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Draft Meeting Summary

1. Welcome, Roll Call, Introductions

- Staff S. Pagoulatos opened the meeting and asked staff to review translation and public input logistics, which was done. Spanish, Hmong and Punjabi interpreters were present and provided participation instructions. E. Payne, Chair, officially opened the meeting at approximately 6:10 p.m. He asked that staff S. Pagoulatos conduct the Roll Call.

- **Members Present:** A. Crowell, M. Doizaki, A. Padilla (Alternate to K. Forbes), E. Payne, A. Nguyen, R. Ginder G. Elenes, K. Forbes, Lopez, R. Fuente (late)

- **Members Absent:** A. de Alba, D. Darden, H. Lopez, C. Nicholson (Alternate to E. Payne)

2. Overview of Agenda

- Chair Payne asked staff S. Pagoulatos to review the agenda. The agenda was approved by consensus.

3. Approval of October 12, 2021 Meeting Summary

- Chair Payne asked for any comments or questions on the meeting summary from the October 12, 2021 meeting of
the task force. Hearing none, A. Crowell moved and G. Elenes seconded a motion to approve the meeting summary.

4. Task Force Business/Announcements

- S. Pagoulatos announced that the City of Fresno is moving from PrimeGov to Granicus for agendas. She also mentioned that an emergency rental and bill assistance (ERAP) and is available for residents.

5. Agree on next meeting date – proposed: Thursday November 18, 2021 – 6:40

- S. Pagoulatos mentioned that the final results of the Here to Stay report will be available soon, but it not done yet. A meeting between now and December 15 to discuss the final report results. Staff would like the Committee to have enough time to review the results. The meeting will be at.

- G. Elenes – City council meeting is on the Tuesday the 16th and is concerned that ‘zoom fatigue’ could set in if the meeting is held on December 2nd.

- S. Pagoulatos mentioned that even though that date is on the council calendar, there is no actual City Council Meeting.

- R. Ginder said he is not available on the 2nd.

- E. Payne suggested the 7th

- K. Forbes in not available the 7th.

- E. Payne suggested the 8th

- G. Elenes suggested December 1st

- Nguyen mentioned that she is worried that there will not be enough time to review by December 1st.
• S. Pagoulatos said the week of November 15th is the soonest the results will be ready. The week after would be the latest.

• M. Doizaki wanted to know when the City Council would hear the Task Force's recommendation.

• S. Pagoulatos answered December 15th.

6. Presentation: Community Study Session on top 10 preliminary policies from 10/12

• S. Pagoulatos gave a PowerPoint presentation on Community Study Session regarding Displacement of City residence and recapped the October 12 Task Force meeting. She went over each recommended policy and took questions at the end of the presentation as requested by Chair E. Payne.

• E. Payne opened Public Comment

• K. Welton asked what is considered low income?

• S. Pagoulatos answered 80% or below of area income

• C. Barker Resident of Dist. 1.

  1. How are these top 10 polices decided?

  2. Is there any discussion around foreclosure assistance?

• S. Pagoulatos

  1. These rose to the top via surveys answered from people who read the report.

  2. Foreclosure protection is in the report as well questions asked to the homeowners.

• K. McCoy from Fresno Building Healthy Communities asked why out of the 10 recommendations the City will
only implement 3 of them? Can we implement all recommendations, and if so, when? I feel it would be good to implement all.

- S. Pagoulatos answered that the Displacement Avoidance Plan calls for 3 polices to be considered by council by the time the grant ends in 2024. There are 46 different ideas. Staff believes it will be helpful to policy makers to hear public and task force recommendations at the top. Staff suggests trying to distill what the top polices are.

- K. McCoy asked if the City have an anti-displacement policy?

- S. Pagoulatos responded that the Downtown Plans, the Southwest Fresno Specific Plan, the TCC grant, and the Housing Element all call for actions to stop displacement.

- K. McCoy asked wouldn’t it be wise to recommend all 46 polices?

- S. Pagoulatos will leave it up to task force to answer, but the top priorities should have more weight.

- D. Tittle, a small landlord proclaimed that one of the polices scares him as a landlord. Not allowing background checks violates Megan’s Law. Developer would not develop in area due to these regulations that do nothing but raise rent. Living wage wage $46 per hour that will add to cost.

- S. Pagoulatos explained that with fair chance housing, Megan’s Law is an exception.

- D. Tittle responded I will probably sell my property to an ‘out of towner’.

- Brandy N at 713 Andrews Ave asked if there is any favorite policy from report and why it is important. Are there any you feel would be important to the communities that did not make the top 10?

- S. Pagoulatos explained that the Task Force will be forwarding the full report to planning commission and city council with their final recommendations. The Task Force
will review all 46 recommendations. The Staff recommends the Task Force does prioritize so that the report will show what communities said about report.

- K. Welton at 1457 B ST said that it's great that the City is doing this program, but where is the accountability? People have to clean up their credit and be accountable for themselves. What will people give back from program after they get the programs.

- E. Payne asked if K. Welton had read the report.

- K. Welton replied not yet.

- E. Payne asked K. Welton to give their email and contact information to staff and they will get an email to where they can read the plan.

- Rivera asked how many days does home have to be vacant before it can be sold to the next person?

- S. Pagoulatos replied that we are open to any information the public has about delay in housing turnover.

- J. Nkosi at 5241 N Maple and Faith in the Valley suggested that we should be looking at this report as a mandate to implement all solutions. How do we affect employment over 3-, 5-, and 10-year period? If we only recommend 10 polices, we need to have a better plan for implementation.

- E. Payne said that he would like to continue this conversation, and to please give their contact information to staff.

- McCloskey from Fresno Homeless advocates and VP of Homeless union commented on the issue of local hire, a lot of homeless have construction experience, vacancy rate for apartments is 0.6%. Hiring the homeless would be a good way to build homes. Inability to get hired once on the streets is a big reason people remain homeless.

- An Anonymous Attendee submitted a comment in the chat: As a property owner and investor in the area I do believe there will be concerns from "Responsible" landlords who
take pride in their properties, especially when the issue of rent control comes in to play and other issues as well. (Yes, I did read the entire report). I do believe their [sic] are wonderful tenants and I have many, but there are also nightmare tenants and my concern is where landlords will be protected from the nightmare tenants? I see the protections for TENANTS, but did not see protections for landlords in the report.

- R. Payne confirmed that the comment has been recorded and moved to the next comment.

- G. Terzakis at 1314 W Shaw asked if his office could host stakeholder groups?

- R. Payne answered yes, and to get with staff and schedule meetings.

- S. Williams 2255 S. Plumas shared two concerns:

  1. Land grant and ownership, patrons would own the house, but not property? If I die, does it go to my kids, or does it go back to community trust?

  2. When developers come in and build what they call low income, and rent raises over years, and then families don't get to stay. Price out of apartments that are supposed to be low income.

- S. Pagoulatos stated that she will verify and respond at a future meeting.

- R. Payne confirmed.

- J. Vega said she is from zip code 93702 and agrees that the implementation should be as comprehensive as possible. Especially factoring in Fresno's unhoused population as many have been directly impacted by the effects of rising costs and inaccessible housing. With special consideration of moratoriums and UI (universal income) no longer being available to many.

- R. Payne closed public comment and opened the floor for Task Force Discussion at approximately 7:21pm.
• **K. Forbes** began by stating that she doesn't want the 3 polices to be the least expensive ones. She suggested stacking it so Council doesn't have a way out via least expensive polices. Also, do we look at the polices and their relationship to specific populations? She closed by stating that it is helpful to hear from folks to hear how they are thinking about it.

• **G. Elena** shared in what K. Forbes said: This is why California is in a housing crisis. Clarification from staff – could recommendations include other items such as timelines?

• **S. Pagoulatos** stated that they could, but implementation details are not known at this time. She suggested that polices could be classified into short, middle, and long term.

• **Crowell** mentioned that we should think carefully about what polices we recommend. We need to find a way to take what public had to say, combine that with the policy recommendations. Whatever we recommend, we should be aware of what the city already has in terms of polices.

• **S. Pagoulatos** said that Staff can provide that information.

• **R. Ginder** said that there are several that the State already mandates. Thrivance doesn't have a handle of what existing laws are. I have subdivided into condos before people moved in. The subdividing policy is pretty useless as Fresnans don't like condos much.

• **M. Doizaki** said that there is no help for business, and thought businesses were covered. Have we had any feedback from homeless about displacement and what can combat it?

• **S. Pagoulatos** answered that there aren't a lot of polices that include businesses. Local hire does have an economic effect, but there aren't a lot included in this list of recommended polices. Homeless advocates have weighted in, but more information from homeless is always good.
• Nguyen asked if staff vetted against existing laws and programs for all priorities? They would like to know before Task Force gives recommendations.

• S. Pagoulatos answered that that process is underway right now. Staff is looking at the polices. Vetting of these polices is going to compare polices with existing programs.

• Crowell asked what are the areas affected in recommendations? Will these be broken down for report? Are responses broken out by zip code?

• S. Pagoulatos answered that staff will check with Thrivance if Zip Code location is included in the responses.

• G. Elenes requested to see who is making the comments, landlords, tenants, owners etc.

• E. Payne made mention that Environmental Justice on page 6, LAFCO does adopt emergency management plans, and that they would also like to know what laws and regulations overlap with the report. He asked if there could also be a feasibility study about the total cost to implement report. What would cost to eradicate displacement? Does this cost come from operating budget? Guidance relative to full adoption of plan. What does it look like if we send entire 46 policies to city council?

7. Procedure for Recommending Here to Stay Priorities - 7:15 p.m.

• S. Pagoulatos announced that the Task force will have access to what public said about the report. Staff recommends the Task Force Prioritize the top ten policies. Staff suggest picking polices that will work together and on key issues. The Task Force should consider anti-displacement polices, be prepared by next meeting to give your recommendations on priority recommendations to be forwarded to city council for approval and implementation.
• E. Payne made mention of the strong support to recommend more than just 10 polices. And make sure any policies and law/programs overlap are called out.

• K. Forbes wanted to see the funding stream for at least 3 polices for implementing. She stated that we don't have cost, or number of people who will benefit from polices. She asked what principle is being used to be strategic?. It may be possible to use state mandates in favor of those polices that are more expensive. She asked the group how do we think about equity of people and polices and how to convince city based on people who will benefit from these polices. The polices should include small business.

• E. Payne supported small business being incorporated and should be looked at. He has also heard that most of the polices should be recommended to be included to City Council.

• R. Ginder asked if he could bring alternate policies forward if he thought they were better?

• E. Payne answered yes.

• R. Fuentes suggested that since Council will get all 46 recommendations, the Task Force should rank the top priorities. We should be strategic on what top 10 polices are. What polices will have the greatest impact? We don’t know what they will cost. And city council will have to decide based on budget. Task Force should consider what policies have the biggest impact.

• E. Payne with no further comment closed the item.

8. Next Steps – 7:30 p.m.

• S. Pagoulatos announced that the Task Force should decide when to have the next meeting.

• R. Fuentes said that November 18th would work.
• S. Pagoulatos stated that staff will most likely conduct a poll on when to have the next meeting, anticipating December 15th approval. Council adoption in January.

9. Unscheduled Oral Communications

• M. Lewis at 2519 Lotus Ave mentioned that there is a huge problem for housing who don’t qualify for section 8. People want to stay in areas they are familiar.

• M. Doizaki said that small business are also afraid of displacement and would like to see something that would help small business.

8. Close

• E Payne thanked staff and echoed that a poll will be conducted to determine the next meeting time. He also requested that small business be considered in the recommendations.

The meeting adjourned at 7:59pm
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Executive Summary

To satisfy the requirements of the Transformative Climate Communities (TCC) grant, which funded research to identify implementable anti-displacement policies, Thrivance Group was tasked with identifying three policies the City of Fresno would pursue to meet the specific objectives of the City’s displacement avoidance plan. The findings from this process also include requirements and policies outlined in the Downtown Neighborhoods Community Plan, the Southwest Fresno Specific Plan, and Housing Element Program 12A.

The Fresno Housing Element is a mandated chapter of its general plan and its purpose is to ensure decent and affordable housing for all persons in the City of Fresno. Adopted in 2016 and amended in 2017, it contains 28 programs designed to further the city’s housing goals. Program 12A of the Housing Element requires the establishment of an Anti-Displacement Task Force, annual gathering of data and reporting on displacement, identification of a set of actions (policies) that would allow residents and merchants to remain in their neighborhoods, and pursuit of funding for development of mixed income and affordable housing, along with posting of relevant information on the City’s website. The City established the Task Force in 2018 and produced its first annual report on Displacement in 2019. The Here to Stay report was intended to guide the Anti-Displacement Task Force’s future efforts in studying, reporting on, and preventing displacement.

To arrive at the policy recommendations, Thrivance Group conducted a series of community engagement activities that resulted in 46 anti-displacement preliminary policy recommendations. The 46 preliminary recommendations were shared widely for public comments and feedback to determine a consensus-based prioritization and ranking of the 46 proposed policies. The Thrivance Group produced the Here to Stay report to ensure Fresno residents had a transparent overview of the details of each policy recommendation as well as how the recommendations came about. The Here to Stay report was a package of policy recommendations intended to provide a plan of action to continue moving the City of Fresno and its elected officials toward citymaking with the goal of making Fresno more healthy, more economically stable and more equitable. While the report was the result of a year-long research and community engagement effort, paid for by the City of Fresno, the policies recommended throughout were crafted in the spirit of community-based policy development and with the long-term sustainability of both former and current residents at the core of the effort’s intentions and motivations.

The Here to Stay Public Comment Report provides an overview of 1) how public comment outreach was structured, 2) the quantitative analysis of public comments and surveys, 3) the qualitative analysis of submitted letters and
existing socio-economic conditions, 4) a final prioritization of the 46 Here to Stay policies informed by public feedback, and 5) Thrivance Group’s final recommendations for near-term implementation of the priority policies.

**Summary of Final Recommendations**

The ranked list of recommended policies being submitted by Thrivance Group to the city of Fresno are: 1) Fair Chance Housing, 2) Eviction Right-to-Counsel, 3) Rent Stabilization, 4) Increase Local Hire and Living Wage Minimum on Local Contracts, 5) City of Fresno “Here to Stay” Community Land Trust, 6) “Here to Stay” Homeowner and Renter Assistance Programs, 7) “Here to Stay” Deposit Program, 8) Moratorium on Encampment Sweeps, 9) Public Health Impact Reports, 10) Environmental Justice and Climate Resiliency Planning, 11) Fresno-Specific Universal Design Standards, 12) Department of Anti-Displacement and Homelessness Intervention, 13) Here to Stay Affordability Index, 14) Right To Return Home, and 15) Dignified Tiny House Villages and Scattered Site Housing.
Introduction

BACKGROUND

How We Got Here

The Thrivance Group was contracted by the City of Fresno to research and evaluate potential displacement avoidance policies to ensure that the changes coming to Fresno through the Transformative Climate Communities project would be most beneficial to existing Fresno residents and former Fresno residents looking to return home. As part of the agreement, Thrivance Group wrote and published a report titled: Here to stay: a blueprint for displacement-avoidance.

The initial assignment was to develop recommendations that would directly benefit residents in the Southwest Fresno area. However, the policy recommendations would have to apply to the broader region of Fresno, given the nature of displacement and migration throughout the region. For example, the City of Fresno could adopt a package of policies that specifically apply to Southwest Fresno, but how will the people who’ve already been displaced from Southwest Fresno and live in other regions of Fresno benefit from those interventions?

The following statements, which can be found in several places on the Transform Fresno website, describe the origin of the Transformative Climate Communities project and how displacement avoidance fits in, follows:

The Transform Fresno suite of projects is funded through the Transformative Climate Communities (TCC) grant through the Strategic Growth Council and is made up of 24 projects, a Community Engagement Plan and a Displacement Avoidance Plan. The Transform Fresno Displacement Avoidance Plan (DAP) describes potential policies as it relates to avoiding displacement activities that the Transform Fresno community has identified as ways for residents and businesses to remain intact should the threat of displacement occur specific to Transform Fresno.

Here is the statement from the Transform Fresno project that directed the Thrivance Group’s work:

The basis of what we hope to accomplish from the consultant’s work is to address the following questions:

- Is displacement currently taking place in the Transform Fresno Project Area? If so, what is the cause? If not, what is the probability of future displacement occurring in the Transform Fresno Project Area?
- What are the potential factors that would contribute to continued or future displacement?
- What are potential steps to mitigate further or future displacement?
- Include policy recommendations, strategies, and other tools that can prevent or mitigate displacement

Fresno’s current Displacement Avoidance Plan outlines existing policies within the City of Fresno. These are the policies, plans, ordinances, and programs currently in place to prevent displacement of existing households within the project area, such as the production of affordable
housing, preservation of affordable housing, tenant protections, and support, protections for small businesses, business stabilization and wealth building. City of Fresno staff are tasked with overseeing and monitoring policy development. The Anti-Displacement Task Force, Outreach and Oversight Committee, Fresno City Council, and Staff are all in some way responsible for reporting milestones such as data gathering and analysis, policy development, community support, City Council or Mayoral support, and adoption.

**Public Comment Outreach Activities**

The public comment period was initially scheduled to remain open for 45 days. However, given COVID-19 constraints and a desire to provide feedback opportunities for as many people as possible, the public comment period lasted 89 days. During the public comment period, the following engagement activities were deployed by the City of Fresno:

**Community Study Sessions** - 4 virtual workshops called community study sessions were facilitated by the City of Fresno to provide an in-depth level of detail regarding the 46 policy recommendations. Each session addressed a different set of policies, with the intention of workshopping all 46 policies by the end of the public comment period. During each study session, live polls were conducted and public comments were collected. Each session had Spanish, Hmong, and Punjabi interpretation available. Below is a snapshot of study session attendance:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting Date</th>
<th>Total registered</th>
<th>Total Attendees</th>
<th>Staff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/22/2021</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/12/2021</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/19/2021</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/26/21</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Partner-Led Study Sessions** - 4 community partners hosted their own informational study sessions to ensure the inclusion of those who would most likely be impacted by the policy recommendations. Below is a snapshot of partner-led study sessions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Format</th>
<th># of participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Faith in the Valley / Fresno State</td>
<td>Zoom</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability Advisory Commission Housing Subcommittee</td>
<td>Zoom</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing the Region’s Inclusive and Vibrant Economy (DRIVE)/Fresno Community Health Improvement / Partnership (FCHIP)</td>
<td>Zoom</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Valley Community Foundation (CVCF)</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hard Copy Reports for Pickup - Hard Copy printouts of the Here to Stay report were made available by the City of Fresno in English, Hmong, and Spanish. The following pickup locations were publicized:

- Fresno County Library
- West Fresno Branch Library
- Central Branch Library
- St. Rest Baptist Church
- Westside Church of God
- Rising Star Missionary Baptist Church
- Mary Ella Brown Community Center
- Centro La Familia
- Fresno City Hall
- Fresno Metro Ministry

Phone Banking and Canvassing - Thrivance Group dispatched community partners and Dignity Team staff to canvass neighborhoods within the Transform Fresno project area for 20 hours over a two week period in August 2021. Canvassers distributed postcards promoting the public comment period and collected manual comments. During the final two weeks of the public comment period, Thrivance Group staff phone banked 375 residents within the Transform Fresno project area to remind them to submit comments and surveys before the deadline. Thrivance Group staff assisted residents with digital survey submissions during the phone banking sessions.

COUNTING EVERY VOICE
The following public forms of feedback were included in the analysis for the Here to Stay Public Comment Report:

- 168 verified form-letters (a single letter submitted by multiple people) submitted by landlords and real estate agents [included in qualitative analysis]
- 122 digital surveys submitted through the Transform Fresno website [included in quantitative analysis]
- 5 manually completed surveys collected by Thrivance Group staff [included in quantitative analysis]
- 3 letters from community based organizations who serve residents within the Transform Fresno project area [included in qualitative analysis]
- 7 letters emailed delivered in person by individual residents [included in qualitative analysis]
- 176 comments submitted by Here to Stay study session attendees [included in qualitative analysis]
- 70 open-ended comments submitted by residents through the Transform Fresno website (included in the appendixes of this report) [included in qualitative analysis]
SIGNIFICANCE OF RESPONSE

The Thrivance Group used a sample size calculator to determine the minimum number of responses required to consider the survey effort scientifically significant. A scientifically significant sample size means we can trust that the findings are accurate. A statistically significant result is a result that cannot be attributed to chance. Once scientific significance is achieved, it is unlikely that surveying additional people would result in a significant change in the findings. Using the SurveyMonkey sample size calculator, we determined that our ideal sample size for a scientifically significant finding would be 384 adult respondents citywide (assuming Fresno’s adult population is 375,796 people and assuming the population of the Transform Fresno project area is 48,046 people. Despite having a substantial response, scientific significance could not be established to determine political will of each policy recommendation because form letter submissions were not verifiable by zip code to a degree of confidence and they did not contain specific feedback about the policies themselves. And, while study sessions provided excellent qualitative information, study sessions were attended by people who also submitted surveys, so they could only be counted once.

Given the inherent limitations in the sample size of those surveyed, the results were validated by cross-referencing the demographic composition of the surveyed population against the overall known demographics of the general population of the City of Fresno. Survey response rates were also compared to recent voting turnout rates. When modifying the population sizes to reflect the number of people who are civically engaged through voting, an ideal target sample size was achieved. Therefore, the results of the survey effort had moderate-high validity.

WHO RESPONDED?

Race and Ethnicity

White survey respondents were overrepresented in the survey results. When racial demographics of respondents were compared to citywide demographics, white (non-Hispanic) respondents showed up at a rate of 36.4% while they make up 26% of the Fresno population.

Latino/Hispanic respondents were underrepresented by 10% at a response rate of 34.3%. Black, Asian/Pacific Islander, and Indigenous response rates were 9.3%, 7.1%, and 2% respectively.

(see RACE AND ETHNICITY graph)
Gender

People who identify as “woman” comprised 50% of all responses. “Men” made up 37.1% of respondents. Over 6% of respondents identified as Gender Queer, Gender Non-Conforming, and Transgender.

The significance of gender queer and gender non-conforming representation in the survey results cannot be understated. This is especially significant because gender queer and gender non-conforming residents are an expressed priority in the Here to Stay report. The number of people who identify as LGBTQ was not captured with the survey questions, although the fact that those who identify as non-binary, questioning, gender queer, or trans participated in the data collection is relevant and important.

*(see GENDER graph)*

Age

The largest age group represented in survey responses was 25-34 years old at a response rate of 37.1%. 35-44 year-olds were also significantly represented at a rate of 29%. 18-24 year-olds responded at a rate of 11.3%. 55-64 year-olds represent 8.9% of responses. 45-54 year-old responded at a rate of 7.3%. Respondents 65 years of age and older were 5.6% of respondents. One respondent was less than 18 years old.

*(see AGE graph)*
Policy Preferences
POLICY RANKINGS

**Majority Preferred**

7 policies were selected by more than 50% of all survey respondents. Homeowner and Renter Assistance Programs, Fair Chance Housing, Land Trusts, Rent Stabilization, and Eviction Right-to-Counsel were the most popular with more than 75 people selecting each. The Deposit Program, and Increasing Local Hire and Minimum Wage Requirement on Contracts were also favored among the majority of respondents.

*(see POLICIES SELECTED BY MORE THAN 50% OF THOSE SURVEYED graph)*
**Moderately Preferred**

23 policies were selected by less than 51%, but more than 33% of people. The broad range of policies that did not appear to be definitively rejected indicate the likelihood that a demographic analysis of those who prefer these policies would reveal identity-specific needs.

*(see POLICIES SELECTED BY MORE THAN 33% OF THOSE SURVEYED graph)*
**Not Preferred**

15 policies were selected by less than 33% of people. These policies are among the most complex recommendations and would likely require a vast amount of resources and inter-agency coordination. Additional education and research on these policies would likely shift perspectives in favor of implementing them.

*(see POLICIES SELECTED BY LESS THAN 33% OF THOSE SURVEYED graph)*

**POLICIES SELECTED BY LESS THAN 33% OF THOSE SURVEYED**

- Civic Engagement Fulfillment Center
- Public Works Prioritization and Mobility Justice
- Rapid Rehousing Dispatch
- Language Justice Clearinghouse
- Displacement Burden Access Designation
- Fresno-Specific Universal Design Standards
- Mobility/Transportation Priority System
- Cargo/Freight Prohibition/Tax
- Kinship Housing Permissions
- Public Service Lease Incentive
- TIF for Anti-Displacement
- Joint Development Priority Permit Program
- Anchor Institution Protections
- Special Business Certifications
- Civil Service Reclassification

(33% of people)
**General Consensus**

When respondents were asked to select a preferred bundle of priorities based on the overall focus area of each package, they selected packages that only contained a few of the individual policies that were preferred or moderately preferred. This suggests that, when asked to make a decision based on morality and values, expressed priorities contradict those that are conveyed using terminology that sounds political. Nearly 79% of respondents prefer policies that 1) are sustainable and build capacity for anti-displacement over time, and 2) reduce harm and seek to provide immediate relief to those who are most negatively affected by displacement pressures.

*(see POLICIES PACKAGES PREFERRED BY THOSE SURVEYED graph)*

All 168 respondents from the Real Estate Interest Group described on pg 27 disagreed with rent control. Only 5 survey respondents indicated disagreement with *specific* policies:

*(POLICIES THAT MORE THAN 1 PERSON SURVEYED DISAGREED WITH)*

- Cargo/Freight Tax: 1.6%
- Moratorium on Encampment Sweeps: 2.4%
- Rent Control: 4%
- General Disagreement/All: 4%
Renters vs. Owners Policy Prioritization

To gain insight into which policies were preferred by residents with different housing statuses, the survey asked each respondent, “Do you rent or own your home?” Residents were given multiple options to select, including: “Don’t have stable housing (staying with family/friends, couch surfing) Homeless (living outside, shelter, car),” “I own my current home,” and “I rent,” Their respective preferences were the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Top priorities among unhoused people surveyed:</th>
<th>Top priorities among homeowners surveyed:</th>
<th>Top priorities among renters surveyed:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Moratorium on Encampment Sweeps</td>
<td>• Eviction Right-to-Counsel</td>
<td>• Fair Chance Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fair Chance Housing</td>
<td>• “Here to Stay” Homeowner and Renter Assistance</td>
<td>• Eviction Right-to-Counsel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Eviction Right-to-Counsel</td>
<td>• Fresno “Here to Stay” Community Land Trust</td>
<td>• “Here to Stay” Homeowner and Renter Assistance Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Right To Return Home</td>
<td>• Increase Local Hire and Living Wage Minimum on Local Contracts</td>
<td>• City of Fresno “Here to Stay” Community Land Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• One-to-One Affordable Unit Replacement Action Plan</td>
<td>• Environmental Justice and Climate Resiliency Planning</td>
<td>• “Here to Stay” Deposit Program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Policies Preferred by Those Living within the Transform Fresno Project Area

To assess the extent to which overall preferred policies reflected the preferences of those who would most likely be benefitted and protected by the policies, a data analysis was conducted to show the preferences of those living within (and directly adjacent to) the Transform Fresno project area zip codes. 46% of those who responded to the survey live within the Transform Fresno project area. It’s important to note, that living outside of the Transform Fresno project area does not mean a respondent cannot be (or hasn’t been) impacted by displacement. To a certain extent, all Fresno residents will be impacted by each of the recommended policies. It is, however, necessary to center the final recommendations around the needs and priorities who are experiencing the brunt of displacement pressures. Many of those residents live in the Transform Fresno project area.

(see PERCENTAGE OF SURVEY RESPONSES BY ZIP CODE graph)
(see PERCENTAGE OF SURVEY RESPONSES WITHIN/ADJACENT THE TRANSFORM FRESNO PROJECT AREA graph)
The most preferred policies among those surveyed and living in the Transform Fresno project area were:

- “Here to Stay” Homeowner and Renter Assistance Programs
- Rent Stabilization, Conversion Restrictions, and “Affordable in Perpetuity” Designations
- Fair Chance Housing
- “Here to Stay” Deposit Program
- Eviction Right-to-Counsel
Policy Preferences by Age Group

The nature of priorities varied across the age groups. The most notable variation in preferences was among survey respondents 55 years and older. The aging adult respondents mostly preferred policies that provided direct protections to tenants and homeowners, while middle-age adults between the ages of 35 and 54 prefered policies that tackle the socio-economic root causes of housing instability.

(see TOP 5 POLICIES PER AGE GROUP table)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>18-24</th>
<th>35-44</th>
<th>25-34</th>
<th>45-54</th>
<th>55-64</th>
<th>65+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Fresno</td>
<td>City of Fresno</td>
<td>Automatic Anti-Displacement Zone</td>
<td>Automatic Anti-Displacement Zone</td>
<td>Rent Stabilization, Conversion Restrictions, and “Affordable in Perpetuity” Designations</td>
<td>Rent Stabilization, Conversion Restrictions, and “Affordable in Perpetuity” Designations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Here to Stay”</td>
<td>“Here to Stay” Community Land Trust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Land</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair Chance</td>
<td>Fair Chance Housing</td>
<td>Fair Chance Housing</td>
<td>Dignified Tiny House Villages and Scattered Site Housing</td>
<td>Mandatory Inclusion of Displacement Analysis in all CEQA Analyses</td>
<td>Unofficial Eviction Tracking Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Here to Stay”</td>
<td>Environmental Justice and Climate Resiliency Planning</td>
<td>Department of Anti-Displacement and Homelessness Intervention</td>
<td>Department of Anti-Displacement and Homelessness Intervention</td>
<td>Land Banks</td>
<td></td>
<td>Right To Return Home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Here to Stay”</td>
<td>Eviction Right-to-Counsel</td>
<td>Eviction Right-to-Counsel</td>
<td>Eviction Right-to-Counsel</td>
<td>“Here to Stay” Deposit Program</td>
<td>Fresno-Specific Universal Design Standards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposit Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Here to Stay”</td>
<td>“Here to Stay” Homeowner and Renter Assistance Programs</td>
<td>Rent Stabilization, Conversion Restrictions, and “Affordable in Perpetuity” Designations</td>
<td>“Here to Stay” Homeowner and Renter Assistance Programs</td>
<td>“Here to Stay” Homeowner and Renter Assistance Programs</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rapid Rehousing Dispatch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeowner and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Assistance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Policy Preferences by Race

Relying solely on the aggregate (overall) rankings of policy preferences is not advisable because majority preferences rarely represent the needs and priorities of those who are most marginalized. A racial analysis of survey responses was conducted in order to determine which policies were uniquely preferred among the different racial groups.

Policies Preferred by the Majority of Black/African/African American Respondents

In addition to the 7 policies (indicated as green bars in the graph) that represent the overall preferences of the majority of all survey respondents, the following policies were also preferred among the majority of Black survey respondents:

- Department of Anti-Displacement and Homelessness Intervention
- Environmental Justice and Climate Resiliency Planning
- Mandatory Impact Area Notification System
- One-to-One Affordable Unit Replacement Action Plan
- Public Health Impact Reports

(see POLICY PREFERENCES: SELECTED BY MAJORITY OF BLACK RESPONDENTS (PERCENTAGE) graph)
Policies Preferred by the Majority of Indigenous Respondents

Of the 7 policies that represent the overall preferences of the majority of all survey respondents (indicated as green bars in the graph), Indigenous respondents only preferred 3: Fair Chance Housing, Eviction Right-to-Counsel, and the “Here to Stay” Deposit Program. The “Here to Stay” Affordability Index was also preferred among the majority of Indigenous survey respondents.

(see POLICY PREFERENCES: SELECTED BY MAJORITY OF INDIGENOUS RESPONDENTS (PERCENTAGE) graph)
Policies Preferred by the Majority of White Respondents

In addition to the 7 policies that represent the overall preferences of the majority of all survey respondents (indicated as green bars in the graph), the following policies were also preferred among the majority of White survey respondents:

- Dignified Tiny House Villages and Scattered Site Housing
- “Here to Stay” Affordability Index
- Unofficial Eviction Tracking Program

(see POLICY PREFERENCES: SELECTED BY MAJORITY OF WHITE RESPONDENTS (PERCENTAGE) graph)
Policies Preferred by the Majority of Hispanic/Latino Respondents

In addition to the 7 policies that represent the overall preferences of the majority of all survey respondents (indicated as green bars in the graph), the following policies were also preferred among the majority of Hispanic/Latino survey respondents:

- Public Health Impact Reports
- Affordability Index

(see POLICY PREFERENCES: SELECTED BY MAJORITY OF HISPANIC/LATINO RESPONDENTS (PERCENTAGE) graph)
Policies Preferred by the Majority of Asian and Pacific Islander Respondents

Of the 7 policies that represent the overall preferences of the majority of all survey respondents (indicated as green bars in the graph), Fair Chance Housing was the only policy preferred by the majority of Asian and Pacific Islander respondents.

(see POLICY PREFERENCES: SELECTED BY MAJORITY OF ASIAN/PI RESPONDENTS (PERCENTAGE) graph)
Anti-Displacement Considerations

DISPLACEMENT BURDEN ANALYSIS

To determine the policy priorities of the most impacted populations, survey responses were filtered to capture the specific needs for those who’ve recently experienced displacement. 26.6 of all respondents experienced displacement within the last 10 years.

(see PERCENTAGE OF THOSE SURVEYED WHO’VE RECENTLY BEEN DISPLACED graph)

PERCENTAGE OF THOSE SURVEYED WHO’VE RECENTLY BEEN DISPLACED

QUESTION: Have you moved in the past 10 years due to an eviction, foreclosure, natural disaster, condo conversion, rent increase, neighborhood violence, or because your home became uninhabitable for other reasons (also known as displacement)?

If this rate of displacement is occurring across the entire City of Fresno, 38,350 people would be directly impacted.

***The survey sample size is too small to make a definitive prediction regarding citywide displacement, however, even a fraction of this degree of impact would have devastating impacts across the region.

Percentage of Fresno Residents Likely Displaced within the Last 10 Years | 27% | 38,350 (apprx)
**Who is Being Displaced?**

To determine which populations are most likely to be experiencing the greatest magnitude of displacement vulnerability, the survey analysis included a demographic analysis of all respondents who responded “yes” to having been displaced within the last 10 years.

**Gender**

While the proportional likelihood of displacement across the gender identities of people who’ve been displaced was, for the most part, consistent with the overall distribution of gender identities across all survey respondents, Non-Binary, Questioning, Queer, and Transgender respondents were 300% more likely to have experienced displacement.

While roughly a quarter of heterosexual, cis-gender people had experienced displacement, 66% of Non-Binary people who responded had experienced displacement. 75% of transgender respondents were displaced.

*(see GENDER - RECENTLY DISPLACED graph)*

*(see GENDER - PERCENTAGE OF THOSE SURVEYED RECENTLY DISPLACED graph)*
Age

While the number of respondents who had experienced displacement was proportionally consistent with the distribution of age across responses in the overall survey count, people between the ages of 45 and 54 were over three times more likely to have been displaced. People between the ages of 35 and 44 were 25% less likely to be displaced than all other age groups.

(see AGE - RECENTLY DISPLACED graph)

Race

While the number of respondents who had experienced displacement was proportionally consistent with the distribution of race across responses in the overall survey count, people who identified as mixed race, or “other” were nearly 400% more likely to have been displaced. Hispanic/Latino respondents were 5% more likely to have been displaced. An important caveat, here, is while a causal connection between race and displacement cannot be made, the circumstances surrounding displacement vary depending on race—as evidenced by the racial analysis of policy preferences.

(see RACE - RECENTLY DISPLACED graph)
Community

Of those who had housing, the distribution of renters vs. homeowners across responses was somewhat consistent with renter/homeowner demographics citywide. Renters were overrepresented in the survey by 7%.

((see DISPLACEMENT RISK INDICATORS - PERCENTAGE OF RENTERS graph))

61% of respondents who’d recently experienced displacement were renters and 35% were homeowners. Of those surveyed who had recently been displaced, 30% ended up living in the Tower community, 18% moved to the Fig Loop neighborhood, 18% moved downtown, 9% moved to the Fresno State area, and 9% continue to experience houselessness.

((see CURRENT COMMUNITY ZIP CODE DISTRIBUTION OF THOSE WHO’VE BEEN DISPLACED graph))
Parents

While many of the survey respondents who’d experienced displacement cited a variety of impacts to their quality of life as a result of the displacement, the responses of those who had children and experienced displacement were particularly alarming. 39.3% of all survey respondents indicated they had children. While one could expect to see economic pressure and rent burden listed as displacement impacts, the number of responses that included negative impacts to emotional well-being and mental health indicate anti-displacement measures must include a comprehensive set of mental health supports and resources—especially for parents.

(see PARENT RESPONSES: HOW HAS DISPLACEMENT AFFECTED YOUR QUALITY OF LIFE IN GENERAL? graph)
Policy Preferences Among those Who’ve Been Displaced

An analysis of the data was conducted to determine the policies preferred by the majority of people who’ve been recently displaced. In addition to the 7 policies that were preferred across all survey respondents, the majority of people who recently experienced displacement also preferred the following:

- Public Health Impact Reports
- Moratorium on Encampment Sweeps
- Environmental Justice and Climate Resiliency Planning
- Department of Anti-Displacement and Homelessness Intervention

(see POLICIES SELECTED BY MORE THAN 50% OF THOSE WHO’VE BEEN DISPLACED graph)
Qualitative Findings

**FEEDBACK**

**Resident Solutions**

Survey respondents were given an opportunity to provide the City with their own insight into preventing displacement in the City of Fresno. An open-ended response field was included in the survey and asked: *What can the City and its residents do together to address the issue of displacement?* The following solutions were offered up by residents:

- Follow through
- Focus efforts on expanding protections for renters
- Identify a long term, dignified solution to homeless encampments
- Promote job creation by supporting existing residents with their entrepreneurial aspirations
- Find a way to provide immediate person-to-person displacement-prevention assistance in a more timely manner
- Improve communication with Fresno residents by investing in quality community engagement that leads to tangible action and outcomes
- Destigmatize and take a more compassionate approach to poverty
- Prevent large, corporate landlords from increasing rents arbitrarily while restricting their ability to continue developing in neighborhoods like the Tower and Downtown Fresno where displacement burden is increasing
- Educate elected officials and the public about racial justice and the discriminatory implications of unequal access to permanent, quality affordable housing
- Establish a universal basic income for all tenured residents
- Provide free healthcare
- Make corporations and big businesses pay more to fund housing, community clean up, and mental health
- Establish more awareness of community priorities among elected officials
- Create more public spaces that are free to access and use
- More accountability for slum lords and predatory landlords
- Provide loans for residents to make repairs and improvements to their property
- Provide a place for sleeping and bathing behind businesses and in parking lots
- Enact an eviction moratorium
- Work with landlords to ensure equity in the implementation of the policies
- Distribute affordable housing across all neighborhood in the city
- Reduce real estate lobbying
- Make use of chronically vacant homes
- Improve access to important civic engagement processed and documents
- Include residents in the implementation of the proposed policies
Community Based Organization Feedback

Three manual letters were submitted by community based organizations. The three letters represented a total of 8 organizations:

- Leadership Counsel for Justice and Accountability
- Fresno Barrios Unidos
- Fresno Building Healthy Communities
- Cultiva La Salud
- Fresno Metro Ministry
- Upholdings
- Better Blackstone CDC
- Disability Advisory Commission (DAC) (this is a Mayor-appointed commission primarily comprised of representatives of CBOs)

The following summary of comments derived from the letters:

- Regarding eviction right to counsel: the City has already taken the first steps in creating an Eviction Protection Program that aims to help residents who might be or are facing evictions. While it is a good start, this program needs to be expanded, and there needs to be additional programs attached. The Fresno Right to Counsel Coalition has drafted a proposal with how and what to include in a comprehensive Right to Counsel.

- In addition to the Homeowner Assistance Program referenced in the Here to Stay Report, we recommend a comprehensive program that provides a “one-stop-shop” targeting Black, Indigenous, and peoples of color. Assistance should include a homeowners education program, working with banks and credit unions to create programs that help people improve their credit, enforcement of fair housing violations, and creating a coalition of realtors who value and work towards creating more equitable and inclusive communities.

- Of the recommended policies, the low hanging fruit opportunities are: Cargo/Freight Prohibition, Community Land Trust & Land Banks, Environmental Justice and Climate Resiliency Planning, Expanded Anti-Displacement Task Force Oversight, Expanded Project Roomkey, Homeowner and Renter Assistance Program, Rental Registration Program, Tax Increment Financing (TIF) for Anti-Displacement Automatic Set-Aside.

- Policies that are critical to implement despite required resources are: Department of Anti-Displacement and Homelessness Intervention, Deposit Program, Fair Chance Housing, Mandatory Impact Area Notification System, Mandatory Inclusion of Displacement Analysis in all CEQA Analyses, Residential Eminent Domain Moratorium, Unofficial Eviction Tracking Program.

- Policies that should be prioritized as time and resources permit are: Commercial Affordability Fund, Dignified Tiny House Villages and Scattered Site Housing, Increase Local Hire and Living Wage Minimum on Local Contracts, Independent Youth Housing.
Coordination, Kinship Housing Permissions, Public Health Impact Report, Rapid Rehousing Dispatch"

- Greenfield development must bear a fair share of displacement mitigation costs, which could be captured through a regional displacement mitigation impact fee

- Some policies will hinder high quality infill developments that meet countless other goals of the City while also increasing costs and further slowing the development process

- The investment in displacement avoidance measures as outlined in the report is essential to the independence of people with disabilities and the older adult population of Fresno

- It is critical that these policies take into account the complexity and needs of individuals who have intersecting identities identified as the highest risk

- One size does not fit all, and even the most universally designed home may still not work for everybody. The DAC strongly recommends the development of local policies requiring a certain percentage of new tracks [sic] of single family homes include universal design features, such as zero-clearance entries without steps, larger restrooms and built-in structural elements for adaptable features that will not require substantial modification costs for the potential homeowner

- Incorporate Just Cause Protections

- Incorporate Inclusionary Zoning

- Recommend that any developments that contain a minimum of 50% of building floor space for deed restricted housing units for extremely low income: 0-30% of AMI, very low income: 30% to 50% of AMI, and lower income: 50% to 80% of AMI, be exempt from extraordinary local regulations and oversight not contained in the current City Development Code, Building Codes, Planning or Public Works standards or review procedures

Where applicable, these recommendations will be included in the final analysis and recommendations.

**Real Estate Interest Group Feedback**

One form letter was submitted multiple times by individuals within the real estate investment, management, and development community. The form letter was modified and submitted by one individual. In addition to this, a manual letter was submitted by an individual. The total number of letters submitted by people with expressed real estate interests is 3.

168 verifiable submissions were counted for the form letter that was submitted by members of the CA Apartment Association. This interest group is composed of landlords, developers, and realtors. Of the 168 verified submissions, 13 letters were submitted by people with zip codes
directly within the Transform Fresno project area. 40 letters were submitted by people associated with zip codes neighboring the Transform Fresno project area.

Letters submitted by those with expressed real estate interests included the following direct feedback:

- Invest in high quality affordable homes
- There is a general disagreement with rent control
- There is a belief that renters already have enough protections and that the implementation of recommendations in the Here to Stay report would cause owners of rental property to take their units off of the market and would discourage new investment
- There is general disagreement with any kind of bureaucracy
- There is a general disagreement with fair chance housing
- The approach should seek to reduce barriers for developers instead of protecting tenants
- There is a belief that rising evictions are the result of recent tenant protections

Where applicable, these recommendations will be included in the final analysis and recommendations.

Final Recommendations

GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS

A total of 15 policies are being recommended. 7 policies were selected by more than 50% of all survey respondents. Given the fact that these policies have been selected as preferred policies through resident consensus, Thrivance Group is recommending the implementation of all of the following policies:

1. Homeowner and Renter Assistance Programs
2. Fair Chance Housing
3. Land Trusts
4. Rent Stabilization
5. Eviction Right-to-Counsel
6. Deposit Program
7. Increasing Local Hire and Minimum Wage Requirement on Contracts

In addition to being asked to select each individual policy they prefer, survey respondents were asked to select one of three policy bundles that were packaged together by Thrivance Group to determine level of preference related to an overarching desired outcome. The majority of responses were split across two objectives/bundles:

- **Sustainable Benefits (43.3% preferred)** - policies that provide sustainable capacity for ongoing displacement avoidance
- **Intervention and Harm Reduction (35.4% preferred)** - policies that reduce harm and provide the most immediate relief
Thrivance Group took into consideration the unique needs of specific communities as well as the preferred policy outcomes. In addition to the 7 policies that garnered consensus among all survey respondents, Thrivance Group is recommending the inclusion of the following 8 policies which did not have general consensus, but 1) had consensus among several specific populations, 2) would help close equity gaps in land use practices, and 3) received expressed agreement from residents beyond the survey responses:

8. **Environmental Justice and Climate Resiliency Planning** - Priority policy among people who’ve recently experienced displacement, homeowners, Black respondents and people ages 35 - 44. Also included in the Sustainable Benefit policy bundle.

9. **Department of Anti-Displacement and Homelessness Intervention** - Priority policy among people who’ve recently experienced displacement, Black respondents, people ages 25-34, and people ages 45-54. Also included in the Sustainable Benefit policy bundle.

10. **Public Health Impact Reports** - Priority policy among those who’ve recently experienced displacement, Black respondents and Hispanic/Latino respondents. Also included in the Intervention and Harm Reduction policy bundle.

11. **Moratorium on Encampment Sweeps** - Priority policy among unhoused people and people who’ve recently experienced displacement. Also included in the Intervention and Harm Reduction policy bundle.

12. **Here to Stay Affordability Index** - Priority policy among Indigenous respondents, Hispanic/Latino respondents, and white respondents. Also included in the Intervention and Harm Reduction policy bundle.

13. **Right To Return Home** - Priority policy among unhoused people and people ages 65+. Also included in the Intervention and Harm Reduction policy bundle.

14. **Dignified Tiny House Villages and Scattered Site Housing** - Priority policy among white respondents and people ages 45-54 (who are the most likely to be displaced age group). Also included in the Intervention and Harm Reduction policy bundle.

15. **Fresno-Specific Universal Design Standards** - Priority policy among people ages 65+. Also included in the Intervention and Harm Reduction policy bundle.

**RANKED RECOMMENDATIONS**

Thrivance Group filtered the final policy recommendations through the same scoring system used in the initial *Here to Stay* report.

**Decision-making Formula**

To determine our recommended sequence, Thrivance Group considered four equity-oriented priorities and ranked each policy recommendation in each category. The policies that ranked highest across categories were prioritized.
I. Who is Most at Risk?
The greatest priority in the prioritization process was to meet the immediate needs of those who are currently most at risk of displacement. Based on our Social Climate Analysis and Resident Interviews, Thrivance identified eight populations (Risk Focus Areas) who are experiencing the most displacement burden at this time:

- Aging Adults
- People with Disabilities
- Young Adults
- Veterans and People Returning Home from Institutionalization
- Farmworkers and People with Documentation Challenges
- Third Generation Black Households
- Southeast Asian Residents
- Community Advocates

The policy recommendations that were most likely to address the needs of multiple populations on our Risk Focus Area list ranked higher than those that weren’t.

II. How soon can we take action?
Thrivance Group then took the list of policies and assessed the type of logistical, administrative and political steps that would need to be taken in order to implement the recommendations. The policies that were most likely to have feasible near-term implementation ranked higher than the others.

III. Will the policies fix more than one systemic issue?
The third layer of prioritization was an assessment of whether or not the recommendation could meet objectives beyond anti-displacement. An example of added functions would be a policy that is recommended as a displacement avoidance measure but could also potentially improve economic vitality in a community. For this ranking, Thrivance Group ranked policies that could be expressed as direct and intentional acts of atonement higher than the other possible functions of the policies.

IV. How much funding potential does each policy have?
The fourth layer of prioritization was an assessment of what it would take to build a strong argument for the policy if we needed to seek funding for its implementation. Recommendations that had strong alignment with existing programs or had known potential funding streams ranked higher than others.

V. Final Ranked List
For the last process of prioritization, the 15 recommended policies were given adjusted ranks. They were ranked based on original score and then assigned an adjusted rank, numbered 1-14; there was one tie.
Thrivance added up the numerical value of each policy’s rank on each Thrivance Group adjusted ranked list plus the ranked score deriving from the public comment period. The policies with the lowest score were ranked higher than the ones with higher combined totals. The 7 policies selected by public general consensus through the public comment period remained fixed in the top 7 spots but were reordered within those spots, based on the formula. This ranking became our final recommended prioritization.

**Final Ranked Recommendations**

The following table is the final ranked list of the policies Thrivance Group recommends as informed by the *Here to Stay* public comment period. The table also includes modifications, clarifications, and additional details that were informed by the public comment period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Comment Rank</th>
<th>Final Score (TG Adjusted Rank + Comment Rank)</th>
<th>Final Rank</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Public Feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fair Chance Housing</td>
<td>Those who provided comments/feedback on this policy emphasized that the implementation must work to find a balance between giving people a chance to live dignified lives while also ensuring community safety. It was also important to respondents that this be implemented citywide and not just in one part of the city. Several residents requested education regarding the policy as it has been implemented in other cities/counties. visit <a href="http://fairchacehousing.org">fairchacehousing.org</a> for more information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Eviction Right-to-Counsel</td>
<td>Study session participants expressed a strong degree of support for this policy. They felt it would help prevent discriminatory eviction. Many felt it should be universally applied as opposed to being decided by a clerk. One resident suggested this policy include a 24-hour hotline (answered by person) to provide emergency eviction legal aid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Rent Stabilization, Conversion Restrictions, and “Affordable in Perpetuity” Designations</td>
<td>Those who agreed with this policy also felt the policy needed to go beyond the State’s existing level of regulation and that it should include a programmatic way to actively prevent unlawful evictions in real time. This policy was particularly important among the aging adult session participants. One caveat that was suggested by a study session participant was that the policy implementers should consider a waiver [from restrictions] for historical homes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Increase Local Hire and Living Wage Minimum on Local Contracts</strong></td>
<td>Residents who agreed as well as those who disagreed with this policy expressed a strong sentiment that the implementers should find a way to achieve this without having an adverse affect on the cost to develop to the extent that it would deter economic growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td><strong>City of Fresno “Here to Stay” Community Land Trust</strong></td>
<td>Those who provided comments on this policy expressed that there was a need to ensure the consideration of the needs of immigrants when implementing this policy/program. One study session participant suggested connecting this with opportunities to own/build small businesses. It was also noted by a resident that in order for this to be sustainable, the implementers must ensure affordability in perpetuity. Lastly, several residents urged to implement this through partnerships with resident leaders and advocacy organizations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td><strong>“Here to Stay” Homeowner and Renter Assistance Programs</strong></td>
<td>Supporters of this policy recommended the City incorporate undocumented people and farmworkers. There was strong support for the down payment component of the policy. One resident insisted the City ensure property value limitations associated with the program don't create additional restrictions/burden for potential homebuyers. Additionally, it was recommended that the program incentivize realtors/agents to work with people participating in the program. If this program were to include credit repair support and support finding loans that aren't high interest, it could help stabilize housing across the City. Additional support requested by residents was the inclusion of support for compiling the required documents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td><strong>“Here to Stay” Deposit Program</strong></td>
<td>Residents asked that this policy include a limit on the amount of security deposit a landlord can collect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td><strong>Moratorium on Encampment Sweeps</strong></td>
<td>Resident asked that the implementation of this policy include a formal way to support self-managed camps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td><strong>Public Health Impact Reports</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td><strong>Environmental Justice and Climate Resiliency Planning</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td><strong>Fresno-Specific Universal Design Standards</strong></td>
<td>Study session participants asked to include this policy in redeveloping areas in addition to addressing existing development and infrastructure. Disability advocates emphasized the need to go</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Department of Anti-Displacement and Homelessness Intervention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Study session participants suggested using this policy to fund/resource CBOs already supporting unhoused and rent-burdened people</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Here to Stay Affordability Index</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Right To Return Home</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Residents recommended including a program like this for small businesses and including moving costs for residents that are returning.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Dignified Tiny House Villages and Scattered Site Housing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A study session participant suggested planning and implementing these villages in each council district to ensure equity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX
REAL ESTATE INTEREST GROUP FORM LETTER
Dear Mayor Dyer,

I work hard to provide safe and clean rental homes to Fresno residents. While no one doubts that a housing crisis is facing our state and our region, the elements outlined in the draft report "Here to Stay" would be damaging to the rental housing industry, Fresno's rental stock, and ultimately, its residents.

Every city in California is now covered by robust tenant protections. In 2019, Gov. Newsom signed AB 1482, which caps rent increases on most rental units in the state and limits a landlord’s ability to evict a tenant without a just cause. And in response to the pandemic, the state has expanded these protections while making millions of dollars available to help renters who fell behind on rent payments and to support those rental housing providers who went months without income.

Here in Fresno, the City Council passed the Eviction Protection Program to ensure tenants are not illegally evicted and have resources to help them navigate certain disputes with their housing provider.

Unfortunately, the housing recommendations in the city's "Here to Stay" draft report only add more fees, bureaucracy, and red tape to an already heavily regulated industry. The true solution to making rental housing in Fresno more affordable is for the city to invest in the development of high-quality affordable homes, streamline the process for developers to bring units to the market, and reduce the fees and other barriers that make housing production in Fresno less than desirable.

As written, the recommendations in the report would prompt existing property owners to take their rental units off the market while discouraging investment in new homes.

It's time that Fresno city leaders and their consultants adjust their priorities and focus on creating housing for working families.

Sincerely,

Niklas Hugosson
NAMES OF THOSE WHO SUBMITTED THE FORM LETTER
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CA Apartment Association Form Letter Submissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jason Tompkins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Gallegos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Gavino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryan Caglia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chantelle Charest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam Collins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonathan Correa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lydia Ortiz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manuel Robles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valerie Ruiz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patricia Sanchez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscar Soltero</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Wiley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALICIA DIAZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karlene Grogan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brett Guerra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacey Heidrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francys Hernandez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samantha matheiclaus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimberly Murphy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEBORAH OLSON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan Weston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tommas Ross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angie Tarr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sean Wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heather Wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sandeep sehkon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebecca Wharton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prisma alaniz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Jackson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leslie Torres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melba Gauci</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niklas Hugosson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanessa Diaz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Farrar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brigitte Gibson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Hayes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maria McAnally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joshua Myer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodikia Nika</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Ramirez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grace Rustigian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Salles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holly Bundy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regina Hannah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodika Nika</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tina Marie Allread</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren Fortier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marz Garcia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Goza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abby mors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dean Alexander</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patricia Caffee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brad Hardie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirstie Christie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gina Dobson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jayne Holland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michelle Lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andre Nicolet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brenda Flory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angel Jackson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Kochergen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vilya Osa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Pena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Perez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betsy Schanno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephanie Strobel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debbie Der Torosian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heather Weatherly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reza Assemi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>massoud Assemi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julia Berry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Bethke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christopher Garcia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabrina Garcia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Highton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deborah Lenier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arvin Mahal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabriela Najera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janene Osburn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johanna Paul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mia Quick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith Ragadio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deanna Randall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiffany Rubio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Silva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregory Terzakis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karie Wild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly Brugetti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christopher David</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Howerton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Lantis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nehemias Cedillo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amanda Chavez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Perez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Darling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Diener</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brett Fugman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reid Rosenfeld</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimberly Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shannel Kwon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim wybenga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linda Bled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeaney Cauley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heidi Falany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Falaschi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johanna Paul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renee Ruiz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michalene Everett-Martinez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynthia Meyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Tittle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brent Turnbull</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vic Alberto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esther Espirito</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>krystal trepal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Doane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGDI IBRAIM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauren Davila</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eva Domingues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandra Mas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valerie Escobedo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Montelongo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarissa Hernandez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kellie Newsome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian Morgan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Nutting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabriela Rodriguez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Davenport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Lafferre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devon Prendergast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candy Pate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nina Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal Reed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUDY SAKAMOTO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudy Ramos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Wiley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theresa Wright</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam Goldfarb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debbie Gong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Savage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Thomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Scolfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davena Witcher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerry Henry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcus Gervais</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letty Massoyan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michalene Everett-Martinez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynthia Meyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Doane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGDI IBRAIM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laurenda Davila</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eva Domingues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandra Mas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valerie Escobedo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Montelongo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarissa Hernandez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasey Avila</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***The green highlight indicates that the constituent had a verified zip code within the Transform Fresno project area; The yellow highlight indicates that the constituent had a verified zip code adjacent to the Transform Fresno project area; Names that are not highlighted indicates the constituent’s verified zip code was outside of and not adjacent to the Transform Fresno project area***
COMMUNITY BASED ORGANIZATION LETTERS
September 10, 2021

Fresno City Hall
Planning and Development Department
2600 Fresno St.
Fresno, CA 93721

<Sent via email>

Dear Dr. Destiny Thomas and Ms. Sophia Pagoulatis,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Here to Stay Report (Draft Report). The undersigned organizations focus our work on partnering with South Fresno neighborhoods to support and elevate community-driven priorities and solutions. Through our advocacy and organizing efforts over the years, residents have consistently raised issues regarding their housing. Whether it’s issues with uncontrolled rent increases, uninhabitable living conditions, or not having the tools to become homeowners, attaining housing stability is a goal many have for their families. As such, our comments below reflect comments and recommendations from resident partners.

First, we commend the consulting group, The Thrivance Group, for their work and commitment in developing a comprehensive Draft Report providing anti-displacement recommendations while engaging with community members. We especially appreciate the section on Lessons from Engagement-Based Research. The Draft Report identifies 46 policies to prevent and mitigate displacement. These policies cover most of the issues and concerns we have heard from resident partners over the years.

As referenced in the Draft Report, there is no single solution that solves Fresno’s housing crisis. As such, we are uplifting the following policies as key to stabilizing the housing issues Council should adopt and implement immediately:
1. **Rent Stabilization, Conversion Restrictions, and “Affordable in Perpetuity” Designations** — For years, Fresnans have struggled to stay housed, but the situation is only worsening as the current pandemic is ravaging our communities. Recent reports named the City of Fresno facing one of the nation’s fastest rental increases despite having statewide protections like AB 1482. It is up to this City’s leadership to step up and protect half of the City’s residents who identify as renters.

2. **Just Cause Protections** — The Draft Report does not recommend this as a policy; however, we urge the City to consider and adopt such a policy immediately. Just cause protections work in conjunction with a Rent Stabilization policy similar to the statewide bill AB 1482. There are additional protections the City must enact to protect tenants that AB 1482 does not cover. This includes expanding who receives these protections to all renters regardless of the type of unit.

3. **Eviction Right-to-Counsel** — As of July 2021, the City has already taken the first steps in creating an Eviction Protection Program that aims to help residents who might be or are facing evictions. While it is a good start, this program needs to be expanded, and there need to be additional programs attached. The Fresno Right to Counsel Coalition has drafted a proposal with how and what to include in a comprehensive Right to Counsel.

4. **Comprehensive Homeownership Program** — In addition to the Homeowner Assistance Program referenced in the Here to Stay Report, we recommend a comprehensive program that provides a “one-stop-shop” targeting black, indigenous, and peoples of color. Some of the assistance such a program must provide include a homeowners education program, working with bank and credit unions to create programs for folks working on improving their credit, enforcement of fair housing violations, and creating a coalition of realtors who value and work towards creating more equitable and inclusive communities. Such a program aims to reverse racist practices such as predatory lending, denial of loans for people of color, or the proactive role realtors played in keeping African Americans out of white neighborhoods and maintaining the status quo. This program must work with various stakeholders such as financial institutions, real estate experts, market-rate and affordable housing developers, and community groups. The City should immediately work towards establishing this program.

Additionally, the policies below are urgent and easy to implement as the City or another public agency completes something similar. These policies are “low-hanging fruit” the City should aim to initiate as soon as possible.
- **Cargo/Freight Prohibition** — This policy closely resembles the aim of the Air Districts Community Emission Reduction Plan policy to complete a truck reroute study. This study will ultimately recommend alternative truck routes to avoid going into low-income communities. Staff must actively engage and support these efforts to adequately fulfill the goal set by The Thrivance Group.

- **Community Land Trust & Land Banks** — The City should aim to support the creation of Community Land Trusts, especially those that will provide homeownership opportunities. The ability to own one’s home is the American dream many strive to achieve, which is no different for Fresno renters. A comprehensive and successful community land trust program will provide low-income residents with the opportunity to have secure housing and contain community-focused initiatives such as community greening efforts and financial literacy programs.

- **Environmental Justice and Climate Resiliency Planning** — The City Council allocated $400,000 to support a climate resilience plan in the most recent budget. The description by the City Council is in line with the policy identified in the Draft Report and should be worked on as the same. Thus, this policy can be implemented relatively quickly as the funding must be spent this year to avoid reallocating it in next year’s budget.

- **Expanded Anti-Displacement Task Force Oversight** — This recommendation is easy to implement and, thus, can be completed in a relatively quick timeframe. Once established, the Task Force would fall under the purview of the Department of Anti-Displacement and Homelessness Intervention. In addition to the functions noted in the Draft Report, this Task Force should also seek to support small businesses, especially those owned by people of color, from being displaced. There should also be clarity on how this Task Force collaborates with existing District Implementation Committees related to land and development in neighborhoods. Lastly, this Task Force, along with City Council, must receive updates on implementing the Here to Stay Report in conjunction with the annual Displacement Reports listed in the City’s Housing Element.

- **Expanded Project Roomkey** — As the City received millions of dollars from state resources to provide unhoused residents dignified shelter, there are still a large number of people the program has been unable to help. This includes folks who may be living in their car, going from friends and families home, or are living in overcrowded situations. We can only expect these situations to worsen without sufficient tenant protections like rent stabilization or a fully funded Eviction Protection Program. The City needs to expand this program and provide adequate services that support people with their help.

- **Homeowner and Renter Assistance Program** — We commend the City for committing part of the Permanent Local Housing Allocation to a first-time
homebuyer downpayment assistance program. However, because of the limited funds from PLHA, we expect the program to assist a few dozens of households. Currently, the City is also providing renter assistance from federal funds that have been instrumental in keeping homes stabilized and providing Fresnans some relief. Even after funding is exhausted, these two instrumental programs should continue through local financing from the Affordable Housing Trust Fund the City established.

- **Rental Registration Program** — Approximately four years ago, Fresno’s City Council adopted the Rental Housing Inspection Act. There have been lessons learned. Staff and Council need to modify and implement to realize this ordinance’s full intent. This includes but is not limited to improved responses to renters’ requests, proactive inspections, and more vigorous enforcement of egregious actors.

- **Tax Increment Financing (TIF) for Anti-Displacement Automatic Set-Aside** — This is one funding mechanism to sustainably support the City’s recently established Affordable Housing Trust Fund. We recommend the City adopt a tax increment financing district in a high opportunity area for the sole purpose of incentivizing more affordable housing options. This must include both homeownership and rental opportunities.

The proposed policies below will require more effort and resources to implement; however, they are critical policies the City must implement as soon as possible.

- **Department of Anti-Displacement and Homelessness Intervention** — If the City wants to address Fresno’s housing crisis seriously, it needs a dedicated team that will outlive any administration or council offices. Fresno needs qualified Staff specifically tasked with managing our ever-increasing populations without housing, increasing our housing production for all income levels—especially for the lowest of incomes—, increasing homeownership rates—especially amongst people of color—, and preserving the affordable housing stock we do have. This department would also be in charge of overseeing and expanding the City’s newly created Affordable Housing Trust Fund. It will also manage other policies noted in the Draft Report, which I will mention those programs below.

- **Deposit Program** — Despite existing laws that are supposed to protect tenants from landlords simply keeping the entire deposit without reason, there is an unspoken understanding tenants should not expect it back. This occurs even when the tenant has not done any damage beyond what is legally permitted. As such, the City should develop a deposit program within the Affordable Housing Trust Fund.

- **Fair Chance Housing** — As the report identifies, studies have shown that background and criminal record reports may be erroneous. Due to many
structural inequities, community members of color are also more likely to have conviction histories. Denying tenants with arrest and conviction records makes it harder for them to get back on their feet and negatively impacts the families of people with arrest and conviction records. Additionally, these are frivolous costs a renter on limited income must pay in addition to the initial application. City Council must enact such a policy similar to other jurisdictions to stabilize our housing and reduce homelessness.

- **Mandatory Impact Area Notification System** — Residents of color continue to bear the burden of having incompatible land-uses in their communities. Development that emits toxic pollutants as well as brings heavy, medium, and light-duty traffic. These facilities are often placed without any notice, and even when a notification is sent, it is predominantly in English in technical terminology. It is essential to meaningfully engage a community when incompatible land-uses may come into their neighborhood. This first starts by notifying residents of projects as soon as a permit is submitted. Moreover, developers of these facilities must also be required to engage and be responsive to the community.

- **Mandatory Inclusion of Displacement Analysis in all CEQA Analyses** — Even in areas where direct displacement is not occurring, residents see such significant changes to their community they feel they have no choice but to leave. A prime example of this is in South Central Fresno, where the substantial increase in warehouse development has changed their community so much, they no longer recognize it. A comprehensive CEQA analysis of potential displacement is essential to ensure residents want to stay in their communities.

- **Residential Eminent Domain Moratorium** — Implementing this policy will aid in addressing the valid distrust and fear residents have of their government staff and elected officials. Throughout the Southwest Specific Plan and the Transformative Climate Communities process, it is abundantly evident residents continue to feel the pain and fear they or their friends experienced. This is one step to amending this city’s role in uprooting predominantly black residents from their homes.

- **Unofficial Eviction Tracking Program** — Having a program like this will provide the City with invaluable information to develop and implement data-based policies and programs. This is a relatively easy enough program to establish that will likely not require too much maintenance after that.

Lastly, the policies below are also important, but we recognize staff has limited capacity and resources. As such, the policies listed below are policies the City should look towards implementing as soon as feasible.

- **Commercial Affordability Fund** — Small businesses are the backbone of this country’s economy. Despite that fact, small businesses often receive the least
support — case in point, the loans businesses received during the pandemic mostly went to large companies. Creating a fund to help small businesses will ensure low-income, immigrant, and BIPOC populations have the necessary capital to jumpstart a career. Moreover, this should leverage existing resources that support small entrepreneurs in starting and expanding their businesses.

- **Dignified Tiny House Villages and Scattered Site Housing** — Resident partners have brought up Tiny housing as viable options. Specifically, older residents who live alone and/or on fixed incomes would benefit from this option. In implementing this policy, Staff must be mindful not to concentrate these communities in any area and ensure they are in compatible neighborhoods with access to necessary resources and amenities.

- **Increase Local Hire and Living Wage Minimum on Local Contracts** — The City must stop providing millions of dollars in incentives to companies simply because they decide to locate here. City leaders must stop thinking so lowly of Fresno to think it can only attract businesses if we provide them financial incentives. Instead, the City should continue and expand local hire requirements and living wages as it has done with the recently adopted project labor agreement for public works projects.

- **Independent Youth Housing Coordination** — Throughout the nation, homeownership rates among young adults have fallen as housing prices continue to rise and wages stay stagnant. This leaves renting as the only viable option for many. Expanding social services and opportunities for young adults is needed as described in the Draft. As of 2018, there were 5,088 registered youth who were facing homelessness. There need to be preventive policies such as this one to curve and house the young population.

- **Kinship Housing Permissions** — As stated in the Draft Report, “the cost of living now makes it virtually impossible for someone to secure dignified housing while also qualifying for public assistance.” This is true for many households in Fresno, whose wages have not been raised significantly but whose adult children can now help with costs. However, most times, these combined wages are still not enough to live comfortably. Additional income from a roommate or child should not constitute a denial of financial and public assistance.

- **Public Health Impact Report** — Data and research are desperately needed, especially in areas such as Southwest Fresno, to understand the health impacts that development has on this area. Historically, Southwest Fresno has borne the brunt of industrial and hazardous land-use practices. There needs to be data to accurately capture the health impacts caused to residents to amend damage done through financial or health programs appropriately.

- **Rapid Rehousing Dispatch** — Fresno City is facing some of the fastest rising rents in the nation, which has only accelerated the housing crisis. Along with
rental increases, there has been an increase in evictions to list those homes at market rates. Folks who have faced eviction notices should be among those who receive help to rapidly rehouse them and alleviate the financial and emotional burden that their family and themselves go through.

We recommend the following policies also be included in the Here to Stay Report and implemented as described below:

➢ **Inclusionary Zoning** — There are several benefits in a Citywide policy to support mixed-income housing through inclusionary zoning or housing, especially in high opportunity areas. This will keep the City compliant with its Regional Housing Needs Allocation as currently, units for lower-income residents are disproportionately lower than moderate-income and higher units. We urge the City to enact such a policy and begin implementation immediately. The City can look to studies that have evaluated jurisdictions that have successfully implemented such a policy. This is one tool the City should look towards to support affordable housing production, inclusive communities, and even improve educational outcomes for low-income students.

As Fresno's rental and housing market continue to top nationwide charts putting residents at risk of having to leave the City they know and love, we urge the City to act fast and implement meaningful policies and programs. We do not have the luxury of waiting for the right elected officials or prioritizing pet projects over addressing our housing crisis. Two out of three Fresnans pay 30% or more of their income towards housing; this means 2 out of 3 residents are one unexpected medical bill, car repair, or some other unforeseen circumstance that will put them at risk of losing their current housing.

If questions or comments arise regarding our comments, do not hesitate to contact Grecia Elenes at gelenes@leadershipcounsel.org or Karla Martinez at kmartinez@leaderhsipcounsel.org.

Sincerely,

Karla Martinez & Grecia Elenes  
Leadership Counsel for Justice and Accountability

Ashley Rojas  
Fresno Barrios Unidos

Kimberly Mcoy  
Fresno Building Healthy Communities
Genoveva Islas
Cultiva La Salud
September 9, 2021

Sophia Pagoulatos  
Planning Manager  
City of Fresno  
Planning and Development Department  
2600 Fresno Street  
Fresno, CA 93721  
sophia.pagoulatos@fresno.gov

RE: Comments on Here to Stay: A Policy-Based Blueprint for Displacement Avoidance in Fresno from Upholdings and Fresno Metro Ministry/Better Blackstone CDC

Dear Sophia:

Thank you for conducting meaningful public workshops on the ‘Here to Stay’ report and its far ranging 43 policy recommendations.

Displacement is a critical issue for our times and for all Fresno communities, and so very important to raise up and systematically address with effective tested policies and programs.

We are writing to offer some observations and recommendations from the perspective of Fresno based entities engaged in the formidable tasks of helping to implement the City of Fresno General Plan’s encouragement toward increased infill development.

We view desirable infill as development that includes high quality affordable housing, expanded and new businesses, jobs, and community services, multi-modal streetscapes, and community open spaces integrated through placemaking and equitable transit-oriented development designs located in mixed-use zone districts on and near Bus Rapid Transit corridors. We believe desirable infill is essential for addressing Fresno’s daunting challenges related to achieving social, economic, environmental, and health equity, and long-term urban climate adaptation and resource resilience.

We also believe it is necessary to elevate and juxtapose the different cost burdens placed upon desirable infill versus still predominant greenfield development. Outward pushing greenfield development in Fresno has historically escaped the costs of mitigating the urban decay and extreme disparities and inequities left behind. Desirable infill development, on the other hand, is asked to help solve these and other issues with insufficient financial and regulatory incentives and meager public resource allocations relative to the growing scale of the community.
disparities and inequities. In other words, greenfield development must bear a fair share of displacement mitigation costs, which could be captured through a regional displacement mitigation impact fee.

With respect to ‘Here to Stay’ report policy recommendations, we agree that land banks, community land trusts, fair chance housing, increased local hire and living wage minimums on local contracts, homeowner and rental assistance programs, and public health impact reports, are effective tested policies and programs. We also feel that a significant number of the other policy suggestions from the ‘Here to Stay’ report will actually hinder high quality infill developments that meet countless other goals of the City while also increasing costs and further slowing the development process. You must find a way to incentivize critical development, particularly housing development, at the same time that a critical and thoughtful eye is placed on anti-displacement policies.

In an effort to expedite construction of much needed high-quality desirable infill in Fresno, and reduce unnecessary cost and time burdens, we recommend that any developments that contain a minimum of 50% of building floor space for deed restricted housing units for extremely low income: 0-30% of AMI, very low income: 30% to 50% of AMI, and lower income: 50% to 80% of AMI, be exempt from extraordinary local regulations and oversight not contained in the current City Development Code, Building Codes, Planning or Public Works standards or review procedures.

Thank you for all you are doing to address this critical issue of displacement.

We look forward to working with you and many others on this issue going forward.

Please contact us at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Jessica Berzac
Co-Owner & Principal
Upholdings
6083 N. Figarden Drive, #656
Fresno, CA 93722
jessica@upholdings.net

Keith Bergthold
Executive Director/CEO
Fresno Metro Ministry/Better Blackstone CDC
3845 N. Clark St., #101
Fresno, CA 93726
keith@fresnometmin.org

cc/ Thomas Esqueda, Fresno City Manager
Tyler Maxwell, Council Member District 4
Nelson Esparza, Council Member District 7
August 10, 2021

transformfresno@fresno.gov

RE: Here to Stay: A Policy-Based Blueprint for Displacement Avoidance in Fresno

Attn: Attn: Courtney Espinoza – CMO, City of Fresno, Transform Fresno:

The Disability Advisory Commission (DAC) advises the City of Fresno in matters pertaining to individuals with a wide range of disabilities. The comment below were prepared by the DAC Housing Subcommittee on behalf of the Commission as a whole.

Overall, the Here to Stay: A Policy-Based Blueprint for Displacement Avoidance in Fresno report and policy recommendations as identified are supported by this Commission. The DAC is pleased to see the report emphasis on the disproportionate risk factors experienced by aging adults and people with disabilities. It is critical that the City look at these elements from a disability access lens when considering the priority of policies to prevent displacement, to provide affordable accessible housing, and create suitable living environments for displacement avoidance.

Individuals with disabilities represent 14 percent of our community. Fresno outstrips both state and national averages for the percentage of population identified as a portion of an overall community (11% and 13%, respectively). As the population continues to age, these numbers are quite likely to increase. The investment in displacement avoidance measures as outlined in the report is essential to the independence of people with disabilities and the older adult population of Fresno.

We would be remiss if we did not also emphasize the importance of considering intersectional identities. Individuals with disabilities and older adults who are also part of communities of color may be experiencing disproportionate discrimination rooted in identities that span multiple areas of social positioning, privilege, oppression, and access. It is critical that these policies take into account the complexity and needs of individuals who have intersecting identities identified as the highest risk. Additional care must be taken to widen the lens by which these identities are viewed, understanding that identities rarely exist within a silo, and as such, neither should these policies.

The report states, "The intention guiding the key objective of these proposed recommendations is to reduce harm first and then to achieve systemic change in the near- and long-term." If this is going to be achieved through these policies, then they need to be mindful written, with an awareness of how implementation will be coordinated and funded. It is also critical to understand the economic inequities as a systemic risk factor for displacement of older adults and people with disabilities. These groups start with disproportionately lower economic opportunities due, in part, to discrimination in employment, living on a fixed income, and increased medical costs.
Additional focus must be given to these underlining contributing factors in order to truly avoid displacement.

The DAC primarily supports these three policy bundles as priorities for implementation:
  1. Considering mobility and connectivity
  2. Improving regulations and legal protections
  3. Direct services and alternatives to eviction

Mobility and connectivity is an area that is of considerable and consistent emphasis to the DAC and community we serve and represent. Without accessible and available mobility or the underlying infrastructure of connectivity, older adults and people with disabilities are more likely to experience a sense of displacement within their own community and a sense of being homebound. To be able to participate and integrate fully into communities, accessible infrastructure, such as access to public transportation and complete and well-maintained sidewalks, is key.

Improving regulations and legal protections is critical for older adults, veterans, and persons with disabilities. Take for example a scenario in which an apartment complex is being renovated, either to provide more Section 8 housing or to transition from low-income housing to market-rate housing. In many cases, people who do not have Section 8 vouchers or cannot afford increases in rent become displaced. Improving regulations and legal protections for people facing these scenarios is critical to avoid displacement and homelessness.

The DAC also encourages the prioritization of the policy bundle for direct services and alternatives to evictions. There must be greater emphasis on providing legal help for existing tenants to avoid evictions and increased assistance with requesting reasonable accommodations for people with disabilities. Many currently-housed community members with disabilities have tenant-landlord struggles that put them at risk of experiencing homelessness, including but not limited to unfair rental cost increases, refusal to provide reasonable accommodations, and unlawful eviction threat. While federal mandates state that tenants must be provided reasonable accommodations, having those needs actually met is a common struggle faced by older adults and people with disabilities. Many are left with little recourse and fear retaliation or displacement from their home if they push to have their legal rights met in rental housing. The policies need to include increased funding and resources to support community benefit organizations in providing these direct services, as currently there are not enough funds to meet the actual needs of this community.

Lastly, the DAC would like to discuss the policy objectives related to universal design standards. The spirit of the underlying principles of universal design is to meet every aspect of every disability. The DAC understands that universal design concepts are a positive step forward, however one size does not fit all, and even the most universally designed home may still not work for everybody. Reasonably affordable and adaptable housing is a critical element for aging in place and homeownership for people with disabilities. A great example is the structural supports built into a restroom wall to allow
for the future addition of grab bars. Current state and federal regulations do not require single family homes to be built with this underlying structure. This presents a barrier to potential homeownership by people with disabilities and puts substantial financial burden on older adults on a fixed income who eventually require home modifications to support aging in place. The DAC strongly recommends the development of local policies requiring a certain percentage of new tracks of single family homes include universal design features, such as zero-clearance entries without steps, larger restrooms and built-in structural elements for adaptable features that will not require substantial modification costs for the potential homeowner.

Any questions on these comments can be addressed to Shannon M. Mulhall, Americans with Disabilities Act Coordinator at 559-621-8716 or Shannon.Mulhall@fresno.gov. We would happily discuss any and all of the above issues.

Sincerely,

Frances Reyes Acosta, Chair
City of Fresno Disability Advisory Commission
Dear Mayor Dyer,

For the last 16 years, I have worked hard to provide safe and clean rental homes to Fresno residents. I personally visit the apartments several times a week to pick up trash, clean the property and ensure all residents have a clean safe place to live at below market rates. While no one doubts that a housing crisis is facing our state and our region, the elements outlined in the draft report "Here to Stay" would be damaging to the rental housing industry, Fresno’s rental stock, and ultimately, its residents. It will also force me to raise rent to the maximum allowable every year to keep up with the added burden of “Here to Stay.”

Every city in California is now covered by robust tenant protections. In 2019, Gov. Newsom signed AB 1482, which caps rent increases on most rental units in the state and limits a landlord's ability to evict a tenant without a just cause in response to the pandemic, the state has expanded these protections while making millions of dollars available to help renters who fell behind on rent payments and to support those rental housing providers who went months without income.

Here in Fresno, the City Council passed the Eviction Protection Program to ensure tenants are not illegally evicted and have resources to help them navigate certain disputes with their housing provider. We do not need more laws.

Unfortunately, the housing recommendations in the city's "Here to Stay" draft report only add more fees, bureaucracy, and red tape to an already heavily regulated industry. The true solution to making rental housing in Fresno more affordable is for the city to invest in the development of high-quality affordable homes, streamline the process for developers to bring units to the market, and reduce the fees and other barriers that make housing production in Fresno less than desirable.

As written, by out-of-town consultants and based on “500 In Depth Interviews” (statistically insignificant based the on population of Fresno) the recommendations in the report would prompt existing property owners to take their rental units off the market while discouraging investment in new homes.

If the Fresno City Council approves this ridiculous proposal, I will be forced to sell my apartments. They will be managed by out-of-town landlords who do not care about Fresno and will further the “Ghettoization of Fresno” as described in the fear mongering “Here to Stay” report.
It is time that Fresno city leaders and their consultants adjust their priorities and focus on creating housing for working families.

I urge you to stand with the California Apartment Association and good landlords everywhere and reject this proposal and send the out-of-town consultants packing.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

David Tittle
559-367-6946

Portions of this letter are from the California Apartment Association response to “Here to Stay.”
Date July 22, 2021
TO: Transform Fresno
FROM: Scott Nichols
RE: Public comment on the Thrivance Group’s report titled “Here to Stay, a Policy-Based Blueprint for Displacement Avoidance in Fresno”.

Sirs

I am a 4th generation resident of the Central Valley and a 3rd generation retired resident of Fresno.

I have no educational nor business experience in urban planning but, I do have a desire to see our civic leaders use a common-sense approach to the complicated issues brought forth in this preliminary report.

I have read through the Thrivance Group’s report and have the following comments that I would like my comments to be put on into public record. My comments will refer to the page numbers and paragraphs in the report.

Page 10. “Background and justification”

The first paragraph frames the whole report in the light of white-inflicted racism on several different ethnic groups, that anyone who is not white is a victim, and white people are the oppressors. This mindset, based on Critical Race Theory (CRT), permeates the balance of the report and recommendations. CRT at its core, is a racist theory as it bases human value not on the content of your character, but on the color of your skin.

Where are their citations for the “hostile land acquisitions” from Indigenous Americans, “forced labor exploitation” of Black farmers, “unjust labor practices towards Brown migrants” (define what is ‘Brown’?), and “socio-economic alienation” of Hmong residents? To make blanket statements like these is irresponsible.

Page 16
Paragraph 6. People who bring in capital investment into decaying neighborhoods are being characterized as destabilizing “Pioneers” who are “destabilizing” neighborhoods. Just the opposite, vacant land and vacant buildings are destabilizing factors in neighborhoods, and these pioneers are the ones taking financial risks to bring back usefulness to vacant buildings and lands.

Paragraph 7. The report states that “push out” of residents is due in part to “volatile policing”. What does that mean? This is another irresponsible blanket statement. An overwhelming majority of residents in poorer neighborhoods want a higher police presence, not a reduced police presence.

Page 17.

Paragraph 3. How does bringing in neighborhood preservation, historical preservation, business improvement districts, and tenant associations worsen racial tensions and class struggles?

Paragraph 4. The report presents gentrification in a totally negative light. Also, there would be no gentrification of dying neighborhoods if the risk-takers, i.e., investors, were not able to make a reasonable return on their investment. This report characterizes “profit” as evil.

Page 21.

Paragraph 1. “Through Preliminary research, The Thrivance Group determined the pre-colonial history of the Yokuts and the state-sanctioned harms enacted against them must be included in the framework of ongoing anti-displacement research as well as policy proposals informed by such research” I have some questions about this statement:

1. What was the ‘preliminary research’?
2. Why should the City of Fresno in 2021, be held responsible for actions taken by Colonial Spaniards in the late 1700’s and then by Alta California Mexicans during the 1820’s to the 1840’s and finally by gold miners in the 1850’s? What is the point except to say again that all minorities currently living in Fresno should be viewed as “victims” through the prism of Critical Race Theory?
Paragraph 4. High Speed Rail. This report NEVER should have been commissioned in the first place given that the City and County of Fresno are dealing with a continuing, major displacement of homes, businesses, infrastructure and ag land which basically divides Fresno in two. City and County leaders have no idea if the High-Speed Rail project will even be completed. In my opinion, The High-Speed Rail project is THE major negative factor affecting land use planning in Fresno, especially in the downtown and SW areas of Fresno that are feeling the negative effects of this Jerry Brown boondoggle.

Page 30.

The only item I agreed with the report was on how affordable housing projects have aesthetic and material quality issues, and the need for wrap around support for those living in those projects.

Page 31

Paragraph 3. CRT shows up again when the authors state that the City must “prioritize curing the impacts of the legacy of racism and slavery”. Our country already did that during the American Civil War, and over 360,000 Union Soldiers gave their lives to defeat the Confederacy and to eliminate slavery. The City needs to reject this recommendation.

Page 41.

Paragraph 2. The report recommends making all their policies based on atonement. This is saying that the City must make all their policies based on race. This is CRT at its ugliest form. The City must reject this recommendation.

Page 49.

Paragraph 4. Why do We need African American Sign Language interpreters?

Page 53.

Paragraph 1. The authors want to have the City remove all guidelines using recommendations from “Crime Prevention through Environmental Design”. In actuality, it makes common sense to implement CPTED in urban planning. To quote the CPTED website: “CPTED is a multi-
disciplinary approach of crime prevention that uses urban and architectural design and the management of built and natural environments. CPTED strategies aim to reduce victimization, deter offender decisions that precede criminal acts, and build a sense of community among inhabitants so they can gain territorial control of areas, reduce crime and minimize fear of crime”.

Page 56.

Paragraph 3. The authors recommend prohibiting background checks or inquiring about arrest or convictions records of ALL CRIMINALS at any stage of the application process. This is insanity. This turns criminals into victims. If adopted by the city, it would mean that landlords would have to rent housing to those arrested and/or convicted of child molestation, rape and murder. How does that policy improve the lives of our citizens?

The Thrivance Group calls this “Fair Chance Housing” I call it insanity

Page 57.

Paragraph 4. The Thrivance group calls for citywide rent control. NO, NO, NO. How has that worked for New York and Berkeley? It has been well documented how rent control causes housing shortages and restricts the ability of low-income people to live in a rent-controlled city.

Page 59.

Paragraph 4. Climate change is the religion of the Leftist Socialists. The 10 hottest days in Fresno were set between 1898 and 1933. Climates have oscillations. The residents of Fresno thrived in the turn of the century without electricity, much less air conditioning. Back in 1970, during the first Earth Day, the “coming ice age” was the main concern. Environmental Justice is just another term for wealth redistribution. I urge the City leaders to use some common sense and reject this crock of socialism.

Page 60.

Paragraph 3. I recommend against implementing a “Here to Stay” Homeowner’s assistance program where the taxpayers are on the hook for forgiveness of loans only 7 years old. The city has no business being in the home lending business, that is left for bankers and mortgage companies.
Bottom Line, The Thrivance Group’s report and recommendations are totally biased and are based on CRT, (Racism), and Marxist ideologies. Their recommendations need to be rejected outright by the governmental leaders of the City of Fresno. I recommend that the City first figure out how to deal with the negative urban impacts of the High-Speed Rail project, and then commission a new study from an UBIASED urban planning consulting firm that approaches urban planning from a positive, culturally unifying and financially responsible standpoint.
July 24, 2021

City of Fresno: Planning and Development Department
2600 Fresno Street, Third Floor
Fresno, CA 93721-3604

Sophia Pagoulatos
Planning Manager

Thank you for your response letter to me dated June 24, 2021, in regards to my letter to your office dated April 1, 2021. The reason I had not called you is that a few days prior to receiving said letter I was once again in contact with HEAT members (Brunette Harris et al.) who have been having face-to-face and or other means meetings with the City specifically over the Southwest Fresno Specific Plan, in particular of its potential negative impact on current residents of Southwest Fresno.

In short, HEAT is to resume meetings this month so I am waiting to share with meeting attendees the anti-displacement concern I brought to your attention, and I have been told that HEAT members have new information to share too: Our main concern is resident displacement.

However for now let me share that I, as well as many fellow residents here, are glad to see the many positive changes taking place in this part of the city, which have been long overdue, such as the new West Fresno Campus being constructed at Walnut and Church, street improvements and street lights going up near Edison High, improvements to China Town area, etc. Our only collective hope is that we will be allowed to stay in our homes to enjoy such progressive improvements, which hopefully ties in with Thrivance Group (TG) anti-displacement goal.

Lastly, I would appreciate any information you can provide me on Thrivance Group, either in written form or a website I can look over. I would like to share this information with fellow HEAT meeting attendees and my neighbors. Thank you in advance.

Sincerely,

Robert Quintero

CC: Mayor Jerry Dyer
July 6, 2021

To Whom it May Concern:

First of all, I wish to thank The Fresno Bee for so diligently reporting on the on-going systemic abuse, neglect and discrimination inflicted upon our defenseless homeless individuals (and their precious pets), for which all are to blame, except for those who speak out to stop it.

I am now referencing the article in the Fresno Bee dated 7-6-2021 entitled "Fresno Residents Can Comment on Anti-Displacement Act." A key component of the "discussion" to date, the need to First provide homeless folks with a monthly check so they can be included in the "Low Income" category like the "families" and avail themselves of the plethora of nice apartments and related services that the "families" enjoy. The lower-income people should come first. The article intentionally leave out the idiot to the detriment of our homeless...
must be included in the plethora of services that the families are entitled with. To do so is not acceptable. In case you didn't know, it is against the law, rightfully so, to discriminate against an identifiable group based on skin tone, religion, handicap, age etc. Thisillet would certainly include our homeless ones.

Just go to Ru, Park or Village, Shopping Ctr etc. and garden at the offensive sign sprinkled around — "Say no to panhandlers" which indeed is an identifiable group. If similar signage was deployed to "endorse" irresponsible recreation behavior the whole community would probably be out in force to stop it. No such "mass mind" to do the same for our homeless ones.

Quite a Conundrum huh? This dictatorial need to stop — pronto. We need to focus on coming over homeless etc.

And giving them checks every month to promote inclusion so they can obtain avoid themselves of are the housing and related services that the "low-income" delight in. Channel 304726etc. need to stop using the word "families" when they
let's talk about events, service etc. because it further puts the homeless inde at the back of the line.

Our Lord was an individual, if he was to come to earth in the flesh, would be treated like our homeless inde. Think about it!

Once again, you need to spread inclusivity for our homeless inde. Because all this discrimination is most regrettable.

to stop.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Comments on Here to Stay

Nowhere could I find exactly how many residents completed the intake or questionnaire, although there were 50 interviewed. So it’s hard to know how statistically significant the results are. Page 23 refers to “a large number of people”. There are some recommendations that sound more appropriate for cities like L.A. or the Bay Area, rather than Fresno. For instance, the vacant lots and “brownfields” in West Fresno. If something like the proposed Increase Local Hire and Living Wage Minimum on Local Contracts were to discourage development of these vacant areas, that would be too bad for Fresno, but probably is not a problem in the Bay Area.

There is a comment on page 15, that non-owner-occupied homes are poorly maintained. This seems like a generalization.

The comments at the end of page 32 are VERY appropriate to this discussion as even the Thrivance Group had trouble navigating the social safety net!

On page 36, the report states “entrepreneurs who ran Black owned business…shared a common disdain for what they believe to be an unfair and excessively complicated bidding process”. So my conclusion is this (and other processes) should be made simpler and more accessible. At the bottom of page 48 is a recommendation that would instead increase complexity.

For the Blueprint, can I suggest that these be numbered? For the Community Land Trust, this may be a good idea but is it the role of city government? How much of a “contribution” would make a meaningful difference? The enhancements, although they may be just and fair, would require a whole new bureaucracy. I like the suggestion about Land Banks. The Impact Area Notification System sounds like a reasonable idea, but only if people know it exists and can get useful and up to date information there. CEQA Analyses are already problematic, without adding displacement analysis. Maybe that whole system could be overhauled instead.

The GeoHub Platform sounds like a great idea!

The Development, Evaluation and Technical Assistance department could be useful, in theory.

An unofficial Eviction Tracking Program sounds like a good idea as does a Tenant Experience Portal and a Meeting Access Portal. The Universal Design Standards sound like they might be useful, but is Fresno any different than other parts of the state?

One-to-One Affordable Unit Replacement sound good in theory. But will certainly complicate private development (and what about those properties whose affordable status is expiring?). The Priority Permitting Program sounds like a good idea if there is no other way to move ahead promptly with these projects. Hostile Architecture Eradication sound good but I guess it’s going to be pretty subjective and the implementation is going to add another level of complexity to the design process.

Under Transportation and Connectivity, I’d like to hear something that sounds like it applies to Fresno, or the portion of Fresno that was part of the study.

An Eminent Domain Moratorium seems reasonable.

Fair Chance Housing does not sound reasonable. An employer or a bank making a loan (or even a volunteer organization) would not be prevented from doing a background check.

I am not in favor of rent control as it is seen to penalize property owners who do not keep up with the permitted rent increases, resulting in higher rents. I’m also not in favor of right of first offer unless the timeline is short.

The Affordability Index sounds like a good idea. The comments about Homelessness Intervention on page 59 sound good (nothing like that currently exists???) but would require a whole new bureaucracy.

Environmental Justice and Climate Resiliency planning should be done, whether or not the rest of the Here To Stay recommendations are implemented!

I’m not in favor of Eviction Right-to-Counsel as I think it will really add to housing costs, delay even lawful evictions and because it could be superseded by either making the process simpler and more flexible or by using mediation.

The Rental Deposit Program is interesting but would require a whole new bureaucracy. And then would those city
employees have to go out and inspect the property when the tenant disagrees with what the landlord is claiming???
Homeowner and Renter assistance programs: this is a very generous concept and I wonder what it would cost and if it would be available to all who need it.
There is already a Rental Registration Program. Apparently it is not well run or at least action is not taken when there are repeated code violations. In my opinion, going after the bad landlords makes more sense than a whole new bureaucracy.
I’m very much in favor of Expanded Project Roomkey and Incentivized Eviction Mediation and Rapid Rehousing Dispatch (page 63). The Public Service Lease Incentive seems unnecessary if the individual qualifies based on income, although a system where points are given (as for veterans) would be reasonable.
Independent Youth Housing Coordination sounds like a good idea if this doesn’t exist.
Right to Return Home sounds like it would be complicated to define and administer. The tenant buyout regulation doesn’t sound like it’s relevant to Fresno. The Dignified Tiny House and Moratorium on Encampment Sweeps I’m very much in favor of. The Kinship Housing Permissions sounds like something that should have been done yesterday. Informed Livability does not provide specific enough information to render an opinion. It sounds very subjective.
Sincerely
Mary Ann Quann, former tenant, homeowner and landlord
This is the most outrageous and unreasonable and unsensible idea I have heard of in 27 years of practicing landlord/tenant law. Obviously, I doubt you will publish this email or even finish reading it for that matter as it states the truth. From rent control, criminal background checks and the like clearly the people commissioning this report are not rental property owners. Nor do they know what this will cause to the current homeless and underprivileged. Just take a look at the number of evictions rise in Fresno “after” our wonderful Governor instituted the TPA. This had made things worse for tenants, not better!! Review the facts.

www.larmolaw.com

Fair Debt Collection Practices Act Disclosure: To comply with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, we inform you that this is a communication from a debt collector. This may be an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. However, if you are in bankruptcy or received a bankruptcy discharge, no attempt is being made to collect a debt and any information will be obtained for informational purposes only.
Las Vegas

City of Fresno
Development Resource Management Dept.

Your Anti-Displacement flyers are coming to me 4 days after the mtq. And your TCC newsletter is half-ass and doesn’t provide any information or full articles.

Fresno, CA

Lisa Flores

93721-3604
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Hello;

I'm writing to offer a few comments on the here to stay report. I attended all four of the workshops regarding the report and found them to be very informative. My interest in the subject comes from my being displaced from my home of 14 years in Fresnos Chinatown when speculators bought the building I was living in with several friends and kicked us all out. About half of those kicked out became homeless off and on for the next couple of years.

First off the vast majority of the report has some very good recommendations. The highlights I'd like to specifically support are; Right to Council, Unofficial Eviction Tracking Program, Community Land Trusts, Community land Banks, Tenant Experience Portal, Rent Stabilization and affordable in Perpetuity.

Adopting some of these recommendations will help to partially level the balance between tenants and Landlords. currently landlords, property management corporations, developers, etc. have all the power. Which leads to illegal evictions, unsafe living conditions and homelessness. So it would really be nice if the city would do something to assist the people who actually vote rather then the monied interests who buy the cities alegiance.

Thank You

Dallas Blanchard
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session #</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Focus Area</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/22/21</td>
<td>Community Ownership</td>
<td>Community Land Trust</td>
<td>Company that owns large amounts of property do not take care of the quality/property-existing options are in poor condition-need to consider the needs of low income communities-need to consider the needs of immigrants-landlords charge so much that there's no livelihood left-need to connect this with opportunities to own/build small businesses-must ensure affordability in perpetuity-emphasis on meeting multiple objectives including enhancing the public safety net-address impediments to homeownership for immigrants-emphasis on maintaining affordability and benefit the existing community-consider making this a partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/22/21</td>
<td>Community Ownership</td>
<td>Civic Engagement fulfillment center</td>
<td>Highly interested in this-should focus on empowering residents-should be paid opportunity for residents-this should be multiple centers dispersed geographically-create a consistent, community-based process for people to weigh in on development-each CDC should have an engagement liaison to assist families in their neighborhoods-will help build trust-we benefit from having engagement happen separate from the government-could be anchored to CBDs but only if we expand their capacity/resources-this could happen in the form of grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/22/21</td>
<td>Community Ownership</td>
<td>Land Banks</td>
<td>Residents should be able to provide feedback on exactly what happens to the land-strong agreement-consider ways to prevent fires in abandoned buildings-combine land trusts with land banks-should be governed and owned by community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/22/21</td>
<td>Community Ownership</td>
<td>Civil Service Reclassification</td>
<td>Improve hiring practices in general-need to better train people to work directly with the community-ethical way to terminate staff who are harmful to community and not rooted in equity-may be too difficult to implement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/22/21</td>
<td>Community Ownership</td>
<td>Commercial Affordability fund</td>
<td>Address difficulty securing capital and property-need to identify a sustainable funding source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/22/21</td>
<td>Community Ownership</td>
<td>Anchor institution Protections</td>
<td>Protect the tower-could happen at the same time as zoning updates-how can we resource sites to maintain/sustain themselves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/22/21</td>
<td>Community Ownership</td>
<td>Expanded anti-displacement task force</td>
<td>Must include residents-learn from each other cities-expand representation on the current ask force-include various industries in housing-opportunity for more collaboration-need to include those who experience displacement-need a way for people to get involved in the task force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/22/21</td>
<td>Community Ownership</td>
<td>Increase local hire and living wage</td>
<td>Strong Agreement-need to do this without having an adverse affect on the cost to develop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/22/21</td>
<td>Community Ownership</td>
<td>General Comments</td>
<td>Vacant homes should be made available to low income people-vacant lost should be used to create parks nd open air food markets-do not allow access to businesses that sell alcohol-consider incentivising smaller developments-need to build accountability into all of the recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8/12/21</td>
<td>Mobility and Connectivity Practices</td>
<td>Cargo/Freight Prohibition and Revenue Tax</td>
<td>Should also restrict freight from traveling through certain communities altogether-consider expanding to revenue tax across industries because of grandfather clauses that would make it difficult to implement this-connect this to health evaluations along corridors-consider bus depots and benefits of electrification-also need to regulate freight storage in communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8/12/21</td>
<td>Mobility and Connectivity Practices</td>
<td>Public Works Prioritization and Mobility Justice</td>
<td>Center neighborhood that have the greatest needs (equity)-could provide stipends to businesses for making improvements-find ways to work around grant-related constraints-consider adding a displacement burden analysis to the next ATP update-grant funded projects should include addressing longstanding maintenance needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8/12/21</td>
<td>Mobility and Connectivity Practices</td>
<td>Mobility Conditions Transportation Prioritization System</td>
<td>No comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8/12/21</td>
<td>Regulations and Legal Protections</td>
<td>Residential Eminent Domain Moratorium</td>
<td>Should include acknowledgment of past harms and an apology by the City-consider a permanent version of this-reassess the need for this every five years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session #</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Focus Area</td>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2        | 8/12/21    | Regulations and Legal Protections               | Fair Chance Housing                         | Ensure safety while giving people a chance  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Strong support  
|          |            |                                                 | Education needed on the nuances of the policies (for example, this wouldn't include sexual offenders)  
|          |            |                                                 | Put this in all areas of Fresno, not just one neighborhood  
| 2        | 8/12/21    | Regulations and Legal Protections               | TIF for Anti-Displacement Automatic Set-Aside | Only if the money is invested in the community and the community gets to say how the money is used  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Incorporate a regional analysis/application  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Find a way to implement without being too restrictive during economic slow-downs  
| 2        | 8/12/21    | Regulations and Legal Protections               | Rent Stabilization, Conversion Restrictions, and "Affordable in Perpetuity" Designations | Need to go beyond state level of regulation  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Include a way to actively prevent unlawful evictions  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Important for aging adults  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Consider a waiver for historical homes  
| 2        | 8/12/21    | Regulations and Legal Protections               | "Here to Stay" Affordability Index          | Define "cost of living"  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             |  
| 2        | 8/12/21    | Regulations and Legal Protections               | Department of Anti-Displacement and Homelessness Intervention | Use this to fund/resource folks/CBOs already supporting this population  
| 2        | 8/12/21    | Regulations and Legal Protections               | Environmental Justice and Climate Resiliency Planning | Need to incorporate undocumented people  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Include farmworkers  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Strong support for downpayment component  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Ensure property value limitations associated with the program don’t create additional restrictions/burden for potential homebuyer  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Incentivize realtors/agents to work with people participating in the program  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Use this program to reverse the impacts of redlining  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Include credit repair support and support finding loans that aren’t high interest  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Consider including a line of credit  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Include support for compiling the required documents  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Provide one-on-one navigation and a simplified application process  
| 3        | 8/19/21    | Direct Services and Alternatives to Eviction    | "Here to Stay" Homeowner and Renter Assistance Programs | "Youth" should be defined the way govt. defines it - up to 26 y/o  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Would help keep college students in Fresno  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Has to include young people in foster care  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Instead of sweeping, provide sanitation support  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Center LGBTQ youth  
| 3        | 8/19/21    | Direct Services and Alternatives to Eviction    | Eviction Right-to-Counsel | Should be universal, not decided by a clerk  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Strong support for 24-hour (answered by person) evictions support line  
| 3        | 8/19/21    | Direct Services and Alternatives to Eviction    | "Here to Stay" Rental Deposit Program | Include a limit on the amount of security deposit a landlord can collect  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             |  
| 3        | 8/19/21    | Direct Services and Alternatives to Eviction    | Rental Registration Program | Protect tenant not just the unit  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Consider a way to track larger landlords more closely while having support built in for smaller landlords  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Consider revamping the code dept to make sure this policy is a success  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Inclusionary renting  
| 3        | 8/19/21    | Direct Services and Alternatives to Eviction    | Displacement Burden Access Designation | No comments  
| 3        | 8/19/21    | Direct Services and Alternatives to Eviction    | Expanded Project Roomkey | Make sure tenants have more influence over the type of wrap around services they have to opt into  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             |  
| 3        | 8/19/21    | Direct Services and Alternatives to Eviction    | Incentivized Eviction Mediation | No comments  
| 3        | 8/19/21    | Direct Services and Alternatives to Eviction    | Rapid Rehousing Dispatch | No comments  
| 3        | 8/19/21    | Dignified Housing and Legacy Considerations     | Public Service Lease Incentive | Include support for the non-profits they work for  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Resource non profits to provide these tenants with wrap around support  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             |  
| 3        | 8/19/21    | Dignified Housing and Legacy Considerations     | Independent Youth Housing Coordinator | "Youth" should be defined the way govt. defines it - up to 26 y/o  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Would help keep college students in Fresno  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Has to include young people in foster care  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Instead of sweeping, provide sanitation support  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Center LGBTQ youth  
| 3        | 8/19/21    | Dignified Housing and Legacy Considerations     | Right to Return Home | Need to include a program like this for small businesses  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Include moving costs  
| 3        | 8/19/21    | Dignified Housing and Legacy Considerations     | Tenant Buyout Regulation | Strong support for rent board component  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             |  
| 3        | 8/19/21    | Dignified Housing and Legacy Considerations     | Automatic Anti-Displacement Zone | No comments  
| 3        | 8/19/21    | Dignified Housing and Legacy Considerations     | Dignified Tiny Houses and Scattered Site Housing | Put one village in each council district  
| 3        | 8/19/21    | Dignified Housing and Legacy Considerations     | Mortorium on Encampment Sweeps | Find a way to support self-managed camps  
| 3        | 8/19/21    | Dignified Housing and Legacy Considerations     | Kinship Housing Permissions | No comments  
| 3        | 8/19/21    | Dignified Housing and Legacy Considerations     | Informed Livability | Will provide additional renter protections and prevent illegal eviction  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Make sure language imagery, messaging is acceptable  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Go beyond the minimum notification distance required by CEQA  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Notifications should follow the sphere of impact  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Add text/mobile alerts like other important city matters  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Don’t use community benefit agreements as a way of accepting harm  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Important for aging adults  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Community benefit agreements should be owned by the city and should have an accountability structure  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Only more on mailers for notifications  
|          |            |                                                 |                                             | Attach fines for developers regarding community benefit agreements  
| 4        | 8/26/21    | Accountability and Transparency                | Mandatory Impact Area Notification System |  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session #</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Focus Area</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8/26/21</td>
<td>Accountability and</td>
<td>Mandatory Inclusion of Displacement Analysis in CEQA</td>
<td>Strong Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transparency</td>
<td></td>
<td>The accountability aspect needs to be included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8/26/21</td>
<td>Accountability and</td>
<td>Open Fresno GeoHub Platform</td>
<td>Strong Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transparency</td>
<td></td>
<td>Should be configured like a one-stop shop for info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8/26/21</td>
<td>Accountability and</td>
<td>2030 Development, Evaluation, and Technical Assistance Department</td>
<td>Expand existing departments to include these functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transparency</td>
<td></td>
<td>Needs to be properly funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8/26/21</td>
<td>Accountability and</td>
<td>Unofficial Eviction Tracking</td>
<td>Needs to be a component of the open fresno portal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transparency</td>
<td></td>
<td>patterns of illegal evictions should be investigated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8/26/21</td>
<td>Accountability and</td>
<td>Tenant Experience Portal</td>
<td>Include shelters and temporary housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transparency</td>
<td></td>
<td>A tenant number can follow tenants throughout the system and they could update the portal as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>they need to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8/26/21</td>
<td>Accountability and</td>
<td>Meeting Access portal</td>
<td>Strong support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transparency</td>
<td></td>
<td>All meetings should be accessible by one link and page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8/26/21</td>
<td>Socially responsible</td>
<td>Special Business Certification and Inclusive Procurement/RFP process</td>
<td>Prioritize those who meet multiple points of need</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>development practices</td>
<td></td>
<td>Should include local and small businesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8/26/21</td>
<td>Socially responsible</td>
<td>Language Justice Clearinghouse</td>
<td>Strong support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>development practices</td>
<td></td>
<td>Include in redeveloping areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8/26/21</td>
<td>Socially responsible</td>
<td>Fresno-Specific Universal Design Standards</td>
<td>Include a way to address existing development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>development practices</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fine businesses for hostile infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8/26/21</td>
<td>Socially responsible</td>
<td>Hostile architecture eradication ordinance (not included in survey)</td>
<td>Need trash cans, restrooms, and water fountains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>development practices</td>
<td></td>
<td>Develop after hours spaces that feel safe to people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8/26/21</td>
<td>Socially responsible</td>
<td>One-to-one affordable unit replacement action plan</td>
<td>Prioritize residents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>development practices</td>
<td></td>
<td>Consider increasing the ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8/26/21</td>
<td>Socially responsible</td>
<td>Joint development priority permitting program</td>
<td>Ensure there are no loopholes and go back at least 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>development practices</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reduce wait times for housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8/26/21</td>
<td>Socially responsible</td>
<td>Public health impact reports</td>
<td>No comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>development practices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Date</td>
<td>Total registered</td>
<td>Total Attendees</td>
<td>Staff</td>
<td>Links to Sessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/29/2021 (rescheduled)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>9</td>
<td><a href="https://reflect-vod-cmac.cablecast.tv/vod/11705-Here-to-Stay-Community-S-v1/vod.mp4">47 Meeting Average</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HERE TO STAY
SURVEY
INSTRUMENT
1. What is your age? (circle)
   Under 18  18-24  25-34  35-44  45-54  55-64; 65+

2. What is your gender? ________________________

3. What is your ethnicity? (Please select all that apply.)
   a. American Indian or Alaskan Native
   b. Asian or Pacific Islander
   c. Black or African American
   d. Hispanic or Latino
   e. White / Caucasian
   f. Prefer not to answer
   g. 2 or more
   h. Other (please specify)

4. Place a checkmark next to the policies you agree should be implemented
   - 2030 Development, Evaluation, and Technical Assistance Department
   - Anchor Institution Protections
   - Automatic Anti-Displacement Zone
   - Cargo/Freight Prohibition and Revenue Tax
   - City of Fresno “Here to Stay” Community Land Trust
   - Civil Service Reclassification
   - Commercial Affordability Fund
   - Department of Anti-Displacement and Homelessness Intervention
   - Dignified Tiny House Villages and Scattered Site Housing
   - Displacement Burden Access Designation
   - Environmental Justice and Climate Resiliency Planning
   - Eviction Right-to-Counsel
   - Expanded Anti-Displacement Taskforce Oversight
   - Expanded Project Roomkey
   - Fair Chance Housing
   - Fresno Civic Engagement Fulfillment Center
   - Fresno-Specific Universal Design Standards
   - “Here to Stay” Affordability Index
   - “Here to Stay” Deposit Program
   - “Here to Stay” Homeowner and Renter Assistance Programs
   - Incentivised Eviction Mediation
   - Increase Local Hire and Living Wage Minimum on Local Contracts
   - Independent Youth Housing Coordination
   - Informed Livability
   - Joint Development Priority Permitting Program
   - Kinship Housing Permissions
   - Land Banks
   - Language Justice Clearinghouse
   - Mandatory Impact Area Notification System
   - Mandatory Inclusion of Displacement Analysis in all CEQA Analyses
   - Mobility Conditions Transportation Prioritization System
   - Moratorium on Encampment Sweeps
   - One-to-One Affordable Unit Replacement Action Plan
   - “OpenFresno” - Full Access to Data and Plans through a GeoHub Platform
   - Public Health Impact Reports
   - Public Service Lease Incentive
   - Public Works Prioritization and Mobility Justice
   - Rapid Rehousing Dispatch
   - Rent Stabilization, Conversion Restrictions, and “Affordable in Perpetuity” Designations
   - Rental Registration Program
   - Residential Eminent Domain Moratorium
   - Right To Return Home
   - Special Business Certifications and Inclusive Procurement and RFP Processes
   - Tax Increment Financing for Anti-Displacement Automatic Set-Aside
   - Tenant Buyout Regulation
   - Unofficial Eviction Tracking Program
5. Which three policies would you like to see implemented first?
   __________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________

6. Which focus area is most important to you? (circle)
   a. Reduce harm and provide the most immediate relief
   b. Sustainable capacity for ongoing displacement avoidance
   c. Dignified civic engagement and housing

7. Is there a policy listed in the Here to Stay report that you disagree with?
   __________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________

8. Is there a type of support or policy that wasn’t included in the document that you would like to see in Fresno?
   __________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________

9. Which three policies are you most interested in learning more about?
   __________________________________________________________

10. Have you moved in the past 10 years due to an eviction, foreclosure, natural disaster, condo conversion, rent increase, neighborhood violence, or because your home became uninhabitable for other reasons (also known as displacement)?
    (circle)  a. Yes  b. no

11. What is the current/last neighborhood you lived in Fresno?
    __________________________________________________________

12. When did you move? (circle)
    a. Less than a year ago
    b. 1 year - 3 years ago
    c. 3 - 5 years ago
    d. 5 -10 years ago
    e. Not applicable

13. How long did you live in your previous neighborhood before you were displaced? (circle)
    a. 1 year or less
    b. 2-5 years
    c. 5-10 years
    d. 10-15 years
    e. 20 years - 30 years
    f. 30 years or longer
    g. Still live in the same neighborhood
14. How long did/have you or your family lived in Fresno? (circle)
   a. 1 year or less
   b. 2-5 years
   c. 5-10 years
   d. 10-15 years
   e. 20 years - 30 years
   f. 30 years or longer

15. Why did you move? (circle)
   a. I could not afford the rent
   b. To be closer to my job
   c. To be closer to family or friends
   d. To be closer to the schools my kids attend
   e. To be closer to my doctors or other service providers
   f. I lost my housing to a fire or natural disaster
   g. I lost my housing because my landlord moved back in
   h. I lost my housing because my landlord no longer wanted to use it as a rental property
   i. I lost my housing because I was evicted
   j. I owned a home but it went into foreclosure
   k. Not applicable

Other (please specify)

16. Would you like to move back to your previous neighborhood in Fresno in the future? (circle)
   a. Yes
   b. No
   c. Not applicable

17. If yes, why would you like to move back to Fresno? If you would not, why not? (circle)
   a. Yes, I would like to be closer to friends and family.
   b. Yes, I would like to be closer to work.
   c. Yes, I would like to be closer to my doctor or other medical amenities not easily accessible to where I live now.
   d. No, I prefer the lifestyle of where I live now compared to Fresno.
   e. No, I am closer to my friends and family where I live now.
   f. No, I am closer to work where I live now.
   g. No, I am closer to my doctor or other medical amenities not easily accessible to where I lived in Fresno.
   h. Yes, I prefer the lifestyle I had in Fresno.
   i. Not applicable

Other (please specify)

18. Do you rent or own your home? (circle)
   a. Rent
   b. I own my current home, but I used to rent in Fresno.
   c. Don't have stable housing (staying with family/friends, couch surfing)
   d. Homeless (living outside, shelter, car)
19. If you have stable housing, do you pay more for housing now, less, or the same as you did before your last move? (circle)
   a. Same          c. Less
   b. More          d. Not applicable

20. Do your children attend school in Fresno? (circle)
   a. Yes, they attend school in Fresno
   b. No, they attend school outside of Fresno
   c. I don't have children

21. Do you work in Fresno? (circle)
   a. Yes          c. Unemployed
   b. No           d. Retired

22. How has displacement affected your quality of life in general?

_________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________

23. What can the City and its residents do together to address the issue of displacement?

_________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________

24. Anything else you would like us to know?

_________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________

25. Would you like to stay up to date on the Here to Stay policies?
   a. Name ________________________________
   b. Email ________________________________
   c. Phone ________________________________
   d. Address ______________________________

26. Would you like to be included in a $500 raffle for completed surveys? (must answer question 25 if 'yes'? (circle)
   a. Yes        b. No
### Original Thrivance Group Ranked HTS Policy List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMBINED RANK</th>
<th>POLICY</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Moratorium on Encampment Sweeps</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fair Chance Housing</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Expanded Anti-Displacement Taskforce Oversight</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fresno-Specific Universal Design Standards</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Residential Eminent Domain Moratorium</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Public Health Impact Reports</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Eviction Right-to-Counsel</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Displacement Burden Access Designation</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Tenant Buyout Regulation</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Civil Service Reclassification</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Increase Local Hire and Living Wage Minimum on Local Contracts</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Anchor Institution Protections</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Rent Stabilization, Conversion Restrictions, and “Affordable in Perpetuity” Designations</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Informed Livability</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Special Business Certifications and Inclusive Procurement and RFP Processes</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Environmental Justice and Climate Resiliency Planning</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Rental Registration Program</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Mandatory Inclusion of Displacement Analysis in all CEQA Analyses</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>“Here to Stay” Affordability Index</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Joint Development Priority Permitting Program</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Language Justice Clearinghouse</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Kinship Housing Permissions</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Fresno Civic Engagement Fulfillment Center</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Public Service Lease Incentive</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Mandatory Impact Area Notification System</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Right To Return Home</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Public Works Prioritization and Mobility Justice</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Department of Anti-Disposition and Homelessness Intervention</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>City of Fresno “Here to Stay” Community Land Trust</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>“Here to Stay” Deposit Program</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Dignified Tiny House Villages and Scattered Site Housing</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Independent Youth Housing Coordination</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>One-to-One Affordable Unit Replacement Action Plan</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Incentivised Eviction Mediation</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Cargo/Freight Prohibition and Revenue Tax</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Unofficial Eviction Tracking Program</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>“Here to Stay” Homeowner and Renter Assistance Programs</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Land Banks</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>“OpenFresno” - Full Access to Data and Plans through a GeoHub Platform</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2030 Development, Evaluation, and Technical Assistance Department</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Tax Increment Financing for Anti-Disposition Automatic Set-Aside</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Mobility Conditions Transportation Prioritization System</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Expanded Project Roomkey</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Automatic Anti-Disposition Zone</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Commercial Affordability Fund</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Rapid Rehousing Dispatch</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The projects below do not have a specific location and are not depicted on the map.

02 - EOC Partnership for Energy Savings and GHG Reductions in SW Fresno
03 - GRID Solar Collaborative Single-Family Partnership
04 - GRID Solar Collaborative Multi-Family Partnership
07 - Clean Shared Mobility Network
19 - Chinatown Property Based Improvement District
20 - EOC Partnership for Energy Savings and GHG Reductions in SW Fresno: EFMP Plus-Up Vehicle Replacement and Incentives
WDP - Workforce Development: Welding Program
WDP - Workforce Development: Low/Zero Emission Truck Driver Training
GENDER

Man, He/Him 37.1%
Woman, She/Her 50%
Non-Binary, Questioning, Gender Queer 2.4%
Transgender Masculine/Man
Transgender Feminine/Woman
No Response 8.1%
POLICIES SELECTED BY MORE THAN 50% OF THOSE SURVEYED

- Homeowner/Renter Assist. Pgm.
- Fair Chance Housing
- HTS Land Trust
- Rent Stabilization, etc.
- Eviction Right to Counsel
- Deposit Program
- Increase Local Hire/Wage
POLICIES SELECTED BY MORE THAN 33% OF THOSE SURVEYED

- Affordability Index
- EJ/Climate Resilience Planning
- Public Health Impact Reports
- Dpt. Anti-Displmt./Homelessness
- Tiny House Villages
- Auto-Anti-Displacement Zone
- "Open Fresno" GeoHub
- Eviction Tracking Program
- Land Banks
- One-to-One Unit Replacement
- Moratorium, Encampment Sweeps
- Rental Registration Program
- Right to Return Home
- Indp. Youth Housing Coordination
- Incentivized Eviction Mediation
- Tenant Buyout Regulation
- Expand Anti-Displacement Taskforce
- 2030 Development/Evaluation Dept.
- Eminent Domain Moratorium
- Commercial Affordability Fund
- Impact Area Notification System
- Expanded Project Room Key
- Displacement Analysis in CEQA
POLICY PACKAGES PREFERRED BY THOSE SURVEYED

- Sustainable Capacity: 43.3%
- Reduce Harm/Immediate Relief: 35.4%
- Dignified Civic Engagement/Housing: 21.3%
POLICIES THAT MORE THAN 1 PERSON SURVEYED DISAGREED WITH

- Cargo/Freight Tax: 1.6%
- Moratorium on Encampment Sweeps: 2.4%
- Rent Control: 4%
- General Disagreement/All: 4%
PERCENTAGE OF THOSE SURVEYED WHO'VE RECENTLY BEEN DISPLACED

QUESTION: Have you moved in the past 10 years due to an eviction, foreclosure, natural disaster, condo conversion, rent increase, neighborhood violence, or because your home became uninhabitable for other reasons (also known as displacement)?

26.6%
GENDER DISTRIBUTION OF RECENTLY DISPLACED SURVEY RESPONDENTS

- Man, He/Him: 37.5%
- Woman, She/Her: 50%
- Non-Binary, Questioning, Gender Queer: 6.3%
- Transgender Masculine: 3.1%
- Transgender Feminine/Woman: 3.1%
- No response: 0%

GENDER DISTRIBUTION OF ALL SURVEY RESPONDENTS
GENDER - PERCENTAGE OF THOSE SURVEYED RECENTLY DISPLACED (PROPORTIONALITY)

- Man, He/Him: 21.7%
- Woman, She/Her: 25.8%
- Nonbinary, Questioning, Gender Queer: 66%
- Trans Masc: 100%
- Trans Femme: 50%
POLICIES SELECTED BY MORE THAN 50% OF THOSE WHO'VE BEEN DISPLACED

- Homeowner/Renter Assistance
- Deposit Program
- Rent Stabilization, etc.
- Fair Chance Housing
- Eviction Right to Counsel
- HTS Land Trust
- Public Health Impact Reports
- Moratorium on Encampment Sweeps
- Affordability Index
- EJ and Climate Resiliency Planning
- Dept. Anti-Displ./Homelessness
### Policies Selected by More Than 33% of Those Who've Been Displaced

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auto-Anti-Displacement Zone</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiny House Villages</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase Local Hire/Living Wage</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Banks</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-to-One Affordable Unit Replacement</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Registration Program</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unofficial Eviction Tracking Program</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Affordability Fund</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Open Fresno&quot; GeoHub</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incentivized Eviction Mediation</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Eminent Domain Moratorium</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility/Transpo. Prioritization System</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenant Buyout Regulation</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030 Dept. Evaluation</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right to Return Home</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanded Anti-Displacement Taskforce</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanded Project Room Key</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic Engagement Fulfillment Center</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Eminent Domain Moratorium</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
POLICIES SELECTED BY LESS THAN 33% OF THOSE WHO'VE BEEN DISPLACED

- Rapid Rehousing Dispatch
- Public Works Prioritization and Mobility Justice
- Mandatory Anti-Displacement in CEQA
- Public Service Lease Incentive
- Mandatory Impact Area Notification
- Kinship Housing Permissions
- Fresno-Specific Universal Design
- Language Justice Clearinghouse
- Joint Development Priority Permit Program
- TIF for Anti-Displacement Auto. Set-Aside
- Special Business Certifications
- Cargo/Freight Prohibition and Tax
- Anchor Institution Protections
CURRENT COMMUNITY ZIP CODE DISTRIBUTION OF THOSE WHO'VE BEEN DISPLACED

- Fig Loop: 18.2%
- Tower: 30.3%
- Downtown: 18.2%
- Fresno State: 9.1%
- Hoover: 3%
- Selma: 3%
- Unhoused: 9.1%
- Clovis: 6.1%
- Hammond: 3%
DISPLACEMENT RISK INDICATORS - PERCENTAGE OF RENTERS

- Rent: 58%
- Own: 42%

CITYWIDE CENSUS DATA
SURVEY RESPONSE DATA
Percentage of Fresno Residents Likely Displaced within the Last 10 Years | 27% | 38,350 (apprx)
PARENT RESPONSES:
HOW HAS DISPLACEMENT AFFECTED YOUR QUALITY OF LIFE IN GENERAL?

- Economic pressure/Rent burden: 28.6%
- Negative Emotional, Mental Impacts, Stress: 38.2%
- Social Isolation: 4.7%
- Commute/Access to Education: 4.7%
- Negative Environmental Impacts: 9.5%
- General Negative Impacts: 14.3%
POLICY PREFERENCES: SELECTED BY MAJORITY OF INDIGENOUS RESPONDENTS (PERCENTAGE)

- Homeowner and Renter Programs
- Community Land Trust
- Fair Chance Housing
- Eviction Right to Counsel
- Stabilization/Affordable in Perpetuity
- Deposit Program
- Local Hire and Living Wage on Contracts
- Affordability Index
POLICY PREFERENCES: SELECTED BY MAJORITY OF WHITE RESPONDENTS (PERCENTAGE)

- Homeowner and Renter Programs
- Community Land Trust
- Fair Chance Housing
- Eviction Right to Counsel
- Stabilization/Affordable in Perpetuity
- Deposit Program
- Local Hire and Living Wage on Contracts
- Tiny Houses
- Affordability Index
- Eviction Tracking
POLICY PREFERENCES: SELECTED BY MAJORITY OF ASIAN/PI RESPONDENTS (PERCENTAGE)

- Homeowner and Renter Programs
- Community Land Trust
- Fair Chance Housing
- Eviction Right to Counsel
- Stabilization/Affordable in Perpetuity
- Deposit Program
- Local Hire and Living Wage on Contracts
PERCENTAGE OF SURVEY RESPONSES WITHIN/ADJACENT THE TRANSFORM FRESNO PROJECT AREA

Outside of Transform Fresno 53.6%

Transform Fresno 46.4%
Nyob ntawm No Txhawm Rau Thaj Tawm Cov Lus Pom Zoo Rau Pej Xeem
Lub Kaum Hli Xyoo 2021

Thawj Saib Xyuas Cov Ntsiab Lus

Txhawm rau ua kom tau cov nyiaj pab raws li qhov yuav tsum tau muaj ntawm Zej Zog Kev Hloov Pauv Kev Nyab Xeeb (Transformative Climate Communities TCC), uas tau pab nyiaj tshawb fawb los txheeb xyuas cov kev cai tiv thaiv kev tsiv teb raws chaw, Pab Pawg Tsheb Kauj Vab (Thrivance Group) tau ua hauj lwm los txheeb xyuas peb txoj cai uas Lub Nroog Fresno yuav ua kom tau raws li lub hom phiag tshwj xeeb ntawm Lub Nroog txoj kev npaj ntawm kev tsiv teb raws chaw. Cov kev tshawb pom los ntawm cov txheej txheem no kuj ua tau raws li txoj cai thiab cov kev cai uas tau teev tseg havu Plawv Nroog Cov Kev Npaj Zej Zog Cov Neeg (Downtown Neighborhoods Community Plan), Kev Npaj Tshwj Xeeb Sab Hnub Poob ntawm Nroog Fresno (Southwest Fresno Specific Plan), thiab Lub Ntsiab Kev Nyob Ntawm Cov Khoos Kas 12A (Housing Element Program 12A). Txhawm rau kom tau txais cov lus pom zoo los ntawm txoj cai, Pab Pawg Tsheb Kauj Vab (Thrivance Group) tau ua ntau yam kev koom tes havu jez zog uas ua rau 46 cov lus pom zoo rau rau kev tawm tsam thawj zaug.

46 los lus pom zoo ua ntej tau tshaj tawm rau pej xeem cov lus pom thiab kev tawm tswv yim txhawm rau los txiax txim siab qhov kev pom zoo raws li qhov tseem ceeb thiab ntsuam xyuas ntawm 46 txoj cai. Pab pawg Tsheb Kauj Vab (Thrivance Group) tau tsim tsab ntawv ceeb toom Nyob Ntawm No Txhawm rau los ntsuam xyuas kom cov neeg nyob havu nroog Fresno muaj kev pom meej meej ntawm cov ntsiab lus ntawm txhua qhov kev pom zoo ntawm txoj cai thiab seb cov lus pom zoo tuaj txog li cas. Tsab ntawv ceeb toom Nyob Ntawm No yog ib qho ntawm cov lus pom zoo los ntawm txoj cai uas muab cov phiaj xwm kev nqis tes ua txuas ntxiv mus rau Lub Nroog Fresno thiab nws cov thawj cai uas raug xaiv mus rau hauv nroog nrog lub hom phiag lau kom Fresno mauj kev noj qab nyob zoo, muaj kev lag luam ruaj khov thiab sib npaug. Thaum daim ntawv tshaj tawm yog qhov tshwm sim ntawm kev tshawb fawb ntev xyoo thiab kev sib koom tes havu jez zog, Them nyiaj los ntawm Lub Nroog Fresno, cox kev cai pom zoo thob plaws tau tsim los ntawm kev tsim kho hauv jez zog raws li txoj cai thiab nroog rau kev ruaj khov ntawm ob qho tib si yaw dhau los. thiab cov neeg nyob tam sim no nyob rau hauv lub hauv paus ntawm kev mob siab rau lub hom phiag thiab kev txhawb siab.

Nyob ntawm No Txhawm Rau Thaj Tawm Cov Lus Pom Zoo Rau Pej Xeem qhia txog cov ntsiab lus ntawm 1) kev nthuav tawm cov lus txhaj tawm rau pej xeem li cas, 2) kev soj ntsuam ntawm pej xeem cov lus thiab cov kev tshawb fawb, 3) kev soj ntsuam zoo ntawm cov ntawv xa thiab cov xwm txheej tam sim no ntawm kev lag luam, 4) qhov xaus uas tseem ceeb ntawm 46 Tsab Ntawm Tseem Ceeb ntawm No rau Txoj Kev Cai Lij Choj qhia los ntawm pej xeem cov lus tawm tswv yim, thiab 5) Pab Pawg Tsheb Kauj Vab (Thrivance Group) cov lus pom zoo zaum kawg rau kev ua raws li txoj cai tseem ceeb.

---

*Cov Ntsiab Lus Kawg ntawm Cov Lus Pom Zoo*
Informe de Comentarios Públicos de Aquí para Quedarse
Octubre del 2021

Resumen Ejecutivo

Para satisfacer los requisitos de la subvención de Comunidades Climáticamente Transformativas (TCC, por sus siglas en inglés), que financió la investigación para identificar pólizas antideslizamiento implementables, el Grupo Thrivance recibió la tarea de identificar tres pólizas que la Ciudad de Fresno seguiría para cumplir con los objetivos específicos del plan de prevención de desplazamiento de la Ciudad. Los hallazgos de este proceso también satisfacen los requisitos y pólizas delineados en el Plan Comunitario de Vecindarios del Centro, el Plan Específico del Suroeste de Fresno y el Programa de Elemento de Vivienda 12A. Para llegar a las recomendaciones de pólizas, el Grupo Thrivance llevó a cabo una serie de actividades de participación comunitaria que resultaron en 46 recomendaciones de pólizas preliminares contra el desplazamiento.

Las 46 recomendaciones preliminares se compartieron ampliamente para recibir comentarios y aporte del público para determinar una priorización basada en consenso y una clasificación de las 46 pólizas propuestas. El Grupo Thrivance elaboró el informe Aquí para Quedarse para garantizar que los residentes de Fresno tuvieran un sobrepaso transparente de los detalles de cada recomendación de póliza, al igual que del como surgieron las recomendaciones. El informe Aquí para Quedarse fue un paquete de recomendaciones de pólizas destinadas a proporcionar un plan de acción para continuar moviendo a la Ciudad de Fresno y sus funcionarios electos hacia el desarrollo de la ciudad con el objetivo de hacer que Fresno sea más saludable, más estable económicamente y más equitativa. Si bien el informe fue el resultado de una investigación de un año y un esfuerzo de participación comunitaria, financiado por la Ciudad de Fresno, las pólizas recomendadas en todo momento fueron elaboradas en el espíritu del desarrollo de pólizas basadas en la comunidad y con la sostenibilidad a largo plazo de ambos los residentes establecidos y los actuales en el centro de las intenciones y motivaciones del esfuerzo.

El Informe de Comentarios Públicos Aquí para Quedarse proporciona una descripción general de 1) cómo se estructuró el alcance comunitario de comentarios públicos, 2) el análisis cuantitativo de los comentarios públicos y las encuestas, 3) el análisis cualitativo de las cartas enviadas y las condiciones socioeconómicas existentes, 4) un informe final la priorización de las 46 pólizas Aquí para Quedarse informadas por los comentarios del público, y 5) las recomendaciones finales del Grupo Thrivance para la implementación a corto plazo de las pólizas prioritarias.

Resumen de Recomendaciones Finales
La lista por rango de pólizas recomendadas que el Grupo Thrivance presenta a la ciudad de Fresno son: 1) Vivienda de Oportunidad Justa, 2) Derecho a un Abogado por Desalojo, 3) Estabilización de la Renta, 4) Aumentar la Contratación Local y el Salario Mínimo Digno en los Contratos Locales, 5) Fideicomiso Comunitario de Tierras "Aquí para Quedarse" de la Ciudad de Fresno, 6) Programas de Asistencia para Propietarios e Inquilinos "Aquí para Quedarse", 7) Programa de Depósitos "Aquí para Quedarse", 8) Moratoria de Barridos de Campamentos, 9) Informe de Impacto en la Salud Pública, 10) Justicia Ambiental y Planificación de la Resiliencia Climática, 11) Estándares del Diseño Universal Específicos de Fresno, 12) Departamento de Intervención contra el Desplazamiento y Personas Sin Hogar, 13) Índice de Asequibilidad Aquí para Quedarse, 14) Derecho a Regresar a Casa, y 15) Dignificado Lugares de Casas Pequeñas y Viviendas en Sitios Dispersos.
RESOLUTION NO. 2018-277

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF FRESNO, CALIFORNIA, ESTABLISHING DISPLACEMENT TASK FORCE OF THE CITY OF FRESNO

WHEREAS, the Downtown Neighborhoods Community Plan Policy 7.12 calls for the avoidance of displacement of long-time residents and merchants as formerly distressed areas are improved; and further calls for the creation of a displacement task force, annual gathering of data, the release of a public annual report on data gathered, and identification of a set of actions that give displaced persons or businesses the opportunity to remain in the area if displacement is observed; and further calls for seeking funding for mixed income and affordable housing within the affected areas, working with owners of affordable housing properties to ensure that affordability is maintained over the long term, and creating and maintaining a webpage to post information on the task force; and

WHEREAS, Program 12A of the Fresno General Plan Housing Element calls for exploration of ways to provide opportunities for low income residents and merchants to remain in their neighborhoods if displacement is observed due to substantial and substantial increases in rent; and, in addition to all the measures noted above calls for the Displacement Task Force to convene in 2018; and

WHEREAS, the Southwest Fresno Specific Plan Policy LU-4.8 calls for an anti-displacement strategy and an anti-displacement and relocation program to minimize and avoid the displacement of existing residents outside of the Plan Area caused by new development.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Fresno as follows:

1. Displacement Task Force is hereby established.

2. The purpose of Displacement Task Force is to explore ways to provide opportunities for low income residents and merchants to remain in their neighborhoods if displacement is observed due to substantial and sustained increases in rent. The Displacement Task Force may analyze data and recommend resolutions related to all causes and areas of displacement beyond those called for in the Housing Element, so long as the requirements of Housing Element Program 12A are satisfied.

3. The Displacement Task Force shall continue to exist until the mission of the body is completed. The Displacement Task Force shall automatically terminate four years from its creation unless expressly provided for herein or extended by Council resolution.

4. The membership shall consist of:
   a. ELEVEN members.
   b. The members shall be appointed in the following manner: by Mayoral appointment, subject to approval by the Council.
   c. The committee shall be composed of the following numbers and categories of members consistent with the qualifications as set forth below:
      i. Three residential tenants:
         a. One residing in the Fulton Corridor Specific Plan Area, and
b. One residing in the Downtown Neighborhoods Community Plan Area, and
c. One residing in the Southwest Fresno Specific Plan Area.

ii. Two commercial tenants:
   a. One located in the Downtown triangle, and
   b. One in either Chinatown or Southwest.

iii. Three Developers:
   a. One affordable housing developer, and
   b. One market rate developer, and
   c. One Community Development Corporation Developer;

iv. Three Advocates:
   a. One non-profit organization, and
   b. One representative of a neighborhood organization,
      and
   c. One individual/non-affiliate.

5. The term of office shall be at pleasure of the appointing authority.

6. There shall be no compensation.

7. The Staff Representative shall be appointed by the Mayor.

   * * * * * * * * * * * *
STATE OF CALIFORNIA   )
COUNTY OF FRESNO    ) ss.
CITY OF FRESNO      )

I, YVONNE SPENCE, City Clerk of the City of Fresno, certify that the foregoing
resolution was adopted by the Council of the City of Fresno, at a special meeting
held on the 29th day of November 2018.

AYES   : Baines, Brandau, Bredefeld, Caprioglio, Chavez, Olivier, Soria
NOES   : None
ABSENT : None
ABSTAIN: None

YVONNE SPENCE, CRM MMC
City Clerk

By: ____________________________ Date: 12/11/18
                     Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DOUGLAS T. SLOAN
City Attorney

By: ____________________________ Date: 12/11/18
Talia Kolluri-Barbick Supervising Deputy City Attorney
November 22, 2021

To: Sophia Pagoulatos and Others

This May Concern

RE: Administration Response to the **Here to Stay** Report

Dear Members of the Anti-Displacement Task Force,

At the City of Fresno, we believe everyone has value. Because of people’s inherent value, we believe each person’s voice matters. As such, I applaud any undertaking that creates avenues for community members to be heard. By way of our Transformative Climate Communities effort, the City is working with the Thrivance Group to ensure public discourse on the anti-displacement topic. Displacing people for any reason is contrary to the core of my One Fresno Vision and will be detrimental to the City’s future.

With regard to the **Here to Stay** report, as with any study, it is vital to assess all implementation recommendations by asking the questions, ‘is said recommendation reasonable, is it feasible, and does it address the issue of displacement?’ While many recommendations may be generally acceptable, we should first address the most salient. To this end, my administration has reviewed the report’s top recommendations according to public comment. The responses are below with **green** denoting support of/or already in pursuit of, **orange** denoting a willingness to explore under certain parameters, and **red** meaning in opposition:

1. **Homeowner and Renter Assistance Programs:** On Monday March 15th 2021, the City of Fresno launched its Emergency Rental Assistance Program. The City has received $34,940,973 in ERAP 1 funding and $7,925,465 in ERAP 2 funding. This federal and state emergency rental assistance is aimed at improving housing stability in these unprecedented economic times. As of November 1st, 2021, the City has expended $16,201,400 to assist 3,107 tenants and landlords in need of housing and utility relief. We will continue to expend this funding through the cycle and will pursue and deploy additional Federal and State housing assistance dollars as they become available.

2. **Fair Chance Housing:** I believe in second chances and giving those affected by the criminal justice system an opportunity to be successful and afforded access to quality, affordable housing. That said, research has found that out of 15 crime categories ranging from prostitution to marijuana possession to domestic violence, four have a statistically significant link correlation to adverse outcomes, including
eviction for lease violations, nonpayment of rent and leaving without notice. These prior convictions include fraud, assault, property crimes and major drug offenses and were found to increase the odds of failure. Any future support from my administration regarding fair chance housing would have to be crafted with this in mind.

3. **Land Trusts**: I still believe in the American Dream of giving people the opportunity for home ownership. My administration believes in the establishment of affordable housing that remains in perpetuity. To this end, my team has been actively involved with advancing the Community Land Trust model. In fact, our Deputy Mayor Matthew Grundy was key in establishing our region’s first community land trust (the Central California Land Trust) and is working actively with the group in moving the model forward.

4. **Rent Stabilization**: Fresno’s rents have increased 23.1% over the past 12 months, which is well above California’s 11.6% average, as well as the national average of 15.1%, over the same period. Recently, the Wall Street Journal, out of 300 metro areas nationwide, identified Fresno as the #23 emerging housing market. The fact of the matter is we are growing, and while we are happy that Fresno, now more than ever, is being sought after as a desirable place to live, the simple economic principle of supply and demand, if left unchecked, may lead to many residents to being priced out of the market.

I believe in a free and unhampered market. However, IF local government is able to subsidize rents for a subset of landlords, with the condition that their units remain affordable for a specific period of time, I believe there may be some potential at some point to explore this option. In fact, I am recommending allocating $1 million this fiscal year in American Rescue Plan funding to this effort. Landlord access to these funds will be voluntary and must be utilized for property improvements in exchange for affordability covenants.

5. **Eviction Right-to-Counsel**: On January 4, 2021, a Right to Counsel proposal was delivered at a City Council meeting by the Fresno Right to Counsel Coalition. On August 27, the City launched its eviction protection program. Funded with $750,000 from the city's emergency rental assistance program, the program is designed to protect against potentially unlawful evictions. This program is currently being administered out of the City Attorney's Office.

6. **Deposit Program**: Should future state and/or federal funding become available to local governments to assist in subsidizing rental deposits, the City of Fresno would consider administering these funds. However, the creation of an alternative rental deposit system under the City's supervision could be seen as government overreach into private real estate transactions. This effort could interfere with tenant/landlord disputes that are settled in small claims court. While well intentioned, the City would be more susceptible to liability for mediating the release of deposit funds and the costs associated with settling disputes.

7. **Increasing Local Hire and Minimum Wage Requirement on Contracts**: Not long ago, Fresno had to offer financial incentives to attract major job creating businesses. Those efforts put the City on the map, and word spread about our upside – central geographic location, lack of traffic congestion and relative low cost of living compared to the rest of the state.

Financial incentives, if any, must be tied to metrics such job creation, livable wages and benefits and hiring from disadvantaged communities.
8. **Environmental Justice and Climate Resiliency Planning** — As mentioned in the report, “City Council allocated $400,000 to support a climate resilience plan in the most recent budget. The description by the City Council is in line with the policy identified in the Draft Report…”

It is also worth noting, that the City recently updated its Greenhouse Gas Reduction Plan on 9/30/21, which serves as the City’s Climate Action Plan. The program detailed in the Here to Stay report is focused on Environmental Justice and Climate Resiliency Planning, which are separate state-required planning mandates. Staff intends to do this work for our next General Plan update, which is scheduled for 2024.

9. **Department of Anti-Displacement and Homelessness Intervention** — Under the leadership of Planning Director Jennifer Clark and Director of Housing and Homeless Initiatives H. Spees, my Administration is currently adding significant Housing and Homeless team capacity. Phil Skei, formerly the Fresno Housing Authority’s Neighborhood Initiatives Manager, will be leading our housing efforts. The Mayors Homeless Assistance Response Team (H.A.R.T) will also receive additional staff. These efforts will shore up our ability to support our anti-displacement and homeless intervention efforts.

10. **Public Health Impact Reports** — In recent years there has been significant research into negative health effects caused by poor land use and development decisions in Southwest Fresno. For these reasons, my administration is committed to supporting efforts to help us understand how current decisions may have adverse future effects on the community.

Public Health Impact Reports could be provided on a biennial basis for a specific area or areas within the City of Fresno to inform policies, plans or programs (not individual entitlements). The most common methodology is a Health Impact Assessment or HIA (see toolkit produced by the American Planning Association - https://planning-org-uploaded media.s3.amazonaws.com/publication/download_pdf/Health-Impact-Assessment-Toolkit.pdf ).

HIAs take into account environmental, social, and economic factors related to health and evaluate the potential impacts of a proposed project, plan, program, or policy on the health of the community. The HIA process occurs in six steps—screening, scoping, assessment, recommendations, reporting, and evaluation and monitoring— with stakeholder engagement at each phase. The City of Fresno could partner with the Fresno County Department of Public Health to conduct such studies when deemed pertinent to the decision-making process.

11. **Moratorium on Encampment Sweeps** — The City does not currently conduct encampment sweeps. From my administration’s first day, I have shared that we are aware of the City’s history of actively displacing people. Now we are doing a much better job at ‘placing’ people. As demonstrated in ‘Project Offramp’, we engage the homeless in a dignified and collaborative way involving outreach workers, CalTrans, CHP and City workers.

Building relationships is key to our helping our homeless neighbors find emergency through long-term supportive housing coupled with services. Our outreach partners at Kingsview and the Poverello House build relationships and provide proper notice of any future relocation dates to those in the encampments. Since January, nearly 500 of our homeless neighbors who lived on our freeways have accepted relocation support. This effort is now being replicated in our neighborhoods and communities.

12. **Here to Stay Affordability Index** — My administration and I are supportive of establishing an affordability index that can serve as a data point to help guide rendering housing supports.
13. **Right to Return Home** – The Uniform Relocation Act applies to federal funds, however we could have something similar for use of state or local dollars for a project that removes individuals from their homes to develop new housing and allows them “right of first refusal”. **URA Purpose**: This subchapter establishes a uniform policy for the fair and equitable treatment of persons displaced as a direct result of programs or projects undertaken by a Federal agency or with Federal financial assistance. The primary purpose of this subchapter is to ensure that such persons shall not suffer disproportionate injuries as a result of programs and projects designed for the benefit of the public as a whole and to minimize the hardship of displacement on such persons.

14. **Dignified Tiny House Villages with Scattered Sites** – We are aggressively moving forward on this concept. In fact, we are partnering with Tiny Home producers like Pre-Fab Innovations to quickly build safe, decent, and affordable housing. Starting in January, we will be launching our first initiative to build 100 tiny homes in 100 days. Our efforts to ramp up housing production are coinciding with our efforts to identify partners to find locations for these homes. We recently met with local Community Development and Economic Development Corporations in this effort.

15. **Fresno-Specific Universal Design Standards** – Universal design emerged from slightly earlier barrier-free concepts, the broader accessibility movement, and adaptive and assistive technology. It also seeks to blend aesthetics into these core considerations. As life expectancy rises and modern medicine increases the survival rate of those with significant injuries, illnesses, and birth defects, there is a growing interest in universal design.

Universal Design policy has been adopted by the city. **Policy HC-3-a** states that we will “Work with residential developers to update the City of Fresno Universal Design Standard to facilitate incorporation of lifecycle design principles in new residential development and make these options available to purchasers, to help community members stay in their homes and neighborhoods as they age.” This policy is in the City’s General Plan, however it has yet to be implemented. The City’s codes do include universal design requirements for any housing that receives city funding for affordable housing.

Because I believe in equity and inclusion, I am a proponent of voluntary/optional local universal design standards in so much as these standards do not drive-up costs (as an unintended consequence) or hinder housing development efforts. The goal of these design standards would be to increase the accessibility and housing diversity on a neighborhood basis, not on an individual building basis. ([https://www.strongtowns.org/journal/2020/1/8/the-livability-of-a-multi-generational-neighborhood](https://www.strongtowns.org/journal/2020/1/8/the-livability-of-a-multi-generational-neighborhood)).

16. **Other** – While not prioritized as part of the top 15 recommendations in the Here to Stay Report, I agree with exploring/implementing several policies that were called out more broadly. Several align with City’s current programs or goals:

   a. **Open Fresno - Full Access to Data and Plans through a GeoHub Platform** (Here to Stay, pg 46) - the Planning and Development Department is currently exploring more user-friendly portals that would allow viewers to see development projects in their area and follow projects more easily. As such, the GeoHub platform concept is in line with our current plans.

   b. **Language Justice Clearinghouse** (Here to Stay pg. 49) – If implemented I believe this would increase the functionality of the translation services that the City already procures.

   c. **Independent Youth Housing Coordination** (Here to Stay pg. 64) – For youth ages 16-24 who are at risk of being homeless, I believe providing housing support in the form of a social service
agency vetting that the youth are capable of maintaining employment, meeting their transportation needs, attending school, maintaining a bank account, and maintaining healthy relationships and then helping them secure housing would be of great value.

As one may see, many of the recommendations outlined in the Here to Stay report are measures my Administration has already undertaken or is willing to undertake. Beyond the scope of the recommendations, my administration and the City Council have been committed to employing additional measures that stimulate access to affordable housing while simultaneously supporting displacement avoidance. These include but are not limited to:

- The establishment of a first-time home buyer program in partnership with the Realtist of Fresno County, Union Bank and Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco. This program aims to provide up to $22,000 of down payment assistance to Black first time home buyers.
- The establishment of a first-time home buyer program in partnership with the National Association of Hispanic Real Estate Professionals, Union Bank and Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco. This program aims to provide up to $22,000 of down payment assistance to Latino first time homebuyers.
- The establishment and funding of an Affordable Housing Trust Fund this fiscal year.
- The creation of a $750,000 Housing Stability Fund. This program is in partnership with the Marjoree Mason Center and Breaking the Chains.
- Beyond housing, I have personally advocated against our most vulnerable facing increased cost burdens. Outside of housing costs, utility costs pose the second greatest cost burden on families. I have publicly challenged PG&E regarding its proposed rate hike. Over the last 10 years, the utility’s electricity rates have increased by 85%. If approved by the California Public Utilities Commission, the proposed hike, along with already approved increases this year and next, would send utility costs skyrocketing by an additional 40% over the next five years. As such, I have rallied my fellow mayors and elected officials to join me in calling for a rate freeze, followed by are structuring of PG&E’s rates.

My vision has been clear. I believe in an ‘inclusive prosperous beautiful and safe city where people take pride in their neighborhoods and community. A government that listens keeps its promises and is owned by the people.’ While there is much work ahead, I am certain that my administration’s efforts have us well on our way to realizing this vision. I see 20 minute walkable neighborhoods all across Fresno. I see thoughtful development along transit corridors. I see a local environment that is conducive to increasing supply. And I see housing equity for all city residents. I believe we will meet this end.

One Fresno,

Jerry P. Dyer
Mayor | City of Fresno
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Pg No</th>
<th>Key Program Features</th>
<th>City of Fresno Programs and/or Relevant State Law</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
<th>Similar Programs in other Cities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fair Chance Housing</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Enables people with criminal records to obtain fair housing by prohibiting discrimination on housing applications due to criminal background. Instead focuses on the merit of their individual application.</td>
<td>The California Fair Housing and Employment Act addresses different types of housing discrimination: <a href="https://www.fairhousing.nrcal.org/california-and-local-fair-housing-rights.html">https://www.fairhousing.nrcal.org/california-and-local-fair-housing-rights.html</a></td>
<td>-Decreases depression and anxiety amongst children of those who were incarcerated due to experiencing homelessness</td>
<td>-May put other tenants at risk if former inmate is still involved with gang activity or other dangerous activities</td>
<td>-In California two major cities have established Fair Chance Housing- Berkeley in 2010 and Oakland in 2020. <a href="https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5c09723c55b02cf724c3d340/t/5e3a19d15657b711d8efad9b1580866002630/UPDATED+Public+FAQ+1.18.20.pdf">https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5c09723c55b02cf724c3d340/t/5e3a19d15657b711d8efad9b1580866002630/UPDATED+Public+FAQ+1.18.20.pdf</a> -The City of Richmond has a similar program: <a href="https://library.municode.com/ca/richmond/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=ARTVIBU_CH7.110FACHACAFHO">https://library.municode.com/ca/richmond/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=ARTVIBU_CH7.110FACHACAFHO</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eviction Right-to-Counsel</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Provides tenants with free legal service for evictions. Report recommends establishing a pilot program</td>
<td>The City of Fresno established an Eviction Protection Program in May of 2021 which provides free legal assistance for illegal evictions. More information is available here: <a href="https://www.fresno.gov/cityattorney/#tab-08">https://www.fresno.gov/cityattorney/#tab-08</a></td>
<td>Reduced occupancy at shelters, faster financial recovery for tenant, lower overall cost for local government</td>
<td></td>
<td>The City of San Francisco established its Right to Counsel Program in 2019, as a result of a Ballot Measure titled Proposition F. Read more here: <a href="http://civilrighttocounsel.org/major_developments/1179">http://civilrighttocounsel.org/major_developments/1179</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>here to stay policy</td>
<td>pg no</td>
<td>key here to stay program features</td>
<td>city of fresno programs and/or relevant state law</td>
<td>pros</td>
<td>cons</td>
<td>similar programs in other cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rent stabilization, conversion restrictions, and “affordable in perpetuity” designations- among other things, calls for a level of rent control and finds ways to make apartments units affordable forever which helps prevent displacement</td>
<td>57, 58</td>
<td>A Rent Stabilization Ordinance in Fresno would limit the allowable annual rent increases for apartments and other rental housing. While a similar policy currently exists for mobile homes in Fresno, there is no such policy for other types of rental units</td>
<td>-Fresno Mobile Home Rent Review and Stabilization <a href="https://library.municode.com/ca/fresno/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=MUCOFR_CH12IMFEHIREOTMITO_ART20MOMICAPAREREST">https://library.municode.com/ca/fresno/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=MUCOFR_CH12IMFEHIREOTMITO_ART20MOMICAPAREREST</a> -New State Law requires rent control: Tenant Protection Act of 2019 <a href="https://www.nolo.com/legal-encyclopedia/statewide-rent-control-has-arrived-california-s-tenant-protection-act-of-2019.html">https://www.nolo.com/legal-encyclopedia/statewide-rent-control-has-arrived-california-s-tenant-protection-act-of-2019.html</a></td>
<td>- Helps prevent displacement - Would maintain apartments and other housing units affordable throughout Fresno. -Attempts to prevent unlawful evictions</td>
<td>Could disincentivize adequate maintenance</td>
<td>There are several cities in California which have some form of rent stabilization but they are not rent control policies. San Diego has “Tenants’ Right to Know Regulations. The regulations protect the rights of long-term residential tenants by limiting grounds for their eviction and requiring landlords to provide notice of such grounds. There are fifteen cities in California that have rent control other than in mobile home parks; Berkeley, Beverly Hills, East Palo Alto, Hayward, Los Angeles, Los Gatos, Mountain View, Oakland, Palm Springs, San Francisco, San Jose, Santa Monica and West Hollywood. Details here: <a href="https://caltenantlaw.com/cities-with-rent-control/">https://caltenantlaw.com/cities-with-rent-control/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>increase local hire and living wage minimum on local contracts- looks for ways to hire locally and how to increase wages.</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>This was one of the top priorities among homeowners who were surveyed and it was among the top 7 policies most preferred by more than 50% of those surveyed.</td>
<td>-3 risk focus areas -Construction workers per year + families could benefit from a PLA according to UC Merced report</td>
<td>-Developers and contractors would have to pay a fee for not meeting local hire targets - May negatively impact economic growth by deterring it</td>
<td>-City of Sacramento <a href="https://account.sacbee.com/paywall/subscriber-only?resume=217136630&amp;intcid=ab_archive">https://account.sacbee.com/paywall/subscriber-only?resume=217136630&amp;intcid=ab_archive</a> -City of Fresno news: Business Journal Article: <a href="https://account.sacbee.com/paywall/subscriber-only?resume=217136630&amp;intcid=ab_archive">City of Sacramento</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Here to Stay Policy</td>
<td>Pg No</td>
<td>Key Here to Stay Program Features</td>
<td>City of Fresno Programs and/or Relevant State Law</td>
<td>Pros</td>
<td>Cons</td>
<td>Similar Programs in other Cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Community Land Trust (CLT) | 41    | Attempts to make housing more obtainable and affordable in perpetuity | -New CLT in Fresno: Central California Land Trust [https://www.ccltrust.org/](https://www.ccltrust.org/)  
- Helps ensure people can afford these homes and creates affordable housing for several generations. | If local govt. supported, start-up costs, land donation, and ongoing support – can be supported with CDBG and HOME funds; longer start-up timeline; also limits wealth-building | - Youtube video, 3 minutes [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W-4vKyJOzdA](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W-4vKyJOzdA)  
- In California: there are many land trusts, California Land Trust Network helps support 26 CLTs in the state. [https://www.cacltnetwork.org/our-members/](https://www.cacltnetwork.org/our-members/)  
- New Orleans CLT is providing permanently affordable housing and supporting Black entrepreneurs: |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Here to Stay Policy</th>
<th>Pg No</th>
<th>Key Here to Stay Program Features</th>
<th>City of Fresno Programs and/or Relevant State Law</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
<th>Similar Programs in other Cities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

How a New Orleans community land trust is providing permanently affordable housing and supporting Black entrepreneurs

The Avenue How a New Orleans community land trust is providing permanently affordable housing and supporting Black entrepreneurs

Julius E. Kimbrough, Jr. Friday, October 22, 2021

[www.brookings.edu](http://www.brookings.edu)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Here to Stay Policy</th>
<th>Pg No</th>
<th>Key Here to Stay Program Features</th>
<th>City of Fresno Programs and/or Relevant State Law</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
<th>Similar Programs in other Cities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Here to Stay Homeowner and Renter Assistance Programs | 60   | -Would assist owners with renovations and energy upgrades and first-time homebuyers with down payment and closing costs, of a suggested amount up to $15,000 | -The City of Fresno has an Emergency Rental Assistance Program: [https://www.fresno.gov/mayor/erap/](https://www.fresno.gov/mayor/erap/)  
-The City of Fresno also offers other types of programs such as downpayment assistance and home repair programs. See Annual Action Plan: [https://www.fresno.gov/darm/wpcontent/uploads/sites/10/2021/01/2021-2022-Annual-Action-Plan-v2.5.pdf](https://www.fresno.gov/darm/wpcontent/uploads/sites/10/2021/01/2021-2022-Annual-Action-Plan-v2.5.pdf)  
- Allows homeowners and tenants to recover quickly from unexpected expenses.  
- Minimize the existing barriers for people trying to obtain renters and homeowners assistance. | Does not provide long term solutions for displacement issues. | -Riverside CA has a Renters Assistance Program for those who are income qualified, but is open to all Riverside County residents. [https://riversideca.gov/sites/default/files/Emergency%20Rental%20assistance%20flyer%20update.jpg](https://riversideca.gov/sites/default/files/Emergency%20Rental%20assistance%20flyer%20update.jpg)  
-The San Francisco Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development Below Market Rate (“BMR”) Inclusionary Housing Program requires some developers to sell or rent units in new developments at a “below market rate” price that is affordable to middle income households. [https://www.homeownershipsf.org/homebuyer-assistance-programs/](https://www.homeownershipsf.org/homebuyer-assistance-programs/)  
-Ventura County partakes in a HUD program which aids households with rent, mortgage and utility payments. HUD provides grants to government agencies, faith based and community based organizations, not to individuals directly. [https://www.ventura.org/county-executive-office/community-development/housing-homeowner-and-rental-assistance/](https://www.ventura.org/county-executive-office/community-development/housing-homeowner-and-rental-assistance/) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Here to Stay Policy</th>
<th>Pg No</th>
<th>Key Here to Stay Program Features</th>
<th>City of Fresno Programs and/or Relevant State Law</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
<th>Similar Programs in other Cities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Rental Deposit Program**         | 61,   | - Landlords would be able to draw from the balance of a renter's alternative deposit, upon provision of a valid reason.  
- When the resident moves out of the rental unit, the landlord could submit a ledger of reasonable repair costs to bill against the balance  
- The remaining amounts in the account could be transferred to a deposit on a new rental or could be withdrawn by the resident and applied toward the purchase of a home | The City of Fresno provides rental deposit assistance through its Emergency Solutions Grant:  
Would be difficult and costly for the City to administer the program. | - The County of San Diego Security Deposit Assistance Program (SDAP) helps eligible County residents with a security deposit payment. The program provides one-time payment assistance for renters unable to pay for a security deposit through a security deposit voucher program.  
-Santa Cruz county has implemented a Housing Authority program which administers security deposit programs across Santa Cruz County. This assistance is determined by income eligibility  
[https://hacosantacruz.org/security-deposit-program/](https://hacosantacruz.org/security-deposit-program/)  
[https://www.sandiegocounty.gov/content/sdsc/sdhcd/community-development/SDAP.html](https://www.sandiegocounty.gov/content/sdsc/sdhcd/community-development/SDAP.html) |                                                                                                                                 |
| **Moratorium on Encampment Sweeps** | 65    | - The current Administration does not support encampment sweeps. See Project Offramp  
- Provides immediate relief  
- Reduces harm | Does not provide a long term solution for those who are unhoused. | - Major cities across California like Los Angeles, have continued to do encampment sweeps despite COVID-19 and high temperatures. New ordinances set forth by city council have made it illegal for homeless encampment sweeps to be set up. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Here to Stay Policy</th>
<th>Pg No</th>
<th>Key Here to Stay Program Features</th>
<th>City of Fresno Programs and/or Relevant State Law</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
<th>Similar Programs in other Cities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| of both homeless individuals and their property from that area. | 7 | - Additional Fresno programs addressing homelessness: https://www.fresno.gov/darm/wp-content/uploads/sites/10/2021/01/ESG-CV-Activities.pdf  
- Also see Project Homekey: https://calmatters.org/california-divide/2021/07/california-project-homekey-fresno/ |  
- Is a Socially responsible development practice  
- Benefits aging adults and people with disabilities, 3rd generation black residents and Southeast Asian residents  
-San Francisco has established a Coalition of Homelessness to help combat the homeless issues in San Francisco. The Coalition on Homelessness organizes homeless people and front line service providers to create permanent solutions to homelessness, while working to protect the human rights of those forced to remain on the streets. Read more here: https://www.cohsf.org |
| Public Health Impact Reports | 53 | Provide such analyses for development within Southwest Fresno and other areas prone to displacement. Public Health agencies should be resourced to support this analysis. | The California Healthy Places Act was enacted in 2008: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=200720080AB1472  
- Takes time to assess and make report findings public | | The Health Impact assessment is a practical approach used to judge the potential health effects of a policy, program or project on a population, particularly on vulnerable or disadvantaged groups. Recommendations are produced for decision-makers and stakeholders, with the aim of maximizing the proposal's positive health effects and minimizing its negative health effects https://www.who.int/health-topics/health-impact-assessment#tab=tab_1 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Here to Stay Policy</th>
<th>Pg No</th>
<th>Key Here to Stay Program Features</th>
<th>City of Fresno Programs and/or Relevant State Law</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
<th>Similar Programs in other Cities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Justice and Climate Resiliency Planning</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>- A preemptive plan for climate change emergencies that will happen in the City of Fresno. -Ensures Fresno residents are protected in the event of natural disaster in extreme weather.</td>
<td>The State of California passed Senate Bill 1000 in 2016 that requires local governments to add environmental justice to their planning documents: <a href="https://news.bloomberglaw.com/environment-and-energy/environmental-justice-becomes-part-of-california-city-planning">https://news.bloomberglaw.com/environment-and-energy/environmental-justice-becomes-part-of-california-city-planning</a> -The State of California also requires climate adaptation planning: <a href="https://opr.ca.gov/planning/icarp/">https://opr.ca.gov/planning/icarp/</a></td>
<td>-Benefits aging groups, low income ethnic minority, groups, farmworkers and individuals with documentation challenges</td>
<td>-Takes long to implement new policies and guidelines that will enable environmental justice and climate resiliency</td>
<td>San Francisco has established the “Environmental Justice Framework and General Plan.” The City’s environmental justice work will set clear goals and actions to advance health in communities of color and low-income communities that face higher pollution and other health risks <a href="https://sfplanning.org/project/environmental-justice-framework-and-general-plan-policies">https://sfplanning.org/project/environmental-justice-framework-and-general-plan-policies</a> -The City of West Sacramento has established a general plan to address the issues surrounding environmental justice. The City’s General Plan incorporates the seven primary objectives of SB 1000 throughout all 11 elements of the General Plan. <a href="https://www.cityofwestsacramento.org/home/showpublisheddocument/11958/637480527872900000">https://www.cityofwestsacramento.org/home/showpublisheddocument/11958/637480527872900000</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresno-specific Universal Design Standards</td>
<td>50, 51</td>
<td>-The City of Fresno should establish a universal design standards incentive and</td>
<td>-The California Fair Housing and Employment Act addresses different</td>
<td>-Benefits people 65 and up, people with disabilities, farmworkers and</td>
<td></td>
<td>Universal Design is a voluntary program encouraged by the Irvine, CA City Council in which participating homebuilders may</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Here to Stay Policy</td>
<td>Pg No</td>
<td>Key Here to Stay Program Features</td>
<td>City of Fresno Programs and/or Relevant State Law</td>
<td>Pros</td>
<td>Cons</td>
<td>Similar Programs in other Cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>establish Fresno specific guidelines and create an incentive program to encourage more accessible design than the bare minimum.</td>
<td></td>
<td>compliance system for all city contracts. Universal Design goes beyond minimum requirements for accessibility set forth by state and federal agencies, to include design that takes into consideration diverse notions of accessibility as well as socially just notions of bodily autonomy and freedom of movement</td>
<td>types of housing discrimination: <a href="https://www.fairhousingnorcal.org/california-and-local-fair-housing-rights.html">https://www.fairhousingnorcal.org/california-and-local-fair-housing-rights.html</a></td>
<td>people with documentation challenges.</td>
<td>offer universal design features where permitted by architecture and elevations. <a href="https://www.cityofirvine.org/community-development/accessibility-universal-design">https://www.cityofirvine.org/community-development/accessibility-universal-design</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-California Assembly Bill No. 1400 (2004) requires developers of new residential housing to offer accessibility design features as options to new homes: <a href="https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=200320040AB1400">https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=200320040AB1400</a></td>
<td></td>
<td>-Includes developing areas</td>
<td>-Evergreen, Canada, is implementing universal design which helps design products and environments to be useable by all people, to the greatest extent possible, without the need for adaptation or specialized design. <a href="https://www.evergreen.ca/blog/entry/universal-design-is-the-key-to-the-accessible-city/">https://www.evergreen.ca/blog/entry/universal-design-is-the-key-to-the-accessible-city/</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Anti-Displacement &amp; Homelessness Intervention</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>- The policy or the implementation plan strives to identify a specific and intentional recipient of issue-specific, direct intervention.</td>
<td>Many of the City of Fresno’s housing programs are located in its Housing Division <a href="https://www.fresno.gov/darm/housing-community-development/">https://www.fresno.gov/darm/housing-community-development/</a></td>
<td>-Harm reduction strategy</td>
<td>-City of San Jose Anti-Displacement Plan <a href="https://www.sanjoseca.gov/your-government/departments-offices/housing/resource-library/housing-policy-plans-and-reports/citywide-anti-displacement-strategy">https://www.sanjoseca.gov/your-government/departments-offices/housing/resource-library/housing-policy-plans-and-reports/citywide-anti-displacement-strategy</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The eligibility/qualifying factor does not pose an additional burden or barrier that would</td>
<td>The City’s Anti-Displacement Task Force and Housing Element are staffed by the Long</td>
<td>-Help prevent homelessness before it occurs</td>
<td>-City of Portland, OR Anti-Displacement Action Plan <a href="https://www.sanjoseca.gov/your-government/departments-offices/housing/resource-library/housing-policy-plans-and-reports/citywide-anti-displacement-strategy">Oregon</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-Helps provide permanent housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Here to Stay Policy</td>
<td>Pg No</td>
<td>Key Here to Stay Program Features</td>
<td>City of Fresno Programs and/or Relevant State Law</td>
<td>Pros</td>
<td>Cons</td>
<td>Similar Programs in other Cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transitions into permanent housing, identify funding sources, and establish and track benchmarks for meeting the City’s anti-displacement objectives.</td>
<td>transitions into permanent housing, identify funding sources, and establish and track benchmarks for meeting the City’s anti-displacement objectives.</td>
<td>Range Planning Division: <a href="http://www.fresno.gov/housingelement">www.fresno.gov/housingelement</a></td>
<td>disabilities, young adults etc.</td>
<td>-Memphis, TN weaves displacement prevention throughout its Comprehensive Plan: <a href="http://Memphis">Memphis</a> Urban Displacement Projects studies displacement in the Bay Area, California: <a href="https://www.urbandisplacement.org/">https://www.urbandisplacement.org/</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Here to Stay Affordability Index- Index compares the median family income in the area to median cost of living. This would also include other local factors related to displacement burden</td>
<td>The Anti-Displacement Task Force should determine an adjusted affordability index to ensure the people who need access to affordable housing can access it.</td>
<td>Here is a traditional housing affordability index that includes all cities in California, including Fresno: <a href="https://www.car.org/marketdata/data/haitraditional">https://www.car.org/marketdata/data/haitraditional</a></td>
<td>Benefits young adults, 3rd generation black households and Southeast Asian residents</td>
<td>Often leaves out households above the median income</td>
<td>The JPMorgan Chase Policy Center has outlined solutions in support of comprehensive and transformative evidence-based policy reforms that improve household stability weakened by the pandemic and increase the availability of and equitable access to affordable housing near economic opportunity for renters and homeowners. This requires a multi-faceted approach, including advancing policies that effectively produce and preserve more housing units at lower costs, supporting common sense regulatory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right to Return-Right to Return Home policies provide</td>
<td>- This policy is recommended to be implemented in Southwest Fresno</td>
<td>California Housing Crisis Act of 2019 requires provision of relocation assistance and right of -Combats gentrification</td>
<td>- Only benefits certain community members, (low</td>
<td>Webinar about Portland, OR’s Right to Return Program <a href="https://www.policylink.org/webinars/fair-housing-right-to-return">https://www.policylink.org/webinars/fair-housing-right-to-return</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Here to Stay Policy | Key Here to Stay Program Features | City of Fresno Programs and/or Relevant State Law | Pros | Cons | Similar Programs in other Cities
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
opportunities for displaced tenants and homeowners (or the descendants of displaced residents) to move back to the communities that they were forced to leave due to gentrification and displacement. | - Enables the city of Fresno to acknowledge the legacy of forced labor, redlining, racism etc. and remedy them. | first refusal in new housing to displaced occupants. [https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201920200SB330](https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201920200SB330) | - Addresses redlining issues. | - Provides affordable housing | Example of Right to Return project in Santa Monica, CA [https://www.csmonitor.com/USA/Society/2021/0729/Right-to-return-Cities-prioritize-Black-displaced-families](https://www.csmonitor.com/USA/Society/2021/0729/Right-to-return-Cities-prioritize-Black-displaced-families)

**Dignified Tiny House Villages and Scattered Site Housing**

Housing trend aimed at helping combat housing crisis in cities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Key Points</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
<th>Similar Programs in other Cities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 65 Cities should incorporate alternative development practices such as tiny house villages to support rapid housing efforts, spreading affordable housing units across a region as opposed to opting for a concentrated low income housing format, and fast tracking accessory dwelling unit permit processes. | Benefits aging adults, people with disabilities, veterans and people returning home from institutionalization. | - New California laws facilitate more use of tiny homes: [https://www.tinyhouseallianceusa.org/california-regulation-of-tiny-houses/](https://www.tinyhouseallianceusa.org/california-regulation-of-tiny-houses/)
- The City of Seattle followed Portland’s footsteps and established “Rosie’s Tiny House Village,” which is a partnership between the City, Sound Transit, and LIHI, Rosie’s Tiny House Village will provide on-site wrap-around services including case management and housing navigation to help people end their experience with homelessness. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Here to Stay Policy</th>
<th>Pg No</th>
<th>Key Here to Stay Program Features</th>
<th>City of Fresno Programs and/or Relevant State Law</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
<th>Similar Programs in other Cities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Additional Resources Related to Housing**


- The National Association of Counties supports an increase in the supply of affordable housing through increased federal appropriations for key housing and community development program as well as the expansion of federal housing tools, such as the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Program: [https://www.naco.org/articles/affordable-housing-federal-programs-and-legislation](https://www.naco.org/articles/affordable-housing-federal-programs-and-legislation)