \$

6,000

Bargaining Unit 19

S0 72 104	Added Cost FY 2010	Reduced Cost FY 2010	Comments
tem Discription	ITZUZU	ė (67.00	O) Only effects 50% of unit

Net Fiscal Change \$

(67,000)

Bargaining Unit 21

10.00 - Zerosa 604-810	Added Cost FY 2010	Reduced Cost FY 2010	Comments
Item Discription 1. Side letter to extend incentive pay for certification 2. Side letter to add \$100 stipend to each step 3. Side letter to delay 1% pay increase to 12-31-10	54,000 204,000	1	impacts 44 employees impacts all employees

Net Fiscal Change \$

57,000

Bargaining Unit 22

/ 2010	FY 2010	Comments
	. 8	impacts all employees see actuary report
	2010	(198,000)

Net Fiscal Change \$

(84,000)

CUMULATIVE NET FISCAL CHANGE FOR 2010-11 \$

(145,000)

DISCLAIMER: This is a sample report and does not respresent actual MOU negotiations or side letters. All financial data is fictitious and does not represent actual MOU cost calculations. Any comments are illustrative only and do not reflect actual events.





ANDREW JANZ City Attorney

November 19, 2024

MEMORANDUM

TO: Todd Stermer, City Clerk

RE: Technical correction for Labor Management Act

The following technical correction is to be made as part of the approved Resolution No. 2014-68. This correction is to attach the missing Exhibits A, B and C to the Labor Management Act. The exhibits are referenced on pages 5, 6 and 9 and a hard copy of the exhibits will be delivered to you.

Respectfully submitted,

JENNI DERUOSI Assistant City Attorney

c: Tina Griffin, Chief Assistant City Attorney (w/Attachment)

Attachments