**ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST IN SUPPORT OF CEQA GUIDELINES SECTION 15183 STREAMLINE**

**PROJECT CONSISTENT WITH A COMMUNITY PLAN OR ZONING**

**Environmental Checklist Form for:**

**Development Permit Application No. P20-XXXXX**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. | **Project title:**  Development Permit Application No. P20-XXXXX |
| 2. | **Lead agency name and address:**  City of Fresno  Planning and Development Department  2600 Fresno Street  Fresno, CA 93721 |
| 3. | **Contact person and phone number:**  ***[Planner Name]***, ***[Title]***  City of Fresno  Planning and Development Department  (559) 621-XXXX |
| 4. | **Project location:**  ***[Address]***: ***[Description of location relative to cross streets]***  (APN: XXX-XXX-XX) |
| 5. | **Project sponsor's name and address:**  ***[Applicant Name]***  ***[Applicant Company]***  ***[Street Address]***  ***[City, State, Zip Code]*** |
| 6. | **General & Community plan land use designation:**  \_\_ |
| 7. | **Zoning:**  \_\_ |
| 8. | **Description of project:**  Development Permit Application No. P20-XXXXX was filed by ***[Applicant’s name]***, on behalf of ***[Owner, if applicable]***. The applicant proposes to \_\_ ***[Describe the whole action involved, including but not limited to later phases of the project, and any secondary, support, or off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional sheets if necessary.]*** |
| 9. | **Surrounding land uses and setting:**   |  | Planned Land Use | **Existing Zoning** | **Existing Land Use** | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | North | \_\_ | \_\_ | \_\_ | | **East** | \_\_ | \_\_ | \_\_ | | **South** | \_\_ | \_\_ | \_\_ | | **West** | \_\_ | \_\_ | \_\_ | |
| 10. | **Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement):**  \_\_ |
| 11. | **Have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area requested consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 21080.3.1? If so, has consultation begun?**  Pursuant to PRC Section 21080.3.1, prior to the release of any negative declaration, mitigated negative declaration or environmental impact report (EIR) for a project, the lead agency shall begin consultation with the California Native American tribe that is traditionally and culturally affiliated with the geographical area of the proposed project. The proposed environmental assessment is a 15183-streamline assessment, which is consistent with the development density established by existing zoning, community plan or general plan policies for which an EIR was certified. Therefore, tribal consultation is not required. However, on September 19, 2019, compliant with Assembly Bill (AB) 52 and Senate Bill (SB) 18, the City provided formal notification for the General Plan Program EIR (State Clearinghouse (SCH) #2019050005) to interested Native American tribes that may be culturally or traditionally affiliated with the project area and vicinity to conduct consultation. Two tribes were formally notified regarding AB 52 consultation and 13 tribes were formally notified regarding SB 18 consultation. None of the 15 tribes contacted responded via letter or telephone and indicated that consultation would not be requested. No other requests for consultation were received within the 30‐day period, and as a result, AB 52 and SB 18 requirements were fulfilled for the Program EIR (SCH #2019050005). |

**PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSES OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT**

One previous environmental analysis has been prepared and certified which is applicable to the proposed project. On September 30, 2021, the City adopted an update to the General Plan and certified the associated Program EIR (SCH #2019050005). The proposed project would be consistent with the General Plan designation of XXXX, as described above. The Program EIR (PEIR) assumed full development and buildout of the project site, consistent with the uses and development standards proposed by the project. The cumulative impacts associated with buildout of the City of Fresno General Plan, including the project site, were fully addressed in the PEIR.

**CEQA Guidelines Section 15183 Streamline Analysis**

CEQA Guidelines Section 15183 allows a streamlined environmental review process for projects that are consistent with the densities established by existing zoning, community plan or general plan policies for which an EIR was certified. As noted above, the proposed project is consistent with the land use designation and densities established by the Fresno General Plan, for which an EIR was certified. The provisions contained in Section 15183 of the CEQA Guidelines are presented below.

**15183. Projects Consistent with a Community Plan or Zoning**

1. *CEQA mandates that projects which are consistent with the development density established by existing zoning, community plan, or general plan policies for which an EIR was certified shall not require additional environmental review, except as might be necessary to examine whether there are project-specific significant effects which are peculiar to the project or its site. This streamlines the review of such projects and reduces the need to prepare repetitive environmental studies.*
2. *In approving a project meeting the requirements of this section, a public agency shall limit its examination of environmental effects to those which the agency determines, in an initial study or other analysis:*
   1. *Are peculiar to the project or the parcel on which the project would be located,*
   2. *Were not analyzed as significant effects in a prior EIR on the zoning action, general plan, or community plan, with which the project is consistent,*
   3. *Are potentially significant off-site impacts and cumulative impacts which were not discussed in the prior EIR prepared for the general plan, community plan or zoning action, or*
   4. *Are previously identified significant effects which, as a result of substantial new information which was not known at the time the EIR was certified, are determined to have a more severe adverse impact than discussed in the prior EIR.*
3. *If an impact is not peculiar to the parcel or to the project, has been addressed as a significant effect in the prior EIR, or can be substantially mitigated by the imposition of uniformly applied development policies or standards, as contemplated by subdivision (e) below, then an additional EIR need not be prepared for the project solely on the basis of that impact.*
4. *This section shall apply only to projects which meet the following conditions:*
   1. *The project is consistent with:*
5. *A community plan adopted as part of a general plan,*
6. *A zoning action which zoned or designated the parcel on which the project would be located to accommodate a particular density of development, or*
7. *A general plan of a local agency, and*
   1. *An EIR was certified by the lead agency for the zoning action, the community plan, or the general plan.*
8. *This section shall limit the analysis of only those significant environmental effects for which:*
   1. *Each public agency with authority to mitigate any of the significant effects on the environment identified in the planning or zoning action undertakes or requires others to undertake mitigation measures specified in the EIR which the lead agency found to be feasible, and*
   2. *The lead agency makes a finding at a public hearing as to whether the feasible mitigation measures will be undertaken.*
9. *An effect of a project on the environment shall not be considered peculiar to the project or the parcel for the purposes of this section if uniformly applied development policies or standards have been previously adopted by the City or county with a finding that the development policies or standards will substantially mitigate that environmental effect when applied to future projects, unless substantial new information shows that the policies or standards will not substantially mitigate the environmental effect. The finding shall be based on substantial evidence which need not include an EIR. Such development policies or standards need not apply throughout the entire City or county, but can apply only within the zoning district in which the project is located, or within the area subject to the community plan on which the lead agency is relying. Moreover, such policies or standards need not be part of the general plan or any community plan, but can be found within another pertinent planning document such as a zoning ordinance. Where a City or county, in previously adopting uniformly applied development policies or standards for imposition on future projects, failed to make a finding as to whether such policies or standards would substantially mitigate the effects of future projects, the decision-making body of the City or county, prior to approving such a future project pursuant to this section, may hold a public hearing for the purpose of considering whether, as applied to the project, such standards or policies would substantially mitigate the effects of the project. Such a public hearing need only be held if the City or county decides to apply the standards or policies as permitted in this section.*
10. *Examples of uniformly applied development policies or standards include, but are not limited to:*
    1. *Parking ordinances.*
    2. *Public access requirements.*
    3. *Grading ordinances.*
    4. *Hillside development ordinances.*
    5. *Flood plain ordinances.*
    6. *Habitat protection or conservation ordinances.*
    7. *View protection ordinances.*
    8. *Requirements for reducing greenhouse gas emissions, as set forth in adopted land use plans, policies, or regulations.*
11. *An environmental effect shall not be considered peculiar to the project or parcel solely because no uniformly applied development policy or standard is applicable to it.*
12. *Where the prior EIR relied upon by the lead agency was prepared for a general plan or community plan that meets the requirements of this section, any rezoning action consistent with the general plan or community plan shall be treated as a project subject to this section.*
    1. *“Community plan” is defined as a part of the general plan of a City or county which applies to a defined geographic portion of the total area included in the general plan, includes or references each of the mandatory elements specified in Section 65302 of the Government Code, and contains specific development policies and implementation measures which will apply those policies to each involved parcel.*
    2. *For purposes of this section, “consistent” means that the density of the proposed project is the same or less than the standard expressed for the involved parcel in the general plan, community plan or zoning action for which an EIR has been certified, and that the project complies with the density-related standards contained in that plan or zoning. Where the zoning ordinance refers to the general plan or community plan for its density standard, the project shall be consistent with the applicable plan.*
13. *This section does not affect any requirement to analyze potentially significant offsite or cumulative impacts if those impacts were not adequately discussed in the prior EIR. If a significant offsite or cumulative impact was adequately discussed in the prior EIR, then this section may be used as a basis for excluding further analysis of that offsite or cumulative impact.*

**PROJECT-SPECIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW**

The Environmental Checklist includes a discussion and analysis of any peculiar or site-specific environmental impacts associated with construction and operation of the proposed project. The Environmental Checklist identifies the applicable City of Fresno development standards and policies that would apply to the proposed project during both the construction and operational phases and explains how the application of these uniformly applied standards and policies would ensure that no peculiar or site-specific environmental impacts would occur. None of the environmental factors below would be affected by this project, as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Aesthetics |  | Agriculture and Forestry Resources |
|  | Air Quality |  | Biological Resources |
|  | Cultural Resources |  | Energy |
|  | Geology/Soils |  | Greenhouse Gas Emissions |
|  | Hazards and Hazardous Materials |  | Hydrology/Water Quality |
|  | Land Use/Planning |  | Mineral Resources |
|  | Noise |  | Population/Housing |
|  | Public Services |  | Recreation |
|  | Transportation |  | Tribal Cultural Resources |
|  | Utilities/Service Systems |  | Wildfire |
|  | Mandatory Findings of Significance |  |  |

**CONCLUSION**

As described above, Development Permit Application No. P22-XXXXX (proposed project) is consistent with the land use designation and development intensity assigned to the project site by the City of Fresno General Plan. Cumulative impacts associated with development and buildout of the project site, as proposed, were fully addressed in the City of Fresno PEIR (SCH #2019050005). Since the proposed project is consistent with the land use designation and development intensity for the site identified in the General Plan and analyzed in the PEIR, implementation of the proposed project would not result in any new or altered cumulative impacts beyond those addressed in the PEIR.

The analysis in the following CEQA Environmental Checklist demonstrates that there are no site-specific or peculiar impacts associated with the project and identifies uniformly applied standards and policies that would be applied to the project. The Project Requirements identified in the attached environmental analysis include requirements that must be implemented by the proposed project in order to ensure that any site-specific impacts or construction-related impacts are reduced to a less-than-significant level. All Project Requirements identified in the attached Environmental Checklist shall be made a condition of project approval and shall be implemented within the timeframes identified.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Planner Name, Planner Title Date

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **I. AESTHETICS** – Except as provided in PRC Section 21099, would the project: | | | | |
| a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista? |  |  |  |  |
| b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock out-croppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? |  |  |  |  |
| c) In non-urbanized areas, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality public views of the site and its surroundings? (Public views are those that are experienced from publicly accessible vantage point). If the project is in an urbanized area, would the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality? |  |  |  |  |
| d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area? |  |  |  |  |

**DISCUSSION**

1. **Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **In non-urbanized areas, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surroundings? (Public views are those that are experienced from publicly accessible vantage point). If the project is in an urbanized area, would the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?**

[*Provide analysis]*

*Project Requirements*

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the aesthetics related Project Requirements as identified in the attached Project Requirements Checklist dated [insert date]. ***[Note to preparer: only use this if there are project specific requirements related to aesthetics. For the purposes of this analysis, “project specific requirements” include requirements carried over from the PEIR and imposed on a project level basis.]***

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **II. AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES –** In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state’s inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farm-land), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monito-ring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use? |  |  |  |  |
| b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract? |  |  |  |  |
| c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))? |  |  |  |  |
| d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use? |  |  |  |  |
| e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use? |  |  |  |  |

**DISCUSSION**

1. **Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?**

[*Provide analysis]* Practice pointer: please note that the GP PEIR requires that conversion of Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance be mitigated on a project-by-project basis, until a Citywide Farmland Preservation Program has been adopted. The determination that farmland conversion does not need to be mitigated due to the statement of overriding considerations is no longer a recommended practice due to the updated language.

1. **Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use or a Williamson Act contract?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Involve other changes in the existing environment, which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?**

[*Provide analysis]*

*Project Requirements*

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the agriculture and forestry resource related Project Requirements as identified in the attached Project Requirements Checklist dated [insert date]. ***[Note to preparer: only use this if there are project specific requirements related to agriculture and forestry resources. For the purposes of this analysis, “project specific requirements” include requirements carried over from the PEIR and imposed on a project level basis.]***

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **III. AIR QUALITY** – Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan (*e.g*., by having potential emissions of regulated criterion pollutants which exceed the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control Districts (SJVAPCD) adopted thresholds for these pollutants)? |  |  |  |  |
| b) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)? |  |  |  |  |
| c) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations? |  |  |  |  |
| d) Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people? |  |  |  |  |

***[Note to preparer: An Air Quality technical report that provides the construction and operation emissions of the proposed project is required for certain projects (See Environmental Assessment Form). Incorporate findings below.]***

**DISCUSSION**

1. **Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people?**

*[Provide analysis]*

*Project Requirements*

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the air quality related Project Requirements as identified in the attached Project Requirements Checklist dated [insert date]. ***[Note to preparer: only use this if there are project specific requirements related to air quality. For the purposes of this analysis, “project specific requirements” include requirements carried over from the PEIR and imposed on a project level basis.]***

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES** – Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? |  |  |  |  |
| b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service? |  |  |  |  |
| c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? |  |  |  |  |
| d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? |  |  |  |  |
| e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance? |  |  |  |  |
| f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan? |  |  |  |  |

***[Note to Preparer: To confirm whether or not adverse impacts to biological resources could occur, a biological resources assessment may be required.]***

**DISCUSSION**

1. **Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?**

[*Provide analysis]*

*Project Requirements*

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the biological resource related Project Requirements as identified in the attached Project Requirements Checklist dated [insert date]. ***[Note to preparer: only use this if there are project specific requirements related to biological resources. For the purposes of this analysis, “project specific requirements” include requirements carried over from the PEIR and imposed on a project level basis.]***

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **V. CULTURAL RESOURCES** – Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5? |  |  |  |  |
| b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5? |  |  |  |  |
| c) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries? |  |  |  |  |

**DISCUSSION**

***[Note to Preparer: If the project site is undisturbed or minimally disturbed, requesting an Extended California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) Records Search from the Southern San Joaquin Valley Information Center should be requested. If necessary, a cultural resources report, consisting of on-site evaluation may be required to fully address or mitigate any potential impacts to cultural resources. In addition, if the project site contains a structure over 45 years in age, a historic resources report may also be required to determine eligibility to the State or local register.]***

1. **Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource pursuant to Section 15064.5?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?**

[*Provide analysis]*

*Project Requirements*

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the cultural resource related Project Requirements as identified in the attached Project Requirements Checklist dated [insert date]. ***[Note to preparer: only use this if there are project specific requirements related to cultural resources. For the purposes of this analysis, “project specific requirements” include requirements carried over from the PEIR and imposed on a project level basis.]***

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **VI. ENERGY** – Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation? | \_\_ |  |  |  |
| b) Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency? | \_\_ |  |  |  |

**DISCUSSION**

1. **Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency?**

[*Provide analysis]*

*Project Requirements*

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the energy related Project Requirements as identified in the attached Project Requirements Checklist dated [insert date]. ***[Note to preparer: only use this if there are project specific requirements related to energy. For the purposes of this analysis, “project specific requirements” include requirements carried over from the PEIR and imposed on a project level basis.]***

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **VII. GEOLOGY AND SOILS** – Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Directly or Indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: |  |  |  |  |
| i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. |  |  |  |  |
| ii) Strong seismic ground shaking? |  |  |  |  |
| iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? |  |  |  |  |
| iv) Landslides? |  |  |  |  |
| b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? |  |  |  |  |
| c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse? |  |  |  |  |
| d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property? |  |  |  |  |
| e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water? |  |  |  |  |
| f) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature? |  |  |  |  |

***[Note to Preparer: Prior to conducting this analysis, a Geology/Soils report for the subject project site may be required in order for a determination to be made.]***

**DISCUSSION**

1. **Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:**
2. **Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Strong seismic ground shaking?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Landslides?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994, as updated), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?**

[*Provide analysis]*

*Project Requirements*

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the geology and soils related Project Requirements as identified in the attached Project Requirements Checklist dated [insert date]. ***[Note to preparer: only use this if there are project specific requirements related to geology and soils. For the purposes of this analysis, “project specific requirements” include requirements carried over from the PEIR and imposed on a project level basis.]***

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **VIII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS** – Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment? |  |  |  |  |
| b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases? |  |  |  |  |

**DISCUSSION**

* + 1. **Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?**

[*Provide analysis] Note to preparer: please make sure to use the Recirculated GHG Reduction Checklist from 2021.*

*Project Requirements*

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the greenhouse gas emissions related Project Requirements as identified in the attached Project Requirements Checklist dated [insert date]. ***[Note to preparer: only use this if there are project specific requirements related to greenhouse gas emissions. For the purposes of this analysis, “project specific requirements” include requirements carried over from the PEIR and imposed on a project level basis.]***

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **IX. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIAL** – Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials? |  |  |  |  |
| b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment? |  |  |  |  |
| c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school? |  |  |  |  |
| d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment? |  |  |  |  |
| e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in  a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area? |  |  |  |  |
| f) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan? |  |  |  |  |
| g) Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires? |  |  |  |  |

**DISCUSSION**

* + 1. **Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving wildland fires?**

[*Provide analysis]*

*Project Requirements*

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the hazards and hazardous material related Project Requirements as identified in the attached Project Requirements Checklist dated [insert date]. ***[Note to preparer: only use this if there are project specific requirements related to hazards and hazardous materials. For the purposes of this analysis, “project specific requirements” include requirements carried over from the PEIR and imposed on a project level basis.]***

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **X. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY** – Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or ground water quality? |  |  |  |  |
| b) Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin? |  |  |  |  |
| c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would: |  |  |  |  |
| i) Result in a substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site; |  |  |  |  |
| ii) Substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site: |  |  |  |  |
| iii) create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; or |  |  |  |  |
| iv) impede or redirect flood flows? |  |  |  |  |
| d) In flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk release of pollutants due to project inundation? |  |  |  |  |
| e) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan? |  |  |  |  |

**DISCUSSION**

1. **Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or ground water quality?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would:**
2. **Result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner, which would result in flooding on- or off-site?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Create or contribute runoff water, which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Impede or redirect flood flows?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **In flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk release of pollutants due to project inundation?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan?**

[*Provide analysis]*

*Project Requirements*

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the hydrology and water quality related Project Requirements as identified in the attached Project Requirements Checklist dated [insert date]. ***[Note to preparer: only use this if there are project specific requirements related to hydrology and water quality. For the purposes of this analysis, “project specific requirements” include requirements carried over from the PEIR and imposed on a project level basis.]***

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
| **XI. LAND USE AND PLANNING** – Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Physically divide an established community? |  |  |  |  |
| b) Cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect? |  |  |  |  |

**DISCUSSION**

1. **Physically divide an established community?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?**

[*Provide analysis]*

*Project Requirements*

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the land use and planning related Project Requirements as identified in the attached Project Requirements Checklist dated [insert date]. ***[Note to preparer: only use this if there are project specific requirements related to land use and planning. For the purposes of this analysis, “project specific requirements” include requirements carried over from the PEIR and imposed on a project level basis.]***

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **XII. MINERAL RESOURCES** – Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state? |  |  |  |  |
| b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan? |  |  |  |  |

**DISCUSSION**

1. **Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan?**

[*Provide analysis]*

*Project Requirements*

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the mineral resource related Project Requirements as identified in the attached Project Requirements Checklist dated [insert date]. ***[Note to preparer: only use this if there are project specific requirements related to mineral resources. For the purposes of this analysis, “project specific requirements” include requirements carried over from the PEIR and imposed on a project level basis.]***

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **XIII. NOISE** – Would the project result in: | | | | |
| a) Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies? |  |  |  |  |
| b) Generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels? |  |  |  |  |
| c) For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels? |  |  |  |  |

**DISCUSSION**

1. **Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or in other applicable local, state, or federal standards?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?**

[*Provide analysis]*

*Project Requirements*

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the noise related Project Requirements as identified in the attached Project Requirements Checklist dated [insert date]. ***[Note to preparer: only use this if there are project specific requirements related to noise. For the purposes of this analysis, “project specific requirements” include requirements carried over from the PEIR and imposed on a project level basis.]***

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **XIV. POPULATION AND HOUSING** – Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? |  |  |  |  |
| b) Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? |  |  |  |  |

**DISCUSSION**

1. **Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?**

[*Provide analysis]*

*Project Requirements*

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the population and housing related Project Requirements as identified in the attached Project Requirements Checklist dated [insert date]. ***[Note to preparer: only use this if there are project specific requirements related to population and housing. For the purposes of this analysis, “project specific requirements” include requirements carried over from the PEIR and imposed on a project level basis.]***

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **XV. PUBLIC SERVICES** – Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: |  |  |  |  |
| Fire protection? |  |  |  |  |
| Police protection? |  |  |  |  |
| Schools? |  |  |  |  |
| Parks? |  |  |  |  |
| Other public facilities? |  |  |  |  |

**DISCUSSION**

1. **Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, or the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives for any of the public services:**
2. **Fire protection?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Police protection?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Schools?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Parks?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Other public facilities?**

[*Provide analysis]*

*Project Requirements*

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the public service related Project Requirements as identified in the attached Project Requirements Checklist dated [insert date]. ***[Note to preparer: only use this if there are project specific requirements related to public service. For the purposes of this analysis, “project specific requirements” include requirements carried over from the PEIR and imposed on a project level basis.]***

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **XVI. RECREATION** - Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? |  |  |  |  |
| b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? |  |  |  |  |

**DISCUSSION**

1. **Increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities that might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?**

[*Provide analysis]*

*Project Requirements*

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the recreation related Project Requirements as identified in the attached Project Requirements Checklist dated [insert date]. ***[Note to preparer: only use this if there are project specific requirements related to recreation. For the purposes of this analysis, “project specific requirements” include requirements carried over from the PEIR and imposed on a project level basis.]***

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **XVII. TRANSPORTATION** – Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Conflict with a program, plan, ordinance or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle and pedestrian facilities? |  |  |  |  |
| b) Conflict or be inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines § 15064.3, subdivision (b)? |  |  |  |  |
| c) Substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? |  |  |  |  |
| d) Result in inadequate emergency access? |  |  |  |  |

***[Note to Preparer: Depending on the size of the project, and local context, a traffic study may be required to determine the number of trips that could be generated by the project. Please note that Vehicle Miles Traveled thresholds for the City will need to be implemented. Please refer to the City’s “CEQA Guidelines for Vehicle Miles Traveled Thresholds” adopted on June 25, 2020 for thresholds and screening criteria. Do not rely solely on the Technical Advisory prepared by OPR.]***

**DISCUSSION**

1. **Conflict with a program, plan, ordinance or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle and pedestrian facilities?**

[*Provide analysis] Note to preparer: if the project will cause an increase to LOS in conflict with the Mobility and Transportation Element, that may be discussed in this section.*

1. **Would the project conflict or be inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines section 15064.3, subdivision (b)?**

Senate Bill (SB) 743 requires that relevant CEQA analysis of transportation impacts be conducted using a metric known as vehicle miles traveled (VMT) instead of Level of Service (LOS). VMT measures how much actual auto travel (additional miles driven) a proposed project would create on California roads. If the project adds excessive car travel onto our roads, the project may cause a significant transportation impact.

The State CEQA Guidelines were amended to implement SB 743, by adding Section 15064.3. Among its provisions, Section 15064.3 confirms that, except with respect to transportation projects, a project’s effect on automobile delay shall not constitute a significant environmental impact. Therefore, LOS measures of impacts on traffic facilities is no longer a relevant CEQA criteria for transportation impacts.

CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.3(b)(4) states that “[a] lead agency has discretion to evaluate a project’s vehicle miles traveled, including whether to express the change in absolute terms, per capita, per household or in any other measure. A lead agency may use models to estimate a project’s vehicle miles traveled and may revise those estimates to reflect professional judgment based on substantial evidence. Any assumptions used to estimate used to estimate vehicle miles traveled and any revision to model outputs should be documented and explained in the environmental document prepared for the project. The standard of adequacy in Section 15151 shall apply to the analysis described in this section.”

On June 25, 2020, the City of Fresno adopted CEQA Guidelines for Vehicle Miles Traveled Thresholds, dated June 25, 2020, pursuant to Senate Bill 743 to be effective of July 1, 2020. The thresholds described therein are referred to herein as the City of Fresno VMT Thresholds. The City of Fresno VMT Thresholds document was prepared and adopted consistent with the requirements of CEQA Guidelines Sections 15064.3 and 15064.7. The December 2018 Technical Advisory on Evaluating Transportation Impacts in CEQA (Technical Advisory) published by the Governor’s Office of Planning and Research (OPR), was utilized as a reference and guidance document in the preparation of the Fresno VMT Thresholds.

The City of Fresno VMT Thresholds adopted a screening standard and criteria that can be used to screen out qualified projects that meet the adopted criteria from needing to prepare a detailed VMT analysis.

FOR PROJECTS THAT **ARE** ELIGIBLE TO SCREEN OUT, USE THE FOLLOWING FORMAT:

The City of Fresno VMT Thresholds Section 3.0 regarding Project Screening discusses a variety of projects that may be screened out of a VMT analysis including specific development and transportation projects. For development projects, conditions may exist that would presume that a development project has a less than significant impact. These may be size, location, proximity to transit, or trip‐making potential. For transportation projects, the primary attribute to consider with transportation projects is the potential to increase vehicle travel, sometimes referred to as “induced travel.”

The proposed project is eligible to screen out because [Enter information, please refer to the City of Fresno VMT Thresholds Section 3.0 Project Screening, at pages 9-21.].

In conclusion, the Project will result in a less than significant VMT impact and is consistent with CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.3(b).

1. **Substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Result in inadequate emergency access?**

[*Provide analysis]*

*Project Requirements*

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the transportation related Project Requirements as identified in the attached Project Requirements Checklist dated [insert date]. ***[Note to preparer: only use this if there are project specific requirements related to transportation. For the purposes of this analysis, “project specific requirements” include requirements carried over from the PEIR and imposed on a project level basis.]***

| **ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES** | **Potentially Significant Impact** | **Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated** | **Less Than Significant Impact** | **No Impact** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **XVII. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES** – Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in PRC section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is: |  |  |  |  |
| i) Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in PRC section 5020.1(k), or, |  |  |  |  |
| ii) A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evi-dence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of PRC section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of PRC section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe. |  |  |  |  |

**DISCUSSION**

1. **Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is:**
2. **Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1(k), or**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resource Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.**

[*Provide analysis]*

*Project Requirements*

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the tribal cultural resource related Project Requirements as identified in the attached Project Requirements Checklist dated [insert date]. ***[Note to preparer: only use this if there are project specific requirements related to tribal cultural resources. For the purposes of this analysis, “project specific requirements” include requirements carried over from the PEIR and imposed on a project level basis.]***

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **XIX. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS** – Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or storm water drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications facilities, the construction or relocation of which could cause significant environmental effect? |  |  |  |  |
| b) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project and reasonably foreseeable future development during normal, dry and multiple dry years? |  |  |  |  |
| c) Result in a determination by the waste water treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project’s projected demand in addition to the provider’s existing commitments? |  |  |  |  |
| d) Generate solid waste in excess of state or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals? |  |  |  |  |
| e) Comply with federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste? |  |  |  |  |

**DISCUSSION**

1. **Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or storm water drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications facilities, the construction or relocation of which could cause significant environmental effects?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project and reasonably foreseeable future development during normal, dry and multiple dry years?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project’s projected demand in addition to the provider’s existing commitments?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Generate solid waste in excess of State or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Comply with federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste?**

[*Provide analysis]*

*Project Requirements*

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the utilities and service systems related Project Requirements as identified in the attached Project Requirements Checklist dated [insert date]. ***[Note to preparer: only use this if there are project specific requirements related to utilities and service systems. For the purposes of this analysis, “project specific requirements” include requirements carried over from the PEIR and imposed on a project level basis.]***

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **XX. WILDFIRE** – If located in or near state responsibility areas or lands classified as very high fire hazard severity zones, would the project: | | | | |
| a) Substantially impair an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan? |  |  |  |  |
| b) Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire? |  |  |  |  |
| c) Require the installation or maintenance of associated infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment? |  |  |  |  |
| d) Expose people or structures to significant risks, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes? |  |  |  |  |

**DISCUSSION**

1. **Substantially impair an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?**

[Provide analysis]

1. **Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire?**

[Provide analysis]

1. **Require the installation or maintenance of associated infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment?**

[Provide analysis]

1. **Expose people or structures to significant risks, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes?**

[Provide analysis]

*Project Requirements*

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the wildfire related Project Requirements as identified in the attached Project Requirements Checklist dated [insert date]. ***[Note to preparer: only use this if there are project specific requirements related to wildfire. For the purposes of this analysis, “project specific requirements” include requirements carried over from the PEIR and imposed on a project level basis.]***

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **XIX. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE** | | | | | |
| a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? |  | |  |  |  |
| b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)? |  | |  |  |  |
| c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? |  | |  |  |  |

**DISCUSSION**

1. **Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of an endangered, rare, or threatened species, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (“Cumulatively considerable” means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.)**

[*Provide analysis]*

1. **Does the project have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?**

[*Provide analysis]*