FRESNO POLICE DEPARTMENT REPORTABLE RESPONSE RESISTANCE PROJECT



Second Quarter 2023 (April/May/June)

Mindy Casto Interim Police Chief

Final Report

Use of Reportable Response Resistance (Force) Data Collection

Despite Fresno police officers routine use of verbal commands, and attempts to negotiate peaceful solutions when involved in adversarial situations, there are times when physical force is necessary to make an arrest, prevent an escape, overcome resistance, or defend against injury to officers or citizens. Officers use force as a last resort, with the vast majority of confrontations resolved with very little, if any, force applied. On rare occasions, deadly force must be used; however, the public is often unaware of the vast majority of potentially deadly confrontations that are peacefully resolved without resorting to deadly force.

Closely monitoring our officers assures management oversight and helps to build public trust. In order to accomplish this, we require a review of each reportable use of force by field supervisors. Data is collected by the supervisors, forwarded through the department chain of command and reviewed at each level of supervision, to include Deputy Chiefs of Police.

After staff review is complete, the Policy and Procedure Unit reviews police reports and other force data for comparative analysis and composite reporting. This information is used to determine effectiveness and necessity of the force used, reliability of equipment, training needs, policy modifications, etc.


The Department defines reportable force as any force when:

- 1. Officers (including canines) use force and a person is injured, has expressed a complaint of pain or has been rendered unconscious; or,
- 2. Officers strike a person with a body part (e.g., fist, foot, elbow, etc.) or any object (e.g., flashlight, clipboard, etc.); or,
- 3. Officers use (not merely display) a Department issued weapon (e.g., baton, chemical agents, Taser, less lethal, shotgun, firearm, etc.) against another.

Fresno police officers applied force in 65 incidents while responding to 86,342 calls for service (CFS). This equates to officers applying force in 0.075% of all calls for service for this reporting period.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Calls For Service (CFS) vs. Reportable Response Resistance (Force) Incidents	1
Suspect Demographics	2
Daily Crime Bulletin (Wanted Persons) By Race	3
Force Incidents By Day Of Week, City-Wide	4
Force Incidents By Hour Of Day, City-Wide	4
Force Incidents By Policing District	5
All Calls For Service (CFS) By Policing District	5
District Map	6
Force Incidents By Gender Of Suspects	7
Reported Crimes By Age and Race Of Suspects	7
Reportable Force Incidents By Age and Race Of Suspects	8
Type Of CFS Resulting In Reportable Force Incidents	10
Suspect's Actions Necessitating The Use of Force	11
Reportable Force Incidents By Type Of CFS and Suspect's Action	11
Suspect's Drug/Alcohol Use With Reportable Force Applied	12
Suspect Weapons With Reportable Force Applied	12
Reportable Force Used By Officers	13
Officer Safety Issues, Weapon Retention	14
Suspect Medical Review After Reportable Force Applied	14
Officers Assaulted	15
Officers Injured	15
Supervisor On Scene When Reportable Force Applied	16





Suspect Demographics

	Asian	Black	Hispanic	White	Other
City of Fresno Pop.					
(542,107)*	76,979	36,863	271,054	140,406	16,805
Percentage	14.2%	6.8%	50.0%	25.9%	3.1%
Crimes with					
Suspect's					
Race/Age					
Identified (6,843)	354	1,511	3,620	1,152	206
Percentage	5.2%	22.1%	52.9%	16.8%	3.0%
Daily Crime					
Bulletin Listings					
(327)**	9	91	183	41	3
Percentage	2.7%	27.7%	55.8%	12.5%	0.9%
Force Applications					
(65)***	3	18	35	9	0
Percentage	4.6%	27.7%		13.8%	0.0%

* 2020 Census

** 1 persons or 0.0% were listed as 'unknown' (see page 3 for definition of Daily Crime Bulletin - DCB) *** Of the 65 reportable force cases, 0 had no age or race data available





DAILY CRIME BULLETIN (WANTED PERSONS) BY RACE LISTINGS – 328


der by Race:	Hispanic	-	55.8%
	Black	-	27.7%
	White	-	12.5%
	Asian	-	2.7%
	Other	-	0.9%
	Unknown	-	0.3%

The Daily Crime Bulletin (DCB) is a restricted, law enforcement use only document, issued department wide to all sworn personnel and twelve other local/state agencies to assist in locating/arresting suspects and wanted persons. The DCB is issued seven days a week and typically contains the following information:

1) Felonies with known, at-large, suspects

2) Wanted parolees


3) Officer safety information (vehicle occupants in possession of firearms, possible armed subjects, etc.)



FORCE INCIDENTS BY DAY OF WEEK, CITY-WIDE


Order by Day of the Week:		
Sunday	-	12.3%
Saturday	-	12.3%
Monday	-	4.6%
Friday	-	12.3%
Thursday	-	18.5%
Tuesday	-	13.8%
Wednesday	-	26.2%

FORCE INCIDENTS BY HOUR OF DAY, CITY-WIDE




1800 to 2359 hrs	-	32.3%
0000 to 0559 hrs	-	23.1%
1200 to 1759 hrs	-	30.8%
0600 to 1159 hrs	-	13.8%

FORCE INCIDENTS BY POLICING DISTRICT*



Of the 65 force incidents, 1 were not assigned to a specific district.

Order by District: Cer	ntral	-	28.1%
Nor	thwest	-	3.1%
Sou	utheast	-	31.3%
Sou	uthwest	-	15.6%
Nor	theast	-	21.9%




ALL CALLS FOR SERVICE (CFS) BY POLICING DISTRICT*


Of the 86,342 CFS, 4,143 were not assigned to a specific district.

Order by District:	Central	-	21.2%
	Northeast	-	19.9%
	Southwest	-	20.5%
	Southeast	-	19.4%
	Northwest	-	19.0%

* See page 6 for policing district boundaries.



FORCE INCIDENTS BY GENDER OF SUSPECTS



Of the 65 force incidents, 0 had no gender data available.

Other Age Group Asian Black Hispanic White TOTAL 12-17 18-23 24-29 1,212 30-35 1,377 36-41 1,184 42-47 48-53 54-59 60-65 66 and Over Total 1,511 3,620 1,152 6,843

REPORTED CRIMES BY AGE AND RACE OF SUSPECTS


Of the 15,124 reported crime suspects, 6,843 had both age and race data.


REPORTABLE FORCE INCIDENTS BY AGE AND RACE OF SUSPECTS


Age Group	Asian	Black	Hispanic	White	Other	TOTAL
12-17		1	4			5
18-23	1	4	2			7
24-29	1	2	6	1		10
30-35		4	11	2		17
36-41		3	6	2		11
42-47		1	4	3		8
48-53		1	1			2
54-59	1	1				2
60-65						0
66 and Over		1	1	1		3
Total	3	18	35	9	0	65


Of the 65 force incidents, 65 had both age and race data.


REPORTABLE FORCE INCIDENTS BY AGE AND RACE OF SUSPECTS













"Other" refers to persons whose race is not defined as Asian, Black, Hispanic or White, i.e. persons from the Pacific Islands or American Indian.

TYPE OF CFS RESULTING IN REPORTABLE FORCE INCIDENTS



Order by Force Incident Clearance Code:		Force Incidents:	CFS Total:
DISTURBANCE	_	20	13996
HEALTH/SUICIDE		20	5447
SUSPICIOUS ACTIVITY		12	14830
ASSIST CITIZEN OR AGENCY	-	2	2415
	-	2	-
	-	2	3804
911 CALL ISSUES	-	1	752
HOMELESS/VAGRANCY COMPLAINTS	-	1	5254
WARRANT SERVICE	-	3	852
INJURY OR FATAL TRAFFIC COLLISION	-	1	1181
TRAFFIC STOP	-	4	16700
ROBBERY	-	1	273
RAPE	-	1	741
ASSAULT	-	1	1000
STRUCTURE BURGLARY	-	1	4085
THEFT	-	1	1939
VEHICLE THEFT	-	4	1452
RESTRAINING ORDER VIOLATION	-	3	604
VANDALISM	-	1	775
WEAPONS OFFENSE	-	4	1486
Total		65	77586

SUSPECT'S ACTIONS NECESSITATING THE USE OF FORCE




REFUSED TO OBEY LAWFUL COMMAND	-	63.1%
ASSAULTED OFFICER	-	29.2%
ASSUMED FIGHTING STANCE	-	0.0%
HAND UNDER CLOTHING, REFUSED OFFICER'S COMMANDS	-	6.2%
ASSAULTING ANOTHER PERSON	-	1.5%
ATTEMPTING SUICIDE		0.0%


REPORTABLE FORCE INCIDENTS BY TYPE OF CFS AND SUSPECT'S ACTION

TYPE OF CFS	ASSAULTED OFFICER	ASSAULTING ANOTHER PERSON	ASSUMED FIGHTING STANCE	ATTEMPTING SUICIDE	HAND UNDER CLOTHING, REFUSED OFFICER'S COMMANDS	REFUSED TO OBEY LAWFUL COMMAND
DISTURBANCE	5	1			1	13
HEALTH/SUICIDE	1					1
SUSPICIOUS ACTIVITY	3				1	8
ASSIST CITIZEN OR AGE	NCY					2
COMMUNITY RELATIONS	1					1
911 CALL ISSUES	1					
HOMELESS/VAGRANCY	COMPLAINTS					1
WARRANT SERVICE	2					1
INJURY OR FATAL TRAF	FIC COLLISION					1
TRAFFIC STOP	1				1	2
ROBBERY	1					
RAPE						1
ASSAULT					1	
STRUCTURE BURGLARY						1
THEFT						1
VEHICLE THEFT	1					3
RESTRAINING ORDER VI	OLATION					3
VANDALISM	1					
WEAPONS OFFENSE	2					2
Total	19	1	0	0	4	41

SUSPECT'S CONDITION AT TIME REPORTABLE FORCE APLIED




Some suspects had more than one condition.



SUSPECT WEAPONS WITH REPORTABLE FORCE APPLIED

REPORTABLE FORCE USED BY OFFICERS




Some incidents require multiple applications of force to take a suspect into custody or stop an unlawful attack.

Order by Force:		
Carotid Restraint	-	0.0%
Non-striking	-	44.4%
Body Strike	-	18.1%
Object Strike	-	0.0%
Pepper Spray	-	1.4%
Electronic Immobilization [-	18.1%
Baton	-	0.0%
K-9	-	12.5%
Projected Impact Weapon	-	4.2%
Vehicle	-	0.0%
Firearm	-	0.0%
Pepper Projectile System	-	1.4%

Projected Impact Weapons is also referred to as a Less Lethal Shotgun or bean bag gun.

OFFICER SAFETY ISSUES, WEAPON RETENTION


* No incidents occurred this quarter whereby a suspect attempted to remove, or removed an officer's weapon.




SUSPECT MEDICAL REVIEW AFTER REPORTABLE FORCE APPLIED

Not all suspects who received medical review were injured. Per Department policy, any person subjected to a chemical agent/mace, electronic control device (Taser), less lethal impact projectile, or any force which causes injury or renders temporary disability to an arrestable subject, is automatically provided medical care by on-scene medical personnel or at a hospital.

OFFICERS ASSAULTED *



19 officers were assaulted.




OFFICERS INJURED *

7 officers were injured requiring immediate medical treatment.

Not all incidents, where an officer was injured, involved a use of reportable force, i.e. the suspect gives up after injuring an officer.

SUPERVISOR ON SCENE WHEN REPORTABLE FORCE APPLIED



A supervisor may be enroute to assist an officer on a call; however, the officer may be required to use reportable force prior to the supervisor's arrival. In these circumstances, the supervisor would be considered "not on scene."